

STATE OF ILLINOIS

OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION

COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION
For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005

WILLIAM G. HOLLAND

AUDITOR GENERAL

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Agency Officials	1
Management Assertion Letter	2
Compliance Report	
Summary	4
Auditors' Report	
Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance, on	
Internal Control Over Compliance, and on Supplementary	
Information for State Compliance Purposes	6
Schedule of Findings	
Current Findings - State	9
Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes	
Summary	11
Fiscal Schedules and Analysis	
Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances	12
Comparative Schedule of Net Appropriations, Expenditures	
and Lapsed Balances	14
Schedule of Efficiency Initiative Payments	15
Comparative Schedule of Receipts, Disbursements and	
Fund Balance (Cash Basis) - Locally-Held Funds	16
Schedule of Changes in State Property	17
Comparative Schedule of Cash Receipts and Reconciliation	
Schedule of Cash Receipts to Deposits Remitted to the	
State Comptroller	18
Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenditures	19
Analysis of Significant Variations in Receipts	21
Analysis of Significant Lapse Period Spending	22
Analysis of Accounts Receivable	23
Analysis of Operations	
Agency Functions and Planning Program	24
Average Number of Employees	25
Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Not examined)	26

AGENCY OFFICIALS

GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION

Executive Director (effective 10/24/05)

Acting Executive Director (1/1/03 thru 10/23/05)

Mr. John Wank

General Counsel

Mr. John Wank

Division Directors:

Office of the State Guardian Ms. Helen Godlewski Brownfield

Human Rights Authority Ms. Teresa J. Parks
Legal Advocacy Service Mr. Jeff Plesko
Director of Fiscal Operations Ms. Carol Tipsord

Commission Members

As of June 30, 2005, the Commission was comprised of:

Saul J. Morse, Chairperson
Seymour Bryson, PhD., Vice Chairperson
Dr. M. Jeanne Dolphus Cotton

Glenn Jackson, Secretary/Treasurer

Kenley R. Wade

Susan B. McMahon

Honorable Ira Silverstein, State Senator
Honorable Todd Sieben, State Senator

Agency offices are located at:

4302 N. Main Street, Suite 108 Rockford, IL 61103-5202

Director and Regional Offices Satellite Offices Elgin Mental Health Center Office of the Director North Suburban Reg. Office 750 S. State Street, C-3 Kilbourne 421 East Capitol Avenue, Suite 205 9511 Harrison Street, W-300 Springfield, IL 62701-1797 Des Plaines, IL 60016-1565 Elgin, IL 60123 Office of the Director East Central Regional Office Jacksonville Dev. Center 160 N. LaSalle Street, Suite S-500 423 S. Murray Road 1201 South Main Rantoul, IL 61866-2125 Chicago, IL 60601-3115 Jacksonville, IL 62650-3339 Tinley Park Mental Health Center Metro East Regional Office West Suburban Reg. Office 4500 College Avenue, Suite 100 Madden Mental Health Center Mimosa Hall 7400 W. 183rd Street Alton, IL 62002-5051 Hines, IL 60141-7009 Tinley Park, IL 60477 Egyptian Regional Office (Choate) Peoria Regional Office #7 Cottage Drive 5407 N. University, Suite 7 Read Mental Health Center Anna, IL 62906-1669 Peoria, IL 61614-4776 4200 N. Oak Park Avenue Building K, Room 36 Rockford Regional Office Chicago, IL 60634-1417

GUARDIANSHIP & ADVOCACY COMMISSION

STATE OF ILLINOIS Rod R. Blagojevich Governor

Dr. Mary L. Milano, Director

HUMAN RIGHTS AUTHORITY LEGAL ADVOCACY SERVICE OFFICE OF STATE GUARDIAN



October 31, 2005

Honorable William G. Holland Auditor General Iles Park Plaza 740 E. Ash Springfield, IL 62703-3154

Dear Mr. Holland:

We are responsible for the identification of, and compliance with, all aspects of laws, regulations, contracts, or grants that could have a material effect on the operations of the Agency. We are responsible for and we have established and maintained an effective system of, internal controls over compliance requirements. We have performed an evaluation of the Agency's compliance with the following assertions during the two-year period ended June 30, 2005. Based on this evaluation, we assert that during the years ended June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2005, the Agency has materially complied with the assertions below.

- A. The agency has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- B. The agency has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt or use.
- C. The agency has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- D. The State revenues and receipts collected by the agency are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate and in accordance with law.
- E. The money or negotiable securities or similar assets handled by the agency on behalf of the State or held in trust by the agency have been properly and legally administered, and the accounting and recordkeeping relating thereto is proper, accurate and in accordance with law.



