

STATE OF ILLINOIS OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

William G. Holland, Auditor General

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

Financial Audit, Single Audit, and Compliance Examination For the Year Ended: June 30, 2011 Summary of Findings:Total this audit:8Total last audit:5Repeated from last audit:4

Release Date: March 8, 2012

SYNOPSIS

- The University did not properly calculate current depreciation and improperly classified completed projects in the financial statements.
- The University did not ensure information submitted by students applying for financial aid was properly verified to ensure accurate information is reflected on the Institutional Student Information Report (ISIR).
- The University did not deposit receipts and refunds timely.
- The University did not have adequate internal controls to ensure new employees timely completed ethics training.

{Expenditures and Activity Measures are summarized on the reverse page.}

EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY FINANCIAL AUDIT AND COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION For The Year Ended June 30, 2011

41,602 2,364 40,368 292,809 6,336 <u>\$383,479</u> 16,650 3,940 9,611 140,264 170,465 166,087 4,976 41,951 213,014 2011	\$ \$ \$ \$	67,500 2,938 31,983 269,603 5,865 \$377,889 19,737 3,855 8,930 147,406 179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961 2010
$2,364$ $40,368$ $292,809$ $6,336$ $\underline{\$383,479}$ $16,650$ $3,940$ $9,611$ $140,264$ $170,465$ $166,087$ $4,976$ $41,951$ $213,014$	\$ <u>\$</u> \$	2,938 31,983 269,603 5,865 <u>\$377,889</u> 19,737 3,855 8,930 147,406 179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
$\begin{array}{r} 40,368\\ 292,809\\ \underline{6,336}\\ \underline{\$383,479}\\ 16,650\\ 3,940\\ 9,611\\ \underline{140,264}\\ 170,465\\ 166,087\\ \underline{4,976}\\ 41,951\\ \underline{213,014}\\ \end{array}$	\$ \$	31,983 269,603 5,865 <u>\$377,889</u> 19,737 3,855 8,930 147,406 179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
292,809 6,336 \$383,479 16,650 3,940 9,611 140,264 170,465 166,087 4,976 41,951 213,014	\$ \$	269,603 5,865 \$377,889 19,737 3,855 8,930 147,406 179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
$ \begin{array}{r} 6,336 \\ \underline{\$383,479} \\ 16,650 \\ 3,940 \\ 9,611 \\ 140,264 \\ 170,465 \\ 166,087 \\ 4,976 \\ 41,951 \\ 213,014 \\ \end{array} $	\$ \$	5,865 \$377,889 19,737 3,855 8,930 147,406 179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
<u>\$383,479</u> 16,650 3,940 9,611 140,264 170,465 166,087 4,976 41,951 213,014	\$ \$	\$377,889 19,737 3,855 8,930 147,406 179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
16,650 3,940 9,611 140,264 170,465 166,087 4,976 41,951 213,014	\$ \$	19,737 3,855 8,930 147,406 179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
3,940 9,611 140,264 170,465 166,087 4,976 41,951 213,014	\$ \$	3,855 8,930 147,406 179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
3,940 9,611 140,264 170,465 166,087 4,976 41,951 213,014	\$ \$	3,855 8,930 147,406 179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
9,611 140,264 170,465 166,087 4,976 41,951 213,014	\$	8,930 147,406 179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
140,264 170,465 166,087 4,976 41,951 213,014	\$	147,406 179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
170,465 166,087 4,976 41,951 213,014	\$	179,928 161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
166,087 4,976 41,951 213,014	\$	161,922 6,034 30,005 197,961
4,976 41,951 213,014		6,034 30,005 197,961
4,976 41,951 213,014		6,034 30,005 197,961
41,951 213,014	\$	30,005 197,961
213,014	\$	197,961
	\$	
		2010
76,342	\$	75,349
47,417		50,571
44,953		46,249
48,400		44,755
27,532		25,831
9,060		8,099
253,704	\$	250,854
<u> </u>		^
94,172	\$	93,706
33,661	Ŧ	35,717
20,872		19,322
20,078		18,943
15,737		16,095
14,604		14,868
14,634		14,594
24,893		22,980
238,651	\$	236,225
	\$	14,629
	-	FY 2010
15,053	-	961
15,053 FY 2011		798
15,053 FY 2011 962		249
15,053 FY 2011 962 797		2.008
15,053 FY 2011 962 797 249		FY 2010
15,053 FY 2011 962 797 249 2,008		
15,053 FY 2011 962 797 249 2,008 FY 2011]	10,152
15,053 FY 2011 962 797 249 2,008 FY 2011 9,800		FY 2010
15,053 FY 2011 962 797 249 2,008 FY 2011 9,800 FY 2011]	
15,053 FY 2011 962 797 249 2,008 FY 2011 9,800		15,907
15,053 FY 2011 962 797 249 2,008 FY 2011 9,800 FY 2011]	
		2,008 FY 2011 9,800

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

NEED TO IMPROVE CAPITAL ASSET ACCOUNTING AND DEPRECIATION CALCULATIONS

Eastern Illinois University (University) did not properly calculate current depreciation and improperly classified completed projects in the financial statements.

