State of Illinois Judges' Retirement System Compliance Examination

For the Year Ended June 30, 2011 Performed as Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, State of Illinois



Compliance Examination For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

Table of Contents

Judges' Retirement System of Illinois Officials1
Management Assertion Letter2
Compliance Report
Summary
Accountant's Reports
Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance, on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes4
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>
Schedule of Findings
Current Findings – Government Auditing Standards9
Financial Statement Report
The System's financial statement report for the year ended June 30, 2011, which includes the report of independent auditors, management discussion and analysis, required supplementary information other than management discussion and analysis, basic financial statements and notes, supplementary information, and the independent auditor's report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of basic financial statements performed in accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> has been issued separately.
Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes
Summary
Fiscal Schedules and Analysis
Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances
Comparative Schedules of Net Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances
Schedule of Changes in State Property14
Comparative Schedule of Cash Receipts15
Reconciliation of Cash Receipts to Deposits Remitted to the State Comptroller16
Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenses17
Analysis of Significant Variations in Cash Receipts
Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions

Compliance Examination For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

Continued

1	Analysis of Significant Statement of Plan Net Asset Accounts	.21
1	Analysis of Contributions Receivable	.22
1	Analysis of Investment Performance (Unaudited)	.23
Ana	alysis of Operations	
	System's Functions and Planning Program	.24
]	Progress in Funding the System	.25
]	Rates of Return (Unaudited)	.28
1	System Employees	29
(Comparison of Administrative Expenses to Total Expenses	29
	Schedule of Contributions/Deductions and Effect on Investments	30
1	Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Unaudited)	31

.

For the Year Ended June 30, 2011


System Officials

Executive Secretary Division Manager Accounting Division Supervisor Timothy B. Blair Jayne Waldeck David M. Richter, CPA

Office Locations

2101 South Veterans Parkway P.O. Box 19255 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9255

State of Illinois Building 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite N725 Chicago, Illinois 60601



State Employees' Retirement System of Illinois
General Assembly Retirement System

• Judges' Retirement System of Illinois

Internet: http://www.state.ll.us/srs E-Mail: sers@srs.lllinois.gov

2101 South Veterans Parkway, P.O. Box 19255, Springfield, IL 62794-9255

April 17, 2012

BKD, LLP

State Employees' Retirement System of Illinois (217)785-7444

TTY (217)785-7218

Accounting (217)765-7191

Admin. Services (217)785-6971

Deaths (217)785-7366

Deaths Fax (217) 524-2293

Disabililies (217)785-7318 Disabilities Fm (217) 785-6961

Group Insurance (217)785-7150

Group Ins. Fax (217) 557-0510

Pensions (217)785-7343

Pensions Fax (217) 524-2293

Vouchering (217)785-7084 Vouchering Fax (217) 557-0510

Data Processing (217)785-6957

Exec. Offices (217)785-7016

Exec. Office Fax (217)557-3943

Gen. Info. Fax (217)785-7019

Field Services (217)785-6979 Field Serv, Fax (217)557-5154

Refunds (217)785-7187

Service (217)785-7167

Service & Refunds Fax (217)785-6964

Chicago Office (312)814-5853 Certified Public Accountants 225 North Water Street, Suite 400 Post Office Box 1580 Decatur, IL 62525-1580

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are responsible for the identification of, and compliance with, all aspects of laws, regulations, contracts, or grant agreements that could have a material effect on the operations of the Judges' Retirement System (System). We are responsible for and we have established and maintained an effective system of internal controls over compliance requirements. We have performed an evaluation of the System's compliance with the following assertions during the year ended June 30, 2011. Based on this evaluation, we assert that during the year ended June 30, 2011 the System has materially complied with the assertions below.

- A. The System has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- B. The System has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt or use.
- C. The System has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- D. The State revenues and receipts collected by the system are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate and in accordance with law.
- E. The money or negotiable securities or similar assets handled by the System on behalf of the State or held in trust by the System have been properly and legally administered, and the accounting and recordkeeping relating thereto is proper, accurate and in accordance with law.

Yours very truly,

Judges' Retirement System of Illinois

Temothy B.

Timothy B. Blair, Executive Secretary

XUIT

David M. Richter, CPA, Accounting Division Supervisor

Chicago Fax (312)614-5805 Judges' Retirement System of Illinois (217)762-8500

General Assembly Retirement System (217)782-8500

Compliance Report

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois Compliance Report Summary For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

The compliance testing performed during this examination was conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and in accordance with the Illinois State Auditing Act.

Accountants' Reports

The Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance, on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes does not contain scope limitations, disclaimers or other significant non-standard language.

Summary of Findings and Recommendations

Number of	Current Report	Prior Report
Findings	1	None
Repeated findings	None	None
Prior recommendations implemented or not repeated	None	None

Schedule of Findings and Recommendations

,

Item No.	Item No. Page Description							
Current Finding (Government Auditing Standards)								
11-1	9	Journal Entry Review	Significant Deficiency					

In addition, the following finding which is reported as a current finding relating to *Government Auditing Standards* also met the reporting requirements for State Compliance.