♦ 160 N. LaSalle St., Ste. S-500 ♦ Chicago, IL 60601-3115 ♦ (312) 793-5900 ♦ Fax (312) 793-4311

♦ 421 E. Capitol Ave., Ste. 205 ♦ Springfield, IL 62701-1797 ♦ (217) 785-1540 ♦ Fax (217) 524-0088

◆ Statewide Toll Free Intake (866) 274-8023 ◆ Statewide TTY (866) 333-3362



Yours very truly,

Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission

Many D. Milano, Director

Carol Tipsord, Fiscal Officer

John H. Wank, Legal Counsel

COMPLIANCE REPORT

SUMMARY

The compliance testing performed during this examination was conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and in accordance with the Illinois State Auditing Act.

AUDITORS' REPORT

The Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance, on Internal Control Over Compliance and on Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes does not contain scope limitations, disclaimers, or other significant non-standard language.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Number of	This Report	Prior Report
Findings	1	0
Repeated findings	0	0
Prior recommendations implemented		
or not repeated	0	0

Details of findings are presented in a separately tabbed report section.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS

The Commission did not have any prior year findings.

Item No.	<u>Page</u>	Description
		FINDINGS (STATE COMPLIANCE)
05-1	9	Efficiency Initiative Payments

EXIT CONFERENCE

The findings and recommendations appearing in this report were discussed with Commission personnel at an exit conference on January 17, 2006. Attending were:

Guardianship and Advocacy Commission

Dr. Mary L. Milano, Executive Director
Carol Tipsord, Director of Fiscal Operations
John Wank, General Counsel
Teresa Parks, Director of the Human Rights Authority
Helen Godlewski Brownfield, Director of the Office of the State Guardian
William Scheidemantel, Managing Attorney of the Office of the State Guardian
Gina Rossi, Confidential Assistant to the Executive Director

Office of the Auditor General

Candice Long, OAG Manager Alison Schertz, OAG Supervisor

Responses to the recommendations were provided by Carol Tipsord, Director of Fiscal Operations, at the exit conference.

SPRINGFIELD OFFICE:
ILES PARK PLAZA
740 EAST ASH • 62703-3154
PHONE: 217/782-6046
FAX: 217/785-8222 • TTY: 888/261-2887



CHICAGO OFFICE:

MICHAEL A. BILANDIC BLDG. · SUITE S-900

160 NORTH LASALLE · 60601-3103

PHONE: 312/814-4000

FAX: 312/814-4006

OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL WILLIAM G. HOLLAND

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON STATE COMPLIANCE, ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE, AND ON SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR STATE COMPLIANCE PURPOSES

Honorable William G. Holland Auditor General State of Illinois

Compliance

We have examined the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission's compliance with the requirements listed below, as more fully described in the Audit Guide for Financial Audits and Compliance Attestation Engagements of Illinois State Agencies (Audit Guide) as adopted by the Auditor General, during the two years ended June 30, 2005. The management of the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission is responsible for compliance with these requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission's compliance based on our examination.

- A. The Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission has obligated, expended, received, and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- B. The Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission has obligated, expended, received, and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt or use.
- C. The Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- D. The State revenues and receipts collected by the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate and in accordance with law.
- E. Money or negotiable securities or similar assets handled by the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission on behalf of the State or held in trust by the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission have been properly and legally administered and the accounting and recordkeeping relating thereto is proper, accurate, and in accordance with law.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; the Illinois State Auditing Act (Act); and the Audit Guide as adopted by the Auditor General pursuant to the Act; and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements during the two years ended June 30, 2005. However, the results of our procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with those requirements, which is required to be reported in accordance with criteria established by the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General and which is described in the accompanying schedule of State findings as finding 05-1.

As required by the Audit Guide, immaterial findings relating to instances of noncompliance excluded from this report have been reported in a separate letter.

Internal Control

The management of the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws and regulations. In planning and performing our examination, we considered the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission's internal control over compliance with the aforementioned requirements in order to determine our examination procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance with the aforementioned requirements would not necessarily disclose all matters in internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws and regulations that would be material in relation to one or more of the aforementioned requirements being examined may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, the results of our procedures disclosed a matters involving internal control which is required to be reported in accordance with criteria established by the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General and which is described in the accompanying schedule of State findings as finding 05-1.

As required by the Audit Guide, immaterial findings relating to internal control deficiencies excluded from this report have been reported in a separate letter.

Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes

Our examination was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on compliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents as Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We have applied certain limited procedures as prescribed by the Audit Guide as adopted by the Auditor General to the 2004 and the 2005 Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes, except for information on the Service Efforts and Accomplishments on which we did not perform any procedures. However, we do not express an opinion on the supplementary information.