We noted the following:

Depreciation expense understated by \$484,489

Inaccurate building classification

- The University classified 56 asset items as building improvements, which were depreciated using the 50-year estimated useful life of a building instead of the 20year estimated useful life for building improvements. As a result, current year depreciation expense was understated by \$484,489. The auditors proposed an adjusting entry to the University to correct this error, which the University recorded.
- The University accounted for a substantially completed residence hall window retrofitting project, totaling \$923,737, as construction in progress instead of a building improvement. The auditors proposed an adjusting entry to reclassify the project, which University recorded.

According to University personnel, the use of 50year life for building improvements was due to difference in judgment and the misclassification of substantially completed project was due to oversight. (Finding 2, pages 20-21)

We recommended the University enhance internal controls over reporting and depreciating capital assets to ensure proper accounting for asset classifications and depreciation.

University agrees with auditors

University officials agreed with the finding, indicating they will implement controls to improve the reporting and depreciation accounting for capital assets.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH VERIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

The University did not ensure information submitted by students applying for financial aid was properly verified to ensure accurate information is reflected on the Institutional Student Information Report (ISIR).

During verification testing, we noted information in the ISIR for two of 68 (3%) students tested did not match with the verification documentation provided by the students. As a result, one noted student's Expected Family Contribution (EFC) should have been reduced and the student's Pell award should have been increased by \$300. This was corrected by the University by increasing the student's Pell award in the subsequent academic year. The error for the other student did not ultimately affect the award amount.

According to University personnel, discrepancies in the information were due to human error. (Finding 3, pages 22-23)

We recommended the University enhance controls over student aid verification to ensure supporting documentation submitted by students agrees with information on the Institutional Student Information Report to minimize the risk of inaccurate awards of student financial aid.

University agrees with auditors University officials agreed with the finding, indicating they will establish procedures to double-check that the corrected information gets entered into the ISIR.

LATE DEPOSIT OF RECEIPTS AND REFUNDS

The University did not deposit receipts and refunds timely.

Cash receipts deposited untimely

ISIR information did not match

verification documentation

Financial aid award was

corrected

During testing of receipts and refunds, we noted 26 of 80 (33%) receipts and refunds, totaling \$217,424, were deposited between two to 13 days after the checks were received by University personnel. We noted untimely deposits from several departments across campus, including the business office, Doudna Fine Arts Center, Panther catering, Panther camps, student catering, clinic services, parking facilities, and athletics.

According to University personnel, late deposits were due to procedural errors and other responsibilities taking priority at different University departments. (Finding 5, page 25)

We recommended the University investigate the reasons departments are not complying with receipt deposit rules and determine appropriate enforcement actions to ensure compliance with the University's policy.

University agrees with auditors University officials stated they want deposits to be made timely and will work with the University's fiscal agents to improve compliance with the University's policy.

NEED TO COMPLY WITH ETHICS TRAINING REQUIREMENTS

The University did not have adequate internal controls to ensure new employees timely completed ethics training.

During testing, we noted 16 of 25 (64%) new hires tested completed ethics training from one to 56 days late. The State Officials and Employees Ethics Act requires new employees entering a position requiring annual ethics training complete an initial ethics training course within 30 days after commencing employment.

According to University personnel, the delay in completing ethics training was due to oversight and other job priorities taking precedence. (Finding 8, page 29)

We recommended the University's Ethics Officer monitor new employees to ensure ethics training mandated by State law is completed in a timely manner.

University agrees with auditors

University officials agreed with the finding, noting they are implementing a system to track all new employees to ensure they timely complete required training.

64% of new hires tested completed mandatory ethics training late

OTHER FINDINGS

The remaining findings are reportedly being given attention by the University. We will review the University's progress towards the implementation of our recommendations in our next audit.

AUDITORS' OPINION

Our auditors stated the financial statements of Eastern Illinois University as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011 are fairly stated in all material respects.

WILLIAM G. HOLLAND Auditor General

WGH:djn:rt

SPECIAL ASSISTANT AUDITORS

Our special assistant auditors for this engagement were E.C. Ortiz & Co. LLP.