11-1	9	Journal Entry Review	Significant Deficiency
			and Noncompliance

Exit Conference

System officials waived a formal exit conference in correspondence dated February 29, 2012. Responses to the recommendations were provided by Nicholas Merrill, Jr. in correspondence dated March 14, 2012.



Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance, on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes

Honorable William G. Holland Auditor General State of Illinois and The Board of Trustees Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois

Compliance

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have examined the Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois' (System) compliance with the requirements listed below, as more fully described in the *Audit Guide for Financial Audits and Compliance Attestation Engagements of Illinois State Agencies* (Audit Guide) as adopted by the Auditor General, during the year ended June 30, 2011. The management of the Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois is responsible for compliance with these requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the System's compliance based on our examination.

- A. The System has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- B. The System has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt or use.
- C. The System has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- D. State revenues and receipts collected by the System are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate and in accordance with law.
- E. Money or negotiable securities or similar assets handled by the System on behalf of the State or held in trust by the System have been properly and legally administered and the accounting and recordkeeping relating thereto is proper, accurate and in accordance with law.





We conducted our examination in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; the Illinois State Auditing Act (Act); and the Audit Guide as adopted by the Auditor General pursuant to the Act; and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the System's compliance with those requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the System's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the System complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report during the year ended June 30, 2011. However, the results of our procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the requirements, which is required to be reported in accordance with criteria established by the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings as item 11-1.

Internal Control

Management of the System is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report. In planning and performing our examination, we considered the System's internal control over compliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report as a basis for designing our examination procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal controls over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency* in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct noncompliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report on a timely basis. A *material weakness* over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a requirement listed in the first paragraph of this report will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we considered to be significant deficiencies as described in the accompanying schedule of findings as item 11-1. A significant deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

There were no immaterial findings that have been excluded from this report.

The System's response to the finding identified in our examination is described in the accompanying schedule of findings. We did not examine the System's response and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have audited the statement of plan net assets of the System as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the related statement of changes in plan net assets for the years then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated January 27, 2012. We did not audit the 2011 and 2010 financial statements of the Illinois State Board of Investment, an internal investment pool of the State of Illinois, which represent 96 percent, 97 percent, and 57 percent, respectively in 2011, and 96 percent, 97 percent, and 31 percent, respectively in 2010, of total assets, net assets held in trust for pension benefits, and total additions of the System. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose report thereon has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the Illinois State Board of Investment is based on the report of other auditors. The accompanying supplementary information, as listed in the table of contents as Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the System. The 2011 and 2010 Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes, except for that portion marked "unaudited" on which we express no opinion, has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audits of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, taken as a whole.

We have also previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, the System's statement of plan net assets for the year ended June 30, 2009 and the related statement of changes in plan net assets for the year then ended and have issued our report dated February 2, 2010. We did not audit the 2009 financial statements of Illinois State Board of Investment, an internal investment pool of the State of Illinois, which represents 91 percent, 91 percent, and 262 percent, respectively in 2009 of total assets, net assets held in trust for pension benefits, and total additions of the System. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose report thereon has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the Illinois State Board of Investment is based on the report of other auditors. In our opinion, the 2009 Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes, except for the portion marked "unaudited," is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2009, taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Auditor General, the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Commission, the Governor, the Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois Board of Trustees, and the System's management, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BKD, LLP

April 17, 2012, except for the Supplementary Information for State Compliance purposes paragraph, as to which the date is January 27, 2012



Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

The Honorable William G. Holland Auditor General State of Illinois and The Board of Trustees Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have audited the financial statements of the Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois (System), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011 and have issued our report thereon dated January 27, 2012, which contained a reference to the report of other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Illinois State Board of Investment, as described in our Independent Auditor's Report on the System's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the System is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the System's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, detect and correct misstatement on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the System's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.





Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting of the System that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as item 11-1, that we consider to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the System's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The System's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings. We did not audit the System's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Auditor General, the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Commission, the Governor, the Board of Trustees of the System and System management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BKDur

January 27, 2012

Current Finding – Government Auditing Standards June 30, 2011

11-1. Finding – Journal Entry Review

The Judges' Retirement System (System) does not have a policy and procedure for the review of financial journal entries or journal entry reconciliations by a person independent of the person that initiates them.

During our audit testing, we noted the same individual prepares and records the financial journal entries without an independent review by another individual. It was also noted the monthly journal entry reconciliations are prepared by the same individual who records the entries.

The Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act (Act) (30 ILCS 10/3001) notes agencies shall establish and maintain a system of internal and fiscal and administrative controls, which shall provide assurance that revenues, expenditures, and transfers of assets, resources, or funds applicable to operations are properly recorded and accounted for to permit the preparation of accounts and reliable financial and statistical reports and to maintain accountability over the State's resources.