We have not applied procedures to the 2003 Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion thereon.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Auditor General, the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Commission, the Governor, and agency management, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Bruce L. Bullard, CPA
Director of Financial
and Compliance Audits

October 31, 2005

05-1. **FINDING** (Efficiency Initiative Payments)

The Guardianship and Advocacy Commission (Commission) made payments for efficiency initiative billings from improper line item appropriations.

Public Act 93-0025, in part, outlines a program for efficiency initiatives to reorganize, restructure and reengineer the business processes of the State. The State Finance Act details that the amount designated as savings from efficiency initiatives implemented by the Department of Central Management Services (CMS) shall be paid into the Efficiency Initiatives Revolving Fund. "State agencies shall pay these amounts...from the line item appropriations where the cost savings are anticipated to occur." (30 ILCS 105/6p-5)

The Commission received three FY04 billings and one billing in FY05 for savings from efficiency initiatives. The initiatives and amounts billed to the Commission for FY04 and FY05 were:

INVOICE		BILLED
BILLING DATE	INITIATIVE	AMOUNT
	FY04	
9/19/03	Procurement Efficiency	\$ 40,000
9/19/03	Information Technology	\$ 29,021
9/19/03	Vehicle Fleet Management	\$ 2,283
	FY05	
5/2/05	Information Technology	\$ 11,708
	Grand Total FY04-FY05:	\$ 83,012

The Commission reported it did not receive guidance or documentation with the FY04 billings from CMS detailing where savings were to occur nor did CMS provide evidence of savings for the amounts billed. Additionally, staff reported that any savings from the efficiency initiatives were limited.

Based on our review, we question whether the appropriate appropriations, as required by the State Finance Act, were used to pay for the anticipated savings. We found that the Commission made payments in FY04 for these billings **not** from line item appropriations where the cost savings were anticipated to have occurred but from line items that had available funds. The Commission paid the FY04 procurement billing from contractual services and telecommunication line items. However, without specific guidance from CMS regarding the nature and type of savings initiatives, it is unclear whether these were the appropriate lines from which to make procurement savings payments.

The FY05 billing contained more detail and it appears the Commission paid this from proper appropriations.

The table below provides an illustration of the specific funds and line items the Commission used to make payments for the efficiency initiatives. Additionally, the table illustrates which efficiency initiatives were paid from the various line item appropriations.

					FICIE ITIAT	
FUND	LINE ITEM APPROPRIATION	MOUNT PAID	TOTAL PPROPRIATION OR LINE ITEM	PROCUREMENT	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	VEHICLE FLEET MANAGENET
		FY04				
GRF	For Contractual Services	\$ 10,000	\$ 230,863	✓		
GRF	For Telecommunications Services	\$ 59,021	\$ 277,637	✓	✓	
GRF	For Operation of Auto Equipment	\$ 2,283	\$ 12,996			✓
		FY05	_		•	

Use of appropriations unrelated to the cost savings initiatives results in non-compliance with the State Finance Act. Furthermore, use of appropriations for purposes other than those authorized by the General Assembly effectively negates a fundamental control established in State government. Finally, use of funds unrelated to the savings initiative may result in an adverse effect on services the Commission provides. (Finding Code No. 05-1)

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that the Commission only make payments for efficiency initiative billings from line item appropriations where savings would be anticipated to occur. Further, the Commission should seek an explanation from the Department of Central Management Services as to how savings levels were calculated, or otherwise arrived at, and how savings achieved or anticipated impact the Commission's budget.

COMMISSION RESPONSE

The Commission accepts the finding that it should seek an explanation from DCMS as to how savings levels were calculated, or otherwise arrived at, and how savings achieved or anticipated impact the Commission's budget. For the transaction in question, the agency was unsuccessful in receiving support documentation from the Department of Central Management Services on the calculation for the projected Efficiency Initiative savings. The Commission will strive in the future to ask the questions suggested in this recommendation, citing this audit in support of the inquiry.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR STATE COMPLIANCE PURPOSES

SUMMARY

Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in this section of the report includes the following:

• Fiscal Schedules and Analysis:

Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances
Comparative Schedule of Net Appropriations, Expenditures
and Lapsed Balances
Schedule of Efficiency Initiative Payments
Comparative Schedule of Receipts, Disbursements and Fund Balance
(Cash Basis) - Locally Held Funds
Schedule of Changes in State Property
Comparative Schedule of Cash Receipts
Reconciliation Schedule of Cash Receipts to Deposits Remitted
to the State Comptroller
Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenditures
Analysis of Significant Variations in Receipts
Analysis of Significant Lapse Period Spending
Analysis of Accounts Receivable

• Analysis of Operations:

Agency Functions and Planning Program Average Number of Employees Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Not examined)

