SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

JUDGES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

For the Year Ended: June 30, 2013

• Compliance Examination 1
• Financial Audit (previously reported 1-8-14) 0
Total findings: 1
Total last report: 1
Release Date: March 20, 2014

Summary of Findings: 1

Financial Audit (previously reported 1-8-14) 0
Total findings: 1
Repeated from last audit: 0

SYNOPSIS

This digest covers our compliance examination of the Judges' Retirement System (System) for the year ended June 30, 2013. A financial audit covering the year ending June 30, 2013 was previously released on January 8, 2014. In total this report contains one finding, which was not previously reported in the Financial Audit.

• The System lacked an adequate project management framework, and the development process over computer system projects was not properly controlled and documented.

{Financial data is summarized on the reverse page.}

JUDGES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF ILLINOIS COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

EXPENDITURE STATISTICS	DITURE STATISTICS FY 2013		FY 2012	
Total Expenditures	\$	204,507,820	\$	171,823,600
General Revenue Fund - 001:	4	201,201,020	4	171,020,000
Continuing appropriation for pension contributions		88,210,000		63,628,000
Judges' Retirement System Fund - 477:		, ,		,,
Pensions, annuities and benefits		112,800,072		105,992,244
Refunds		1,749,474		586,981
Personal services		507,165		488,243
Other payroll costs (Retirement, Social Security and Group Insurance)		382,940		323,273
Contractual services		110,858		101,762
All other expenses		22,316		36,137
Judges' Retirement Excess Benefit Fund - 787:		,		,
Pensions, annuities and benefits		724,995		666,960
	ф		ф	
Total Receipts ANALYSIS OF PLAN INVESTMENTS	<u>\$</u>	128,872,044	\$	100,935,506
		ne 30, 2013		ne 30, 2012
Balance at beginning of year, at fair value	\$	559,139,279	\$	587,794,578
Net cash transferred from investments		(23,200,000)		(28,500,000)
Net investments		535,939,279		559,294,578
Investment income - interest, dividends and other		17,907,317		15,223,558
Investment expenses		(1,823,780)		(1,815,417)
Net investment income		16,083,537		13,408,141
Net realized gain on sale of investments		11,937,526		16,920,124
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments		48,791,221		(30,483,564)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments		60,728,747		(13,563,440)
	-		-	
Total net investment income (loss)		76,812,284		(155,299)
Balance at end of year, at fair value	\$	612,751,563	\$	559,139,279
INVESTMENTS USED FOR BENEFITS AND EXPENSES (UNAUDITED)]	FY 2013]	FY 2012
CONTRIBUTIONS:				
Participant	\$	16,368,637	\$	16,444,796
Employer		88,239,564		63,644,099
Total Contributions		104,608,201		80,088,895
DEDUCTIONS:				
Benefits		113,557,429		106,653,095
Refunds		1,751,540		586,455
Administration		831,950		764,090
Total Deductions		116,140,919		108,003,640
Deductions in Everyon of Contributions (Investments used to may				
Deductions in Excess of Contributions (Investments used to pay	•	(11,532,718)	¢	(27,914,745)
Benefits and Expenses)	ф	(11,332,718)	\$	(27,914,743)
			Im	ne 30, 2012
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)	Jui	ne 30, 2013	Jul	
Number of System employees	Jui	6	Jul	6
Number of System employees	Jui		Jul	6 1,056
Number of System employees	Jui	6 1,078 984	Jul	6 1,056 983
Number of System employees	Jui	6 1,078 984 962	Jui	6 1,056 983 968
Number of System employees Retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits Total members Total active members Total return on investments	Jui	6 1,078 984	Jul	6 1,056 983
Number of System employees Retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits Total members Total active members Total return on investments EXECUTIVE SECRETARY	Jui	6 1,078 984 962	Jul	6 1,056 983 968
Number of System employees Retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits Total members Total active members Total return on investments	Jui	6 1,078 984 962	Jul	6 1,056 983 968

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

NEED TO IMPROVE PROJECT MANAGEMENT OVER THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS

The Judges' Retirement System (System) did not have an adequate project management framework, and had not ensured the development process over computer system projects was properly controlled and documented.

Project ongoing for five years

Lack of documentation

System development methodologies were not instituted

During our examination we noted that there were problems with the Modernization - Re-Engineering Project. The project has been ongoing for five years, is only 20% complete, and over \$2,000,000 has been expended by the State Retirement Systems collectively. Due to staffing issues and the lack of a project management framework and the associated documentation, the project has not been fully implemented. In addition, formal documentation to provide detailed information on the current status and projected completion date is lacking.

We also found that the generally accepted practices and steps associated with an effective system development methodology were not instituted. System management stated a lack of adequate staffing led to the delays in the re-engineering project. (Finding 1, pages 10-11)

We recommended the System:

- Develop and implement a project management framework and tools to ensure projects are adequately monitored and documented.
- Ensure all required documentation is developed, reviewed, and approved by the System prior to system implementation.
- Ensure all system and user testing is properly conducted, reviewed, approved and documented prior to system implementation.
- Ensure all changes are adequately reviewed, tested, approved and documented prior to system implementation.

System agrees with auditors

System officials agreed with our recommendation and indicated that a project management framework, application development methodologies, and change management controls have since been implemented to address these issues.

ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

The auditors conducted a compliance attestation examination of the System for the year ended June 30, 2013 as required by the Illinois State Auditing Act. The accountants' report does not contain any scope limitations, disclaimers or other significant non-standard language.

WILLIAM G. HOLLAND Auditor General

WGH:JAF

SPECIAL ASSISTANT AUDITORS

Our special assistant auditors for the compliance examination were BKD LLP.