System officials indicated the management staff preparing the journal entries are not involved in the preparation and/or processing of the underlying transactions. Due to the relatively small size of the Accounting Division, however, there has been a lack of appropriate personnel to perform a meaningful review of financial journal entries and reconciliations. However, there is a subsequent, independent review of the System's financial statements on a quarterly basis for potential irregularities.

The lack of an independent review of financial journal entries and reconciliations leaves the System open to risks of error and material misstatement of financial information. (Finding Code No. 11-1)

Recommendation:

We recommend the System develop a policy and procedure for someone independent of the individual preparing and recording financial journal entries and reconciliations to document their review of the financial journal entries, reconciliations and related supporting documentation.

System Response:

The System will reallocate the review function of financial journal entries to other management staff which are independent of the person that initiates them. The System recently hired another management staff member who will provide assistance in the financial journal entry review process. The new process will be incorporated into the System's policy and procedures in fiscal year 2012.

Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes

.

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes Summary For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in this section of the report includes the following:

• Fiscal Schedules and Analysis:

Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances Comparative Schedules of Net Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances Schedule of Changes in State Property Comparative Schedules of Cash Receipts Reconciliation of Cash Receipts to Deposits Remitted to the State Comptroller Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenses Analysis of Significant Variations in Cash Receipts Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions Analysis of Significant Statement of Plan Net Asset Accounts Analysis of Contributions Receivable Analysis of Investment Performance (Unaudited)

• Analysis of Operations:

System's Functions and Planning Program Progress in Funding the System Rates of Return (Unaudited) System Employees Comparison of Administrative Expenses to Total Expenses Schedule of Contributions/Deductions and Effect on Investments Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Unaudited)

The accountants' report that covers the Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in the Compliance Report Section states that it has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audits of the basic financial statements and, in the auditors' opinion, except for that portion marked "unaudited," on which they express no opinion, it is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Fiscal Schedules and Analysis

Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2011 Fourteen Months Ended August 31, 2011

	Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	Expenditures Through June 30, 2011	Lapse Period Expenditures July 1, 2011 through August 31, 2011	Total Expenditures	Balances Lapsed
Appropriated Funds General Revenue Fund – 001 Continuing appropriation					
for pension contributions	\$ <u>15,041,832</u>	\$ <u>15,041,832</u>	\$	\$ <u>15,041,832</u>	\$
Total all appropriated					
funds	\$ <u>15,041,832</u>	15,041,832		15,041,832	\$
Nonappropriated Funds Judges' Retirement System Fund – 0477					
Personal services	\$	437,808		437,808	s —
Employee retirement	-			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	•
pickup		11,099		11,099	
Retirement contributions Social Security		122,586	-	122,586	
contributions		32,552		32,552	
Group insurance		82,213		82,213	
Contractual services		103,539	6,098	109,637	
Travel		5,079	·	5,079	
Purchase of investments	*******	47,386,951		47,386,951	Additional Section 1.
Commodities		1,074		1,074	
Printing			3,555	3,555	
Equipment		341		341	
Electronic data processing		3,209	3,197	6,406	
Telecommunications		3,239	612	3,851	
Automotive		1,869	957	2,826	
Nonrecurring refunds and distributions		607,867		607,867	
Pensions, annuities and benefits		100,222,264		100,222,264	
Refunds, prior calendar , year contributions		68,829		68,829	
Refunds, not elsewhere				P.	
classified		4,287		4,287	
Subtotal – Fund 0477		149,094,806	14,419	149,109,225	

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2011

Fourteen Months Ended August 31, 2011

(Continued)

	Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	Expenditures Through June 30, 2011	Lapse Period Expenditures July 1, 2011 through August 31, 2011	Total Expenditures	Balances Lapsed
Nonappropriated Funds (Continued) Judges' Retirement Excess Benefit Fund – 0787 Pension, annuities and benefits	\$	\$ <u>497,310</u>	\$	\$ <u>497,310</u>	\$
Total nonappropriated funds	\$	<u>149.592,116</u>	14.419	149,606,535	\$
Grand total, all Funds		\$ <u>164,633,948</u>	\$ <u>14,419</u>	\$ <u>164,648,367</u>	

Note: The above data was taken from System records which have been reconciled to those of the State Comptroller.

Comparative Schedules of Net Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances For the Years Ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009