The auditors' report that covers the Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in the Compliance Report Section states the auditors have applied certain limited procedures as prescribed by the Audit Guide as adopted by the Auditor General, except for information on the Service Efforts and Accomplishments on which they did not perform any procedures. However, the auditors do not express an opinion on the supplementary information.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION
SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES AND LAPSED BALANCES

For The Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

					Lai	Lapse Period		Total		
	A	Appropriations			Exj	Expenditures	Ë	Expenditures	В	Balances
P.A. 93-0842 & 93-0681 FISCAL YEAR 2005		(Net of Transfers)	Ex	Expenditures Through June 30	. 4	July 1 to August 31	14 N	14 Months Ended August 31	_ <u> </u>	Lapsed August 31
		(2)					1			
General Revenue Fund - 001										
Personal Services	↔	5,935,300	\$	5,645,184	\$	240,471	↔	5,885,655	8	49,645
Employee Retirement										
Contributions Paid by Employer State Contributions to State		6,528		6,528		0		6,528		0
Employees' Retirement System		955,900		902,495		27,870		930,365		25,535
State Contributions to Social Security		451,100		413,146		17,665		430,811		20,289
Contractual Services		142,010		142,003		0		142,003		7
Travel		154,586		114,952		25,530		140,482		14,104
Commodities		13,400		9,603		1,718		11,321		2,079
Printing		5,700		4,828		118		4,946		754
Equipment		8,700		7,764		875		8,639		61
Electronic Data Processing		26,400		26,379		20		26,399		1
Telecommunications		244,714		214,037		30,677		244,714		0
Operation of Automotive Equipment		10,400		8,912		1,430		10,342		58
Subtotal Fund - 001	€	7,954,738	↔	7,495,831	↔	346,374	↔	7,842,205	↔	112,533
Guardianship and Advocacy Fund - 297										
Services pursuant to Section 5 of the Guardianship and Advocacy Act	↔	187,700	↔	83,304	↔	49,569	↔	132,873	↔	54,827
Subtotal Fund - 297	↔	187,700	↔	83,304	↔	49,569	↔	132,873	↔	54,827
TOTAL - ALL FUNDS	↔	8,142,438	↔	7,579,135	S	395,943	÷	7,975,078	S	167,360

Note: Appropriations, expenditures, and lapsed balances were obtained from Agency records and have been reconciled to records of the State Comptroller.

STATE OF ILLINOIS

GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES AND LAPSED BALANCES For The Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2004

P.A. 93-0065 FISCAL YEAR 2004	Ap	Appropriations (Net of Transfers)	Ey	Expenditures Through June 30	Laj Ex J	Lapse Period Expenditures July 1 to August 31	E 14 N	Total Expenditures 14 Months Ended August 31	l A	Balances Lapsed August 31
General Revenue Fund - 001										
Personal Services	↔	6,075,105	↔	5,653,690	S	244,027	↔	5,897,717	↔	177,388
Contributions Paid by Employer		242,406		152,219		6,595		158,814		83,592
Employees' Retirement System		816.466		526.335		C		526.335		290.131
State Contributions to Social Security		450,627		422,955		18,129		441,084		9,543
Contractual Services		230,863		230,399		422		230,821		42
Travel		149,203		122,260		21,471		143,731		5,472
Commodities		10,963		10,943		0		10,943		20
Printing		4,305		4,276		0		4,276		29
Equipment		6,497		715		5,781		6,496		_
Electronic Data Processing		41,511		17,883		23,628		41,511		0
Telecommunications		277,637		252,354		25,281		277,635		2
Operation of Automotive Equipment		12,996		12,082		553		12,635		361
Subtotal Fund - 001	↔	8,318,579	↔	7,406,111	↔	345,887	↔	7,751,998	€	566,581
Guardianship and Advocacy Fund - 297										
Services pursuant to Section 5 of the Guardianship and Advocacy Act	↔	192,167	↔	78,148	↔	26,333	↔	104,481	↔	87,686
Subtotal Fund - 297	↔	192,167	↔	78,148	↔	26,333	↔	104,481	↔	87,686
TOTAL - ALL FUNDS	↔	8,510,746	↔	7,484,259	>	372,220	↔	7,856,479	↔	654,267

Note: Appropriations, expenditures, and lapsed balances were obtained from Agency records and have been reconciled to records of the State Comptroller.

