

STATE OF ILLINOIS OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

William G. Holland, Auditor General

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS

COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION For the Two Years Ended: June 30, 2013

Release Date: February 11, 2014

Summary of Findings:	
Total this audit:	5
Total last audit:	4
Repeated from last audit:	2

SYNOPSIS

- The State Board of Elections (Board) did not comply with statutory requirements over political committee audits.
- The Board failed to promulgate rules as required by the Election Code.

{Expenditures and Activity Measures are summarized on the reverse page.}

STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2013

EXPENDITURE STATISTICS	2013		2012		2011	
Total Expenditures	\$	13,778,956	\$	17,799,492	\$	19,584,919
OPERATIONS TOTAL	\$	8,948,273	\$	11,464,315	\$	9,642,965
% of Total Expenditures		64.9%		64.4%		49.2%
AWARDS AND GRANTS	\$	4,830,683	\$	6,335,177	\$	9,941,954
% of Total Expenditures		35.1%		35.6%		50.8%
Total Receipts	\$	1,755,236	\$	1,209,751	\$	9,005,575
Average Number of Employees		71		71		72
SELECTED ACTIVITY MEASURES						
(Not Examined)		2013		2012		2011
ELECTIONS DIVISION						
Number of election judge schools requested		175		105		221
Number of election jurisdiction submitting voter registration						
database files		110		110		110
Number of nominating petitions filed		141		1,352		94
Number of petition objections filed		34		199		0
Number of petition copy requests received		14		1,625		15
Number of election publications requested		823		1,772		1,980
CAMPAIGN FINANCING DIVISION						
Number of organizations that qualify as party organizations		400		370		369
Number of organizations that qualify as political action committees		1,036		1,083		1,021
Number of outside complaints filed		65		24		42
Number of raffle applications approved		1,000		777		779
Number of financial disclosure reports reviewed		18,280		16,080		21,179
Number of report amendments filed		2,300		2,100		2,601
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR						

During Examination Period: Mr. Rupert Borgsmiller Currently: Mr. Rupert Borgsmiller

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND **RECOMMENDATIONS**

NONCOMPLIANCE OVER POLITICAL COMMITTEE AUDITS

The State Board of Elections (Board) did not comply with the

Election Code (Code) regarding required political committee audits. During testing, we noted: Five of 12 audits conducted by the Board were • Audits received late or not at all received by the Board between 1 and 20 days late, and late fees totaling \$6,750 were not assessed for the late audit submissions. Late fees totaling \$6,750 were not assessed For the remaining 7 audits, the Board did not follow their own procedures to excuse the committees from submitting final reports after the allotted timeframe. (Finding 1, pages 9-10) We recommended the Board assess the required late fees for the late submission of political committee audits as required by the Code and follow their own procedures to excuse committees from audits as established in the Administrative Code. **Board agrees with auditors** Board officials concurred with the finding and stated appropriate adjustments to the administrative rules and procedures will be made accordingly. FAILURE TO PROMULGATE RULES The State Board of Elections (Board) did not promulgate rules as required by the Election Code (Code). We noted the Board No rules developed for electronic had not promulgated rules for electronic unit-by-unit vote total reporting reporting. This portion of the Code became effective on November 9, 2007. (Finding 2, pages 11-12) We recommended the Board continue to work towards full implementation of electronic unit-by-unit vote total reporting and adopt rules to govern the electronic reporting as required. Board officials concurred with the finding and stated that upon the completion of remaining Electronic Canvassing Board agrees with the auditors development and implementation tasks they will promulgate rules in accordance with the Code.

Failure to comply with Election

Code

OTHER FINDINGS

The remaining findings pertain to inadequate controls over (1) personal services, (2) Agency Workforce Reports and (3) voucher processing. We will review the Board's process towards the implementation of our recommendations in our next examination.

AUDITORS' OPINION

We conducted a compliance examination of the Board as required by the Illinois State Auditing Act. The Board has no funds that require an audit leading to an opinion of financial statements.

WILLIAM G. HQLLAND Auditor General

WGH:jv

AUDITORS ASSIGNED

This examination was performed by the Office of the Auditor General's staff.