	Fiscal Year					
2		2011	_	2010	2009 P.A. 95-0734	
Appropriated Funds						
General Revenue Fund – 001						
Appropriations	\$	15,041,832	\$		\$	59,983,000
Expenditures						
Judges Retirement						51,931,000
Continuing appropriation for pension contributions		15,041,832				8,052,000
Total expenditures		15,041,832				59,983,000
Lapsed balances	\$		\$		\$	
Nonappropriated Funds						
Judges' Retirement System Fund – 0477						
Expenditures						
Personal services	\$	437,808	\$	397,766	\$	392,723
Employee retirement pickup		11,099		13,498		15,721
Retirement contributions		122,586		112,944		82,727
Social Security contributions		32,552		29,492		28,984
Group insurance		82.213		70,527		74,977
Contractual services		109,637		98,178		117,070
Travel		5,079		3,960		6.580
Purchase of investments		47,386,951		78,259,810		0,500
Commodities		1,074		640		980
Printing		3,555		4,280		4,020
Equipment		341		355		767
Electronic data processing		6,406		7,926		7,405
Telecommunications		3,851		3,895		3.330
Automotive		2,826		1,737		2,161
Nonrecurring refunds and distributions		607,867		402,989		391,366
Pensions, annuities and benefits		100,222,264		91,286,610		85,571,348
Refunds, prior calendar year contributions		68,829		56,019		57,943
Refunds, not elsewhere classified		4,287		17,056		200,000
Total expenditures		149,109,225		170,767,682		86,958,102
Judges' Retirement Excess Benefit Fund - 0787						
Pension, annuities and benefits		497,310		290,292		252,511
Total nonappropriated expenditures		149,606,535		171,057,974		87,210,613
Grand total, all Funds	\$	164,648,367	\$	171,057,974	\$	147,193,613

Schedule of Changes in State Property For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

	ginning alance	Ad	lditions	De	eletions	Ending Balance
Equipment Accumulated depreciation	\$ 33,468 (29,627)	\$	458 (922)	\$	(3,088) <u>3,088</u>	\$ 30,838 (27,461)
Equipment, net	\$ 3,841	\$	(464)	\$		\$ 3,377

This schedule has been reconciled to property reports submitted to the Office of the Comptroller.

Comparative Schedule of Cash Receipts For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

		2011	 2010
Receipts:			
Participant contributions	\$	16,582,220	\$ 16,044,945
Employer contributions:			
Pension Contribution Fund		47,386,951	78,509,810
General Revenue Fund		15,041,832	24,992,915
Paid by participants		265,677	
Interest income on cash balances		114,412	133,053
Reimbursements from General Assembly Retirement System		236,884	199,310
Cancellation of annuities, net of overpayments		82,924	50,900
Cancellation of administrative expenses		5,888	26,420
Tax-deferred installment payments		16,261	16,010
Repayment of refunds		139,470	42,621
Transfers from Illinois State Board of Investment		71,200,000	49,800,000
Miscellaneous	_	5,345	 225
Total cash receipts, per book	\$	151,077,864	\$ 169,816,209

Reconciliation of Cash Receipts to Deposits Remitted to the State Comptroller For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

		2011		2010
Total cash receipts, per book	\$	151,077,864	\$	169,816,209
Add (deduct)				
Deposits in transit:				
Beginning of year				5,036
End of year		(96,140)		
Interest on cash balances		(114,412)		(133,053)
Cancellation of annuities		(82,924)		(50,900)
Cancellation of administrative expenses		(5,888)		(26,419)
Bond proceeds processed as a fund transfer from the Pension				
Contribution Fund (#472)	_	(47,386,951)		(78,509,810)
Deposits remitted to the State Comptroller for order into				
the State Treasury	\$_	103,391,549	\$_	91,101,063

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenses For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

The System's expenses have been analyzed for fluctuations greater than \$250,000 and 20% from the previous year.

		 2010	Increase (Decrease)		
Expenditures, State contribution Purchase of investments	\$	15,041,832 47,386,951	\$ 78,259,810	\$	15,041,832 (1) (30,872,859) (1)

(1) During fiscal year 2010, in accordance with its continuing appropriation authority, JRS submitted monthly vouchers to the General Revenue Fund for the July, 2009 through December, 2009 monthly employer contribution allocations. Ultimately, in January, 2010, the State sold bonds to fund the fiscal year 2010 statutorily required employer contribution and JRS received net bond proceeds totaling \$78,509,810 from the Pension Contribution Fund and transferred \$78,259,810 to ISBI for investment purchases. As a result, the General Revenue Fund vouchers were cancelled with no employer contributions being paid from the General Revenue Fund.

During fiscal year 2011, in accordance with its continuing appropriation authority, JRS submitted monthly vouchers to the General Revenue Fund for the July, 2010 through February, 2011 monthly employer contribution allocations of which the July and August vouchers totaling \$15,041,832 were paid. Then, in March, 2011, the State sold bonds to fund the fiscal year 2011 statutorily required employer contribution and JRS received net bond proceeds totaling \$47,386,951. As a result, the September, 2010 through February, 2011 General Revenue Fund vouchers were cancelled with no further employer contributions being paid from the General Revenue Fund.

Analysis of Significant Variations in Cash Receipts For the Years Ended June 30, 2011

The System's cash receipts have been analyzed for fluctuations greater than \$250,000 and 20% from the previous year.