STATE OF ILLINOIS

GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION

COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NET APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES AND LAPSED BALANCES

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30,

Fisca.	l Year
--------	--------

	2005	2004	2003
	P.A. 93-0842	P.A. 93-0065	P.A. 92-0538
	& 93-0681		
General Revenue Fund - 001			
·			
Appropriations (Net of Transfers)	\$7,954,738	\$ 8,318,579	\$ 8,514,300
<u>Expenditures</u>			
Personal Services	\$5,885,655	\$ 5,897,717	\$ 6,311,441
Employee Retirement Contributions Paid by Employer	6,528	158,814	243,592
State Contributions to State Employees' Retirement System		526,335	651,627
State Contributions to Social Security	430,811	441,084	473,557
Contractual Services	142,003	230,821	276,187
Travel	140,482	143,731	151,797
Commodities	11,321	10,943	13,994
Printing	4,946	4,276	10,095
Equipment	8,639	6,496	1,296
Electronic Data Processing	26,399	41,511	51,936
Telecommunications	244,714	277,635	257,983
Operation of Automotive Equipment	10,342	12,635	11,298
Total Expenditures - Fund 001	\$7,842,205	\$ 7,751,998	\$ 8,454,803
Lapsed Balances	\$ 112,533	\$ 566,581	\$ 59,497
	_		
Guardianship and Advocacy Fund - 297			
Appropriations (Net of Transfers)	\$ 187,700	\$ 192,167	\$ 210,000
Appropriations (Net of Transfers)	\$ 187,700	\$ 192,107	\$ 210,000
Expenditures:			
Services pursuant to Section 5 of the			
Guardianship and Advocacy Act	132,873	104,481	65,608
Lapsed Balances	\$ 54,827	\$ 87,686	\$ 144,392
GRAND TOTAL, ALL FUNDS			
Appropriations (net of transfers)	\$8,142,438	\$ 8,510,746	\$ 8,724,300
Total Expenditures	\$7,975,078	\$ 7,856,479	\$ 8,520,411
Lapsed Balances	\$ 167,360	\$ 654,267	\$ 203,889

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF EFFICIENCY INITIATIVE PAYMENTS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005

FISCAL YEAR 2005

Information Technology Initiatives		A	mount
General Revenue Fund - 001			
Telecommunications		\$	11,708
FISCAL YEAR 2004			
D			
Procurement Efficiency Initiative			
General Revenue Fund - 001		4	40.000
Contractual Services		\$	10,000
Telecommunications			30,000
		\$	40,000
Information Technology Initiatives			
General Revenue Fund - 001			
Telecommunications		\$	29,021
Vehicle Fleet Initiatives			
General Revenue Fund - 001			
Operation of Automotive Equipment		\$	2,283
	FY04 Total	\$	71,304

Note: This schedule includes only those payments made pursuant to 30 ILCS 105/6p-5.

Amounts were obtained from the Commission and reconciled to information from

the Office of the Comptroller.

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS AND FUND BALANCE (CASH BASIS) - LOCALLY HELD FUNDS

For the Two Years Ended June 30,

	Ward	d's Trust Fund 1162
Cash Balance at July 1, 2003	\$	2,168,252
Receipts:		2,764,038
Disbursements:		3,381,780
Cash Balance at June 30, 2004	\$	1,550,510
Cash Balance at July 1, 2004	\$	1,550,510
Receipts:		2,179,453
Disbursements:		2,373,185
Cash Balance at June 30, 2005	\$	1,356,778

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN STATE PROPERTY

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005

	E	quipment
Balance at July 1, 2003	\$	985,122
Additions		11,698
Deletions		925
Net Transfers		(20,241)
Balance at June 30, 2004	\$	977,504
Balance at July 1, 2004	\$	977,504
Additions		87,628
Deletions		0
Net Transfers		(88,429)
Balance at June 30, 2005	\$	976,703

Note: The above schedule has been derived from Agency records which have been reconciled to property reports submitted to the Office of the Comptroller.

STATE OF ILLINOIS

GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION

COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF CASH RECEIPTS AND RECONCILIATION SCHEDULE OF CASH RECEIPTS TO DEPOSITS REMITTED TO THE STATE COMPTROLLER

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30,

Comparative Schedule of Cash Receipts

	2005	2004	2003
General Revenue Fund - 001 Jury duty & recoveries	\$ 201	\$ 202	\$ 262
Guardianship and Advocacy Fund - 297 Fee assessments	\$ 73,909	\$ 129,947	\$ 116,890
Total Receipts - All Funds	\$ 74,110	\$ 130,149	\$ 117,152

Reconciliation Schedule of Cash Receipts to Deposits Remitted to the State Comptroller

	20	05	2	004	2	003
General Revenue Fund (001)						_
Receipts per Agency Records	\$	201	\$	202	\$	262
Add: Deposits in Transit, Beginning of Year		3		0		17
Less: Deposits in Transit, End of Year		17		3		0
Deposits Recorded by the Comptroller	\$	187	\$	199	\$	279
Guardianship and Advocacy Fund (297)						
Receipts per Agency Records	\$ 73	,909	\$ 12	29,947	\$ 11	6,890
Add: Deposits in Transit, Beginning of Year		50		250		1,925
Less: Deposits in Transit, End of Year		315		50		250
Deposits Recorded by the Comptroller	\$ 73	,644	\$ 13	0,147	\$ 11	8,565

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION

ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN EXPENDITURES

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005

ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN EXPENDITURES BETWEEN FISCAL YEARS 2005 and 2004

General Revenue Fund (001)

Employee Retirement Contributions Paid by Employer

The decrease in Employee Retirement Contribution expenditures in FY05 was due to the State ceasing to pay the Employee's portion of the State Employee Retirement Contribution in FY05.

