

## STATE OF ILLINOIS OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

William G. Holland, Auditor General

## SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

### **ILLINOIS CONSERVATION FOUNDATION**

FINANCIAL AUDIT AND COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION For the Year Ended: June 30, 2013 Release Date: April 22, 2014 Summary of Findings:Total this audit:5Total last audit:3Repeated from last audit:3

#### **SYNOPSIS**

- The Foundation's financial reporting process is lacking sufficient internal controls.
- All of the Foundation's accounting functions are performed by one employee creating a segregation of duties issue.
- The Foundation's internal controls related to accounting transactions should be enhanced.

{Financial data is summarized on the reverse page.}

Office of the Auditor General, Iles Park Plaza, 740 E. Ash St., Springfield, IL 62703 • Tel: 217-782-6046 or TTY 888-261-2887 This Report Digest and a Full Report are also available on the internet at www.auditor.illinois.gov

#### ILLINOIS CONSERVATION FOUNDATION FINANCIAL AUDIT AND COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES (Governmental Activities)	FY 2013	FY 2012
Program Revenue:		
Charges for Goods	\$ 13,650	\$ 33,026
Operating Grants and Contributions - General Administrative	424,470	452,447
Operating Grants and Contributions - Natural Resources & Recreation	867,217	1,241,598
Capital Grants and Contributions - Natural Resources & Recreation	22,700	8,700
Total Program Revenue	1,328,037	1,735,771
Expenses:	1,520,057	1,755,771
General Administrative	437,139	558,277
Natural Resources and Recreation	839,299	1,244,582
Total Expenditures	1,276,438	1,802,859
Net (Expense) Revenue	51,599	(67,088)
General Revenue:	- ,	(
Unrestricted Realized Gain/(Loss)	42,613	(10,766)
Unrestricted Investment - Unrealized Gain/(Loss)	60,219	(139,447)
Unrestricted Investment Earnings	78,221	82,733
Change in Net Position	232,652	(134,568)
Net Position, Beginning of Year	7,375,516	7,510,084
Net Position, End of Year	\$ 7,608,168	\$ 7,375,516
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION (Governmental Activities)	FY 2013	FY 2012
Assets:		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 418,017	\$ 399,120
Accounts Receivable	21,107	24,155
Accrued Investment Income	8,124	9,605
Prepaid Expenses	12,381	11,725
Investments	2,646,424	2,573,693
Capital Assets, Net	4,568,237	4,423,104
Total Assets	7,674,290	7,441,402
Liabilities:		
Accounts Payable	46,180	37,752
Accrued Expenses	19,942	28,134
Accrued Expenses Total Liabilities		
Accrued Expenses Total Liabilities Net Position:	19,942 66,122	28,134 65,886
Accrued Expenses Total Liabilities Net Position: Natural Resources and Recreation - Restricted	19,942 66,122 1,377,198	28,134 65,886 1,221,810
Accrued Expenses Total Liabilities Net Position: Natural Resources and Recreation - Restricted Endowment/Nonexpendable - Restricted	19,942 66,122 1,377,198 125,800	28,134 65,886 1,221,810 118,944
Accrued Expenses Total Liabilities Net Position: Natural Resources and Recreation - Restricted Endowment/Nonexpendable - Restricted Unrestricted	19,942 66,122 1,377,198 125,800 1,536,933	28,134 65,886 1,221,810 118,944 1,611,658
Accrued Expenses Total Liabilities Net Position: Natural Resources and Recreation - Restricted Endowment/Nonexpendable - Restricted Unrestricted Net Investment in Capital Assets	19,942 66,122 1,377,198 125,800 1,536,933 4,568,237	28,134 65,886 1,221,810 118,944 1,611,658 4,423,104
Accrued Expenses Total Liabilities Net Position: Natural Resources and Recreation - Restricted Endowment/Nonexpendable - Restricted Unrestricted	19,942 66,122 1,377,198 125,800 1,536,933	28,134 65,886 1,221,810 118,944 1,611,658
Accrued Expenses Total Liabilities Net Position: Natural Resources and Recreation - Restricted Endowment/Nonexpendable - Restricted Unrestricted Net Investment in Capital Assets Total Net Position	19,942 66,122 1,377,198 125,800 1,536,933 4,568,237	28,134 65,886 1,221,810 118,944 1,611,658 4,423,104
Accrued Expenses Total Liabilities Net Position: Natural Resources and Recreation - Restricted Endowment/Nonexpendable - Restricted Unrestricted Net Investment in Capital Assets	19,942   66,122   1,377,198   125,800   1,536,933   4,568,237   \$ 7,608,168	28,134 65,886 1,221,810 118,944 1,611,658 4,423,104

#### FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

# NEED TO IMPROVE FINANCIAL REPORTING PROCESS

	The Illinois Conservation Foundation (Foundation) does not have sufficient internal controls over the financial reporting process.	
Reporting errors	Several errors were identified during the audit of the Foundation's financial statements. The Foundation's financial statements were adjusted for the following reporting errors:	
Adjusting entries not made	• The Foundation failed to make numerous adjusting entries to present the financial statements in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).	
\$89,672 adjustment to correct the realized and unrealized gain balances	• The Foundation had realized and unrealized gains posted to opposite accounts in error. A net audit adjustment of \$89,672 was recorded to correct the balances in the realized and unrealized gain accounts. (Finding 1, pages 11-12) This finding was first reported in 2011.	
	We recommended the Foundation implement additional internal control procedures to ensure financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP.	
Management agrees with auditors	Foundation management accepted our recommendation. (For the previous Foundation response, see Digest Footnote #1.)	
	INADEQUATE SEGREGATION OF DUTIES	
All accounting functions are performed by one employee	The Foundation lacks adequate segregation of duties over its accounting functions.	
	The Foundation has three employees, an Executive Director, a Chief Financial Officer, and a property manager. Because of the small size of the Foundation staff, all of the accounting functions are performed by one employee which creates a segregation of duties issue. (Finding 2, page 13) <b>This finding was first reported in 2009.</b>	
	We recommended the Board of Directors remain involved in the financial affairs of the Foundation to provide oversight and independent review functions.	
Management agrees with auditors	Foundation management accepted our recommendation. (For the previous Foundation response, see Digest Footnote #2.)	

#### NEED TO ENHANCE CONTROLS OVER ACCOUNTING TRANSACTIONS

Inadequate internal controls over accounting transactions

No evidence of independent review and approval of adjusting entries

No evidence of independent review and approval of bank reconciliations

Invoices not marked "paid"

Management agreed with auditors

The Foundation did not maintain adequate internal controls over accounting transactions. The following weaknesses were noted during testing:

- The Foundation's adjusting journal entries did not have evidence of review and approval by an individual independent of the preparer.
- The Foundation's bank reconciliations did not have evidence of review and approval by an individual independent of the preparer.
- The Foundation does not mark invoices "paid" to prevent double payment. (Finding 3, pages 14-15)

We recommended the Foundation document reviews of journal entries and bank reconciliations on the face of the document with the reviewer's initials and date of review. Also, the Foundation should indicate that invoices have been marked "paid" to ensure that duplicate payments are not made.

Foundation management accepted our recommendation.

#### **OTHER FINDINGS**

The remaining findings pertain to inadequate controls over personal services and noncompliance with the Accountability for the Investment of Public Funds Act. Auditors will review the Foundation's progress towards the implementation of all the recommendations in the next engagement.

#### **AUDITORS' OPINION**

The auditors expressed an unmodified opinion on the Foundation's financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2013.

Millin

WILLIAM G. HOLLAND Auditor General

WGH:APA

#### SPECIAL ASSISTANT AUDITORS

Kemper CPA Group, LLP was our special assistant auditor for this engagement.

#### **DIGEST FOOTNOTES**

#### <u>#1 – NEED TO IMPROVE FINANCIAL REPORTING</u> <u>PROCESS – Previous Foundation Response</u>

2012: The Foundation agrees.

#### <u>#2 – INADEQUATE SEGREGATION OF DUTIES –</u> <u>Previous Foundation Response</u>

2012: The Foundation agrees.