	······	2011	 2010	Increase (Decrease)
Employer contribution – pension fund	\$	47,386,951	\$ 78,509,810	\$ (31,122,859)(1)
Employer contribution – general revenue fund		15,041,832	24,992,915	(9,951,083)(1)
Employer contributions – paid by participants		265,677		265,677 (2)
Transfers from Illinois State Board of Investment		71,200,000	49,800,000	21,400,000 (3)

(1) During fiscal year 2010, in accordance with its continuing appropriation authority, JRS submitted monthly vouchers to the General Revenue Fund for the July, 2009 through December, 2009 monthly employer contribution allocations. Ultimately, in January, 2010, the State sold bonds to fund the fiscal year 2010 statutorily required employer contribution and JRS received net bond proceeds totaling \$78,509,810 from the Pension Contribution Fund. As a result, the General Revenue Fund vouchers were cancelled with no fiscal year 2010 employer contributions being paid from the General Revenue Fund. The \$24,992,915 employer contributions received from the General Revenue Fund during fiscal year 2010, are fiscal year 2009 General Revenue Fund employer contributions that were owed to the System at June 30, 2009.

During fiscal year 2011, in accordance with its continuing appropriation authority, JRS submitted monthly vouchers to the General Revenue Fund for the July, 2010 through February, 2011 monthly employer contribution allocations of which the July and August vouchers totaling \$15,041,832 were paid. Then, in March, 2011, the State sold bonds to fund the fiscal year 2011 statutorily required employer contribution and JRS received net bond proceeds totaling \$47,386,951. As a result, the September, 2010 through February, 2011 General Revenue Fund vouchers were cancelled with no further employer contributions being paid from the General Revenue Fund.

(2) Certain types of optional service purchases require the participant pay employer contributions in addition to employee contributions and interest. During fiscal year 2011, there were three participants who elected to establish optional service requiring the payment of employer contributions. During fiscal year 2010, no participants elected to establish optional service requiring the payment of employer contributions.

(3) It is the System's goal to maintain a cash balance that is sufficient to pay two months of operating expenditures (i.e. benefits, refunds, and administrative). As a result, it is necessary for the System to transfer funds from or to the ISBI as the cash balance falls below or exceeds the projected target balance.

During fiscal year 2011, the System transferred \$71,200,000 from the Illinois State Board of Investment, an increase of \$21,400,000 from the amount transferred during fiscal year 2010. The primary reason for the increase was due to lower statutorily required employer contributions for fiscal year 2011 compared to fiscal year 2010 as well as increased benefit payouts during fiscal year 2011.

Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Schedule of Funding Progress

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Projected Unit Credit (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
6/30/06	\$ 599,234,149	\$1,291,394,861	\$ 692,160,712	46.4%	\$135,400,000	511.2%
6/30/07	670,090,950	1,385,339,573	715,248,623	48.4	142,900,000	500.5
6/30/08	612,680,574	1,457,336,054	844,655,480	42.0	143,700,000	587.8
6/30/09	616,849,071	1,548,509,535	931,660,464	39.8	155,645,000	598.6
6/30/10	619,925,786	1,819,447,826	1,199,522,040	34.1	161,164,000	744.3
6/30/11	614,596,203	1,952,539,400	1,337,943,197	31.5	169,155,000	791.0

* For fiscal years prior to 2009, the actuarial value of assets was equal to the fair value of assets. Beginning in fiscal year 2009, the actuarial value of assets was equal to the fair value of assets adjusted for any actuarial gains or losses from investment return incurred in the fiscal year recognized in equal amounts over the five year period following that fiscal year.

Schedule of Employer Contributions

Year Ended June 30	C(Annual Required ontribution oer GASB Statement No. 25	Percentage Contributed	C	Annual Required Payroll ontribution per State Statute	Percentage Contributed		
2006	\$	62,927,993	46.4 %	\$	29,189,400	100.0%		
2007		73,371,653	48.0		35,236,800	100.0		
2008		75,134,070	62.4		46,872,500	100.0		
2009		78,386,597	76.5		59,983,000	100.0		
2010		86,916,418	90.3		78,832,000	99.6		
2011		95,490,182	65.4		62,377,000	100.1		

Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Notes to Required Supplementary Information

Valuation date Actuarial cost method Amortization method:	June 30, 2011 Projected Unit Credit
(a) For GASB Statement No. 25 reporting purposes	Level percent of payroll
(b) Per State Statute	15-year phase-in to a level percent of payroll until a 90% funding level is achieved
Remaining amortization period: (a) For GASB Statement No. 25 reporting purposes	30 years, open
(b) Per State Statute	34 years, closed
Asset valuation method	Fair value, adjusted for any actuarial gains or losses from investment return incurred in the fiscal year recognized in equal amounts over the five year period following that fiscal year.
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.0 percent per year, compounded annually
Projected salary increases	4.0 percent per year, compounded annually
Assumed inflation rate	3.0 percent
Group size growth rate Postretirement increase	0.0 percent Tier $1 - 3.0$ percent per year, compounded annually
	Tier $2-3.0$ percent per year or the annual change in the CPI for all urban customers, whichever is less, compounded annually
Mortality Rates:	
Active and retired members	The UP-1994 Mortality Table for Males, rated down 3 years
Survivors	The UP-1994 Mortality Table for Females, rated down 2 years

Analysis of Significant Statement of Plan Net Asset Accounts For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Cash Balances

	2011	2010		
Cash in State Treasury	\$ <u>18,015,766</u>	\$ <u>16,644,537</u>		

The increase in cash balances from the prior year is mainly due to timing differences in the receipts, expenditures, and transfer of funds from the Illinois State Board of Investment (ISBI).