State Contribution to State Employees' Retirement System

The increase in the State Contribution to State Employees' Retirement System expenditures in FY05 was due to the State suspending payments into the State Employees' Retirement System in FY04 as a result of Public Act 93-0665, which became effective in March 2004. The Act suspended contribution payments from the effective date of the Act through the payment of the final payroll from FY04 appropriations. Contributions resumed in FY05.

In addition the overall State employee retirement rate increased from 13.4% in FY04 to 16.1% in FY05.

Contractual Services

The decrease in FY05 was due to the Department of Central Management Services and the Office of Management and Budget transferring appropriations from the Commission's contractual line for the Facilities Management function and the On-Line Legal Services function in FY05 pursuant to Executive Order 2003-10.

Equipment

The increase in FY05 was due to the Commission purchasing a copy machine during FY05.

EDP

The decrease in FY05 was due to the Commission purchasing EDP equipment from the Guardianship and Advocacy (GAC) Fund during FY05 instead of the General Revenue Fund.

Guardianship and Advocacy Fund (297)

Services Pursuant to Section 5 of the Guardianship and Advocacy Act

The increase in FY05 was due to the Commission purchasing various EDP and equipment needs from the GAC Fund during FY05 instead of the General Revenue Fund.

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN EXPENDITURES

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005

ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN EXPENDITURES BETWEEN FISCAL YEARS 2004 and 2003

General Revenue Fund (001)

Employee Retirement Contributions Paid by Employer

The decrease in expenditures in FY04 was due to the State suspending payments into the State Employees' Retirement System in FY04 as a result of Public Act 93-0665, which became effective in March 2004. The Act suspended contribution payments from the effective date of the Act through the payment of the final payroll from FY04 appropriations.

Commodities

The decrease in FY04 was due to the Commission cutting back on commodities expenditures in order to cover the imposed 2% reserve by the Office of Management and Budget for FY04.

Printing

The decrease in FY04 was due to the Commission utilizing in-house copy machines to save on printing costs during FY04.

Equipment

The increase in equipment expenditures was due to the purchase of a new copier in FY04.

EDP

Several EDP equipment items were purchased utilizing the Guardianship and Advocacy Fund during FY04 decreasing expenditures from the EDP line in the General Revenue Fund.

Guardianship and Advocacy Fund (297)

<u>Services Pursuant to Section 5 of the Guardianship and Advocacy Act</u> The increase was due to the purchase of EDP equipment during FY04.

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN RECEIPTS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005

ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN RECEIPTS BETWEEN FISCAL YEARS 2005 and 2004

Guardianship and Advocacy Fund (297)

The decrease in Guardianship and Advocacy Commission fees was due to fewer wards with estates large enough to warrant fees in FY05. Two estates lost accounted for approximately \$30,000.

ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN RECEIPTS BETWEEN FISCAL YEARS 2004 and 2003

There were no significant variations in receipts between fiscal years 2004 and 2003.

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT LAPSE PERIOD SPENDING

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005

FISCAL YEAR 2005

General Revenue Fund (001)

There were no significant lapse period expenditures made out of the General Revenue Fund during FY05.

Guardianship and Advocacy Fund (297)

Services Pursuant to Section 5 of the Guardianship and Advocacy Act

Expenditures of \$49,569 (27%) were spent out of the Guardianship and Advocacy Fund during the lapse period. Requests for equipment and EDP equipment are gathered over a period of several months from all offices and a spending plan is created to analyze the spending needs of the agency before the purchases are made. This causes expenditures to be greater at the end of each fiscal year, including lapse period.

FISCAL YEAR 2004

General Revenue Fund (001)

Equipment

Expenditures of \$5,781 (89%) were made during the lapse period due to the purchase of a new copier in June 2004. Payment for the item was made during the lapse period.

EDP

Expenditures of \$23,628 (57%) were made during the lapse period. Requests for EDP equipment are gathered over a period of several months from all offices and a spending plan is created to analyze the spending needs of the Commission before the purchases are made. This causes expenditures to be greater at the end of each fiscal year, including lapse period.

Guardianship and Advocacy Fund (297)

Services Pursuant to Section 5 of the Guardianship and Advocacy Act

Expenditures of \$26,333 (25%) were made out of the Guardianship and Advocacy Fund during the lapse period. Requests for equipment and EDP equipment are gathered over a period of several months from all offices and a spending plan is created to analyze the spending needs of the agency before the purchases are made. This causes expenditures to be greater at the end of each fiscal year, including lapse period.

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005

Guardianship and Advocacy Fund - 297

The Commission had an accounts receivable balance of \$3,647 and \$6,550 at June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively. These amounts represent receivables related to Guardianship and Advocacy Commission fee payments from the wards. All accounts receivable are considered to be current.

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION

ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005

AGENCY FUNCTIONS AND PLANNING PROGRAM

Functions

The Guardianship and Advocacy Commission (Commission) was created in 1979 by enactment of the Guardianship and Advocacy Act (20 ILCS 3955/1 et seq.) to safeguard the rights of persons with disabilities. This Act mandated the Commission to establish three divisions:

- 1. Legal Advocacy Service to provide legal consultation and representation to disabled people in a variety of settings: mental health facilities, residential programs, community placements and nursing homes.
- 2. Human Rights Authority to investigate alleged rights violations of disabled people residing in public or private facilities.
- 3. Office of State Guardian handles the personal, financial, and legal affairs of developmentally disabled, mentally ill and elderly persons with disabilities.

The Commission is bi-partisan and is comprised of nine private citizens and two legislators appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Illinois Senate for three-year terms. These Commissioners serve in a voluntary capacity to govern the agency and are chosen for a particular area of expertise.

The Commission meets at least quarterly to perform its mandated duties through the following functions:

- Establish policy guidelines for the operation of the Agency.
- Review actions taken by regional authorities.
- Establish rules and regulations for the conduct of work of the three divisions.
- Review and evaluate the operations of the divisions.
- Approve and evaluate the Agency Director.
- Approve the budget and an annual report on its operations for submission to the Governor and General Assembly.

Monthly statistical reports are prepared on the ACCESS case management software system to present to management and the Commission. In the fiscal area, a spreadsheet is updated bimonthly showing the current status of the budgetary line items.

The Director is mandated to organize and administer programs to provide the above services. The Commission's central office is located in Springfield and a general office is located in Chicago. The field offices are located in Rantoul, Anna, Alton, Des Plaines, Peoria, Rockford, and Hines

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION

ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005

Planning Program

The Commission has developed a plan that incorporates the areas of: programs, policy, public relations, human resources, technology and financial development. Outcomes have been developed in each of these areas. Indicators for each outcome will further focus the work of the Commission and make progress more measurable.

Auditor's Assessment

The Commission has established goals and objectives through the formation of program policies and a three-year plan. These goals are consistent with the Commission's statutory mandate and authority.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES

The following table, prepared from Agency records, presents the average number of employees, by function, for the Fiscal Years ended June 30,

Division	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
General Office/Clerical	9	9	9
Office of State Guardian	82	82	91
Legal Advocacy Service	10	13	15
Human Rights Authority	8	8	8
Total average full-time employees	109	112	123

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION SERVICE EFFORTS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005 (Not Examined)

The Agency's Management provided the following information consisting of statistical and programmatic data for the two years ended June 30, 2005.

Office of State Guardian

The Office of State Guardian (OSG) is statutorily mandated to serve as the guardian of last resort of adults with disabilities. The OSG is appointed guardian by the courts when these adults are not able to make or communicate decisions concerning their welfare, care or estate. When a family member or friend is willing and able to serve as the guardian, the OSG is not appointed.

The OSG is required by law to procure and monitor services provided to its wards and to visit each ward a minimum of four times a year. Additional visits may be required to ensure the wards are not harmed or exploited. When named as the guardian of a person and/or estate, the OSG must inventory and manage property, invest funds, pay bills and prepare tax returns.

Activity Measures

	<u>FY2004</u>	FY2005
Number of medical consents given in response to	11,566	11,382
request from service providers		
Number of total Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy	5,727	5,277
Commission intakes		
Number of inquiries specifically about guardianship	3,153	2,182
Number of pending guardianship cases	1,464	1,461
Number of care plans reviewed in response to	6,780	6,829
service provider requests		
Number of new temporary appointments – petitions	187	178
filed by outside attorneys appointing the OSG		
Number of new plenary appointments – petitions	354	366
filed by outside attorneys appointing the OSG		
Number of after hours on call consents, inquiries,	6,296	5,964
and referrals		
Number of placement changes in response to ward	1,065	999
needs		
Amount of IGAC fee collections (thousands)	\$128.0	\$73.9
Percentage of wards who are Medicaid recipients	97%	97%
Number of visits to wards completed on a quarterly	20,790	19,810
basis		
Total number of wards served	5,393	5,316
Total number of clients served (guardianship	10,010	8,959
intakes, pending cases, and OSG wards)		