Investments

General Information:

Pursuant to Article 22A of the Illinois Pension Code, investments of the Judges' Retirement System of Illinois are managed by the Illinois State Board of Investment (ISBI) and are held in the ISBI Commingled Fund. Units of the ISBI Commingled Fund are issued to the member systems on the last day of the month based on the unit net asset value calculated as of that date. Net investment income of the ISBI Commingled Fund is allocated to each of the member systems on the last day of the month on the basis of percentage of accumulated units owned by the respective systems.

Investment portfolio management and performance are the direct responsibility of the ISBI which establishes investment policy and strategy.

Comparison of the changes in the System's investments held in the ISBI Commingled Fund for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is summarized as follows:

	2011	2010
Balance at beginning of year, at fair value Net cash transferred to (from) investments	\$ 506,463,522 (23,813,049) 482,650,473	\$ 435,604,601
Investment income		
Commingled Fund Income	13,926,694	12,828,991
Expenses	(1,901,411)	(1,952,648)
Net investment income	12,025,283	10,876,343
Net appreciation in fair value of investments		
Net unrealized gain on investments	76,362,254	22,221,528
Net realized gain on sales of investments	16,756,568	9,301,240
Net appreciation in fair value of investments	93,118,822	31,522,768
Total net investment income	105,144,105	42,399,111
Balance at end of year, at fair value	\$ <u>587,794,578</u>	\$ <u>506,463,522</u>

Analysis of Contributions Receivable For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

	 2011	2010		
Participants' contributions	\$ 148,637	161,396		
Refundable annuities	8,895	21,280		
Interest on cash balances	8,261	13,393		
Due from General Assembly Retirement System,				
State of Illinois	 55,523	65,413		
Total receivables	\$ <u>221,316</u>	\$ <u>261,482</u>		

The variance in total receivables from 2010 to 2011 is not considered significant. No receivables were deemed uncollectible at June 30, 2011. Accounts are first analyzed by System personnel for collectability before being sent to the Attorney General's office for final determination.

Analysis of Investment Performance (Unaudited) For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

An analysis of investment performance for the years ended June 30, 2007 through June 30, 2011 and 2010 is summarized as follows:

-	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Total return*	21.7%	9.1%	(20.1)%	(6.2)%	17.1%

* Total return is the combined effect of income earned and market appreciation (depreciation).

Analysis of Operations

Analysis of Operations For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

System's Functions and Planning Program

The Judges' Retirement System of Illinois (System) was created effective July 1, 1941, to establish a method of permitting retirement, without hardship or prejudice, of judges who are aged or otherwise incapacitated, by enabling them to accumulate reserves for themselves and their dependents for old age, disability, death and termination of employment.

The System is governed by Chapter 40, Act 5, Article 18, of the "Illinois Compiled Statutes" and it is administered by a Board of Trustees consisting of five persons, as follows: the State Treasurer, the Chief of the Supreme Court, ex officio and three participating judges appointed by the Supreme Court.

Justice Thomas E. Hoffman is Chairman of the Board of Trustees (Board) and Mr. Timothy B. Blair is the Executive Secretary of the System. The Executive Secretary is appointed by the Board and is charged with the administration of the detailed affairs of the System.

The System is also responsible for the general administration of the State Employees Group Insurance Program as it applies to eligible annuitants. This includes enrollment, processing life insurance claims and other administrative details related to that program.

Currently, the System utilizes a formal planning program which includes, among other things, operational project planning as well as administrative expense budgeting.

During fiscal year 2011, the System implemented the provisions of Senate Bill 1946. This legislation, which Governor Quinn signed into law on April 14, 2010 as Public Act 96-0889, created a "second tier" of benefits for participants who first became participants after December 31, 2010. The most significant changes included limiting the maximum salary that can be used in the calculation of retirement benefits, changing the definition of final average salary to be the highest salary during the 96 consecutive months of service within the 120 months of service, increasing the minimum retirement age, reducing the benefit accrual formula, and limiting the maximum retirement annuity payable to 60% of the participant's final average salary.

In addition, the System, in conjunction with the State Employees' Retirement System's Information Technology Division (IT), began working on the modernization of the State Retirement System's business processes and the computer systems that support them.

During fiscal year 2012, the System will continue to work on the modernization of the State Retirement System's business processes and the computer systems that support them. This will be a multi-year effort and will encompass many projects to achieve the modernization. Some of the numerous projects scheduled for fiscal year 2012 and beyond include: active member system reengineering, network upgrade, imaging system installation, and various software replacements and upgrades.