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION SERVICE EFFORTS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005 (Not Examined)

Office of State Guardian

Activity Measures (continued)

	FY2004	FY2005
Closed cases	481	461
Number of supplemental contacts with OSG wards	1,704	1,924
Number of contacts with wards' families	627	539
Number of fiduciary transactions performed on	13,429	11,391
behalf of wards' estates		
Total dollar amount of ward transactions (receipts	\$5,771.5	\$4,473.3
and disbursements) (in thousands)		
Percentage of staff who are certified as Registered	93%	95%
Guardians through the National Guardianship		
Foundation		
Average caseload per worker	127	126

<u>Customer Service Based Performance Measures</u>

	FY2004	FY2005
Percentage of cases in which the OSG was appointed	11%	13%
legal guardian		
Percentage of case in which alternatives to OSG	89%	88%
guardianship were located		
Percentage of survey respondents who report	94%	82%
satisfaction with the intake process		

Efficiency Indicators

	FY2004	FY2005
Cost per client served	\$612	\$703

Legal Advocacy Service

The Legal Advocacy Service (LAS) was created to provide a necessary due process component to the judicial system by protecting and enforcing the legal rights of individuals in mental health treatment proceedings and, where alternative legal counsel is unavailable, providing legal counsel and representation to individuals with disabilities to protect and enforce rights as guaranteed by related state, local and federal laws.

LAS clients include minors and adults, whether residing in their community or in treatment and/or habilitation facilities.

STATE OF ILLINOIS

GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION SERVICE EFFORTS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005 (Not Examined)

<u>Legal Advocacy Service (continued)</u>

In general, LAS services can be divided into three categories: (1) responding to individual requests for information regarding legal rights of persons with disabilities; (2) investigating alleged violations of the mental health laws and providing advocacy assistance to remedy substantiated violations, and; (3) servings as legal counsel, in the trial and reviewing courts, in proceedings relating to admission to, retention in, enforced treatment while admitted (psychotropic meditation and/ or electroconvulsive therapy) and release from public and private facilities.

The activities of the LAS are statutorily mandated by Illinois law. Litigation is pursued on the rare occasion when an appropriate remedy cannot be achieved by notification, education and negotiation. LAS staff also provide technical assistance to the Agency's HRA and OSG divisions and maintain a reviewing court decision outline on the Agency's home page onto the Internet.

Activity Measures

	FY2004	FY2005
Request for information, referral, or assistance	1,612	1,731
Cases handled	6,735	7,551
Total clients served	8,222	8,384

Customer Service Based Performance Measures

	FY2004	FY2005
Percentage of cases referred to higher courts	78%	73%

Efficiency Indicators-Internal Process

	FY2004	FY2005
Average cost per client	\$143	\$144
Average cost per case handled	\$175	\$160

Efficiency Indicators-External Benchmarks

	F I 2004	F 1 2005
Private sector cost for equivalent staff hours (in	\$4,100	\$3,081
thousands)		
Percentage that program costs the State when	29%	32%
compared to private sector rates		

EV2004

EV2005

STATE OF ILLINOIS GUARDIANSHIP AND ADVOCACY COMMISSION

SERVICE EFFORTS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2005 (Not Examined)

Human Rights Authority

The Human Right Authority (HRA) investigates complaints of alleged rights violations committed by private and public providers that serve persons with disabilities. Regional authorities, staffed largely by volunteers appointed by the Commissioners, comprise the entire division.

The Authority's investigations offer a mechanism for non-adversarial negotiations with service providers when complaints can be substantiated. The HRA makes recommendations for corrective action. Recipients benefiting from investigations are those persons with disabilities affected by HRA recommendations that were adopted by serviced providers.

Activity Measures

	FY2004	FY2005
Number of information and referral inquiries	391	299
Number of HRA cases handled	748	748
Number of recommendations for improvement	281	233
issued to service providers for substantiated		
findings		
Number of recommendations accepted and	265	229
implemented by service providers		
Percentage of cases referred for enforcement	0.2%	0.4%
actions		
Number of persons with disabilities benefiting	12,858	16,834
from HRA recommendations		
Number of volunteer hours HRA members	5,976	5,875
contributed to the HRA		

Customer Service Based Performance Measures

	FY2004	FY2005
Percentage of recommendations accepted and	94%	98%
implemented by service providers		

Efficiency Indicators- Internal Process

	FY2004	FY2005
Cost per recipient benefited	\$43	\$34
Cost per case handled	\$735	\$756