The information above constitutes System representations and no attempt has been made to evaluate the technical details of the planning or the System's progress toward implementation.

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois Analysis of Operations

For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Progress in Funding the System

In August 1994, Senate Bill 533 was signed into law as Public Act 88-0593. This funding legislation, which became effective July 1, 1995, provides for a systematic 50-year funding plan with an ultimate goal to fund the cost of maintaining and administering the System at an actuarial funded ratio of 90 percent. In addition, the funding plan provides for a 15-year phase-in period to allow the State to adapt to the increased financial commitment. Once the 15-year phase-in is complete, the State's contribution will then remain at a level percentage of payroll for the next 35 years until the 90 percent funded level is achieved.

The funding legislation also provides for the establishment of a continuing appropriation of the required employer contributions to the System. This, in effect, removed the appropriation of those funds from the annual budgetary process.

Public Act 93-0002 became law on April 7, 2003, and authorized the State to issue \$10 billion in general obligation bonds for the purpose of making contributions to the retirement systems. On June 12, 2003, the State issued \$10 billion in General Obligation Bonds, Pension Funding Series of June 2003.

Commencing with fiscal year 2005, the maximum State contribution under Public Act 93-0002 equals the State contribution that would have been required if the general obligation bond contribution had not been made, reduced – but not below zero – by the State's debt service on each system's respective portion of the full \$10 billion of General Obligation Bond, Pension Funding Series of June 2003.

In June 2005, Public Act 94-0004 became law. This legislation further modified the funding plan by reducing the amount of required employer contributions for fiscal years 2006 and 2007 that would have otherwise been required under Public Act 88-0593, as modified by Public Act 93-0002. This act specified the appropriation amounts for fiscal years 2006 and 2007. The required State contributions for fiscal years 2008 through 2010 will then be increased incrementally as a percentage of the participant payroll so that by fiscal year 2011 the State is contributing at the required level contribution rate to achieve the financing objective of a 90% funded status by the end of fiscal year 2045.

Public Act 96-0043 became law on July 15, 2009. As required under PA 96-0043, the method for determining the actuarial value of assets used to determine the employer contribution rate was changed beginning with the June 30, 2009 valuation. The method was changed from the market/fair value to a smoothed value. The smoothed value recognizes actuarial investment gains or losses for each fiscal year, beginning with FY09, in equal amounts over the ensuing five-year period.

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois Analysis of Operations For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Public Act 96-0889 added a lower tier of benefits for members who first contribute to JRS on or after January 1, 2011. When Public Act 96-1511 was enacted in January 2011, it required the System to assume that the provisions of Public Act 96-0889 were in effect on June 30, 2009 and to recalculate and recertify the fiscal year 2011 state funding requirement. Under this recertification, the fiscal year 2011 state contribution requirement was reduced by \$27.9 million, from \$90.3 million to \$62.4 million.

The actuarial accrued liability of the System at June 30, 2011, amounted to approximately \$1,952.5 million. The actuarial value of assets (at smoothed value) at June 30, 2011 amounted to approximately \$614.6 million. The difference between the actuarial accrued liability and the actuarial value of assets of \$1,337.9 million reflects the unfunded actuarial accrued liability of the System at June 30, 2011. The System had a funded ratio (at smoothed value) of 31.5% at June 30, 2011.

On June 30, 2011, the market/fair value of assets was \$606.0 million. The difference between the System's 2011 accrued liability and the market value of assets was \$1,346.6 million and the funded ratio using market/fair value of assets was 31.0%. On June 30, 2010, the market/fair value of assets was \$523.3 million. The assets used by the actuaries (smoothed value) was \$619.9 million. The difference between the System's 2010 accrued liability and the market/fair value of assets was \$1,296.2 million and the funded ratio using market/fair value of assets was 28.8%.

The market/fair value of the assets of the fund, that were available for benefits, increased from \$523.3 million as of June 30, 2010 to \$606.0 million as of June 30, 2011. The increase is due to the favorable return on fund assets. The actuarial value of the assets of \$614.6 million at June 30, 2011, is \$8.6 million higher than the market/fair value of the assets due to recognition of 60% of the actuarial loss in fiscal year 2009, 40% of the actuarial gain in fiscal year 2010 and 20% of the actuarial gain in fiscal year 2011.

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has promulgated Statements No. 25 and 27 that mandate, among other things, the use of market or market related (actuarial) asset value. Prior to the valuation as of June 30, 2009, it was agreed that market/fair value, without adjustment, would be used for all actuarial purposes. Under Public Act 96-0043, effective in the June 30, 2009 valuation, the contribution projections would be set based on the actuarial value of assets. Funding status determinations and the Annual Required Contributions (ARC) were calculated based on the actuarial value of assets.

Analysis of Operations For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

State required contributions to the System for the next five fiscal years are noted in the table below.

Year Ended June 30	Required State Contribution (in millions)				
2012	\$ 63.6				
2013	88.2				
2014	92.6				
2015	97.1				
2016	99.8				

The Schedule of Funding Progress (in millions) for fiscal years ending June 30, 2011 and 2010 are noted in the table below.

Actuarial Valuation Date	Va	tuarial alue of ssets*	Liab Proj	ccrued ility (AAL- ected Unit Credit)	F	- unded Ratio			overed ayroli			
6/30/11 6/30/10	\$	614.6 619.9	\$	1,952.5 1,819.4	\$	31.5 34.1	\$	1,337.9 1,199.5	\$ 169.2 161.2	\$	791.0 744.3	

The Schedule of Employer Contributions (in millions) for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2011 and 2010 are noted in the table below.

Year Ended June 30	nployer tributions	Annual Required Contribution per GASB Statement #25		Percentage Contributed		Annual Required Contribution per State Statute			Percentage Contributed	
2011 2010	\$ 62.7 78.5	\$	95.5 86.9	\$	65.4 90.3	\$	62.4 7 8.8	\$	100.1 99.6	

The Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) requires disclosure of the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) under a standard funding methodology. Amounts shown as the ARCs for each year are different from the contributions required by State statute. The cumulative difference between the ARC and the annual required contribution per State statute represents the net pension obligation (NPO). The NPO is \$470.1 million at June 30, 2011, which is an increase of \$38.6 million from the June 30, 2010 NPO of \$431.5 million.

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois Analysis of Operations For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Rates of Return (Unaudited)

Pursuant to Article 22A of the Illinois Pension Code, investments of the Judges' Retirement System of Illinois are managed by the Illinois State Board of Investment (ISBI) and are held in the ISBI Commingled Fund. ISBI operates under a long-range investment plan with the objective to maximize the total rate of return. The objectives set forth are as follows:

- At least equal to the assumed actuarial interest rate, currently 7.0% per year.
- At least equal to the return of a composite benchmark of market indices in the same proportions as the Board's asset allocation policy targets.

The overall rate of return for the Illinois State Board of Investment (ISBI) Commingled Fund was 21.7% for fiscal year 2011 compared to 9.1% for fiscal year 2010. The ISB's total fund performance exceeded the composite benchmark by 2.1% for the year ended June 30, 2011.

Analysis of Operations For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

System Employees

The average number of employees during the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are functionally classified as follows:

	2011	2010
Executive and administrative Accounting, bookkeeping and clerical	3	3
Total employees	6	6

Comparison of Administrative Expenses to Total Expenses

	2011	2010
Total expenses Benefits Refunds Administrative	\$ 100,719,742 652,193 <u>622,045</u>	\$ 91,569,757 510,555 <u>563,360</u>
Total expenses	\$ <u>101,993,980</u>	\$ <u>92,643,672</u>
Administrative expenses as a percentage of total expenses	0.6%	<u> </u>

Administrative expenses are not subject to appropriation control but are controlled by budgets adopted by the Board of Trustees. Administrative expenses common to the Judges' Retirement System and the General Assembly Retirement System, State of Illinois are paid 70 percent by the Judges' Retirement System and 30 percent by the General Assembly Retirement System, State of Illinois. Invoices/vouchers covering common expenses incurred are paid by the Judges' Retirement System of Illinois and the applicable percent is allocated to and reimbursed by the General Assembly Retirement System of Illinois.

Analysis of Operations For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Schedule of Contributions/Deductions and Effect on Investments

Below is a schedule of contributions received by the System and expenditures of the System for benefits and operations and the effect of these transactions on the System's investments.

	2011	2010
Contributions		
Participant Contributions	\$ 16,725,191	\$ 16,001,619
Employer Contributions	62,694,460	78,509,810
Total Contributions	<u> </u>	94,511,429
Deductions		
Temporary Disability Benefits	72,613	139,775
Retirement Benefits	82,076,983	73,439,970
Survivor Benefits	18,570,146	17,990,012
Refunds	652,193	510,555
Administrative Expenses	622,045	563,360
Total Deductions	101,993,980	92,643,672
Deductions in Excess of Contributions (Investments Used to Pay Benefits and Expenses)	\$ <u>(22,574,329)</u>	
Contributions in Excess of Deductions		\$ <u>1,867,757</u>

Analysis of Operations For the Years Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Unaudited)

	2011	2010
Membership data		
Active members	968	966
Inactive members	16	20
Total members	984	986
Benefit payments processed		
Recurring		
Temporary disability		2
Retirement annuities (1)	720	665
Survivors' annuities (2)	327	334
Total	1,047	1,001
Termination refunds processed	3	
Retirement counseling*		
One-on-one counseling programs held	33	28
Preretirement seminars held	4	3

* Held in conjunction with the General Assembly Retirement System, State of Illinois.

(1) 100 percent of the fiscal year 2011 retirement annuities were processed in less than 30 days.

(2) 100 percent of the fiscal year 2011 survivors' annuities were processed in less than 30 days.