

State of Illinois
Judges' Retirement System
Compliance Examination

For the Year Ended June 30, 2012
Performed as Special Assistant Auditors for
the Auditor General, State of Illinois



**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois
Compliance Examination
For the Year Ended June 30, 2012**

Table of Contents

	Schedule	Page(s)
Judges' Retirement System of Illinois Officials		1
Management Assertion Letter		2
Compliance Report		
Summary		3
Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance, on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes		4
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards		8
Schedule of Findings		
Current Findings – <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>		10
Prior Finding Not Repeated		11
Financial Statement Report		
The System's financial statement report for the year ended June 30, 2012, which includes the independent auditors' report, management discussion and analysis, required supplementary information other than management discussion and analysis, basic financial statements and notes, supplementary information, and the independent auditor's report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of basic financial statements performed in accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> has been issued separately.		
Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes		
Summary		12
Fiscal Schedules and Analysis		
Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances	1	13
Comparative Schedules of Net Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances	2	15
Schedule of Changes in State Property	3	16

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois
Compliance Examination
For the Year Ended June 30, 2012**

	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
Continued		
Comparative Schedule of Cash Receipts	4	17
Reconciliation Schedule of Cash Receipts to Deposits Remitted to the State Comptroller	5	18
Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenses	6	19
Analysis of Significant Variations in Cash Receipts	7	20
Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions	8	21
Analysis of Significant Statement of Plan Net Asset Accounts	9	23
Analysis of Contributions Receivable	10	24
Analysis of Operations (Unaudited)		
System's Functions and Planning Program (Unaudited)		25
Progress in Funding the System (Unaudited)		26
Rates of Return and Analysis of Investment Performance (Unaudited)		29
System Employees (Unaudited)		30
Comparison of Administrative Expenses to Total Expenses (Unaudited)		30
Schedule of Contributions/Deductions and Effect on Investments (Unaudited)		31
Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Unaudited)		32

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois
For the Year Ended June 30, 2012**

System Officials

Executive Secretary
Division Manager
Accounting Division Supervisor

Timothy B. Blair
Jayne Waldeck
David M. Richter, CPA

Office Locations

2101 South Veterans Parkway
P.O. Box 19255
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9255

State of Illinois Building
160 North LaSalle Street, Suite N725
Chicago, Illinois 60601



STATE
RETIREMENT
SYSTEMS

- State Employees' Retirement System of Illinois
- General Assembly Retirement System
- Judges' Retirement System of Illinois

Internet: <http://www.state.il.us/srs> E-Mail: sers@srs.illinois.gov

2101 South Veterans Parkway, P.O. Box 19255, Springfield, IL 62794-9255

May 6, 2013

BKD, LLP

Certified Public Accountants
225 North Water Street, Suite 400
Post Office Box 1580
Decatur, IL 62525-1580

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are responsible for the identification of, and compliance with, all aspects of laws, regulations, contracts, or grant agreements that could have a material effect on the operations of the Judges' Retirement System (System). We are responsible for and we have established and maintained an effective system of internal controls over compliance requirements. We have performed an evaluation of the System's compliance with the following assertions during the year ended June 30, 2012. Based on this evaluation, we assert that during the year ended June 30, 2012 the System has materially complied with the assertions below.

- The System has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- The System has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt or use.
- The System has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- The State revenues and receipts collected by the system are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate and in accordance with law.
- The money or negotiable securities or similar assets handled by the System on behalf of the State or held in trust by the System have been properly and legally administered, and the accounting and recordkeeping relating thereto is proper, accurate and in accordance with law.

Yours very truly,

Judges' Retirement System of Illinois

Timothy H. Blair, Executive Secretary

David M. Richter, CPA, Accounting Division Supervisor

Compliance Report

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois
Compliance Report Summary
For the Year Ended June 30, 2012**

The compliance testing performed during this examination was conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and in accordance with the Illinois State Auditing Act.

Accountants' Reports

The Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance, on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes does not contain scope limitations, disclaimers or other significant non-standard language.

Summary of Findings

Number of	Current Report	Prior Report
Findings	1	1
Repeated findings	0	0
Prior recommendations implemented or not repeated	1	0

Schedule of Findings

Item No.	Page	Description	Finding Type
-----------------	-------------	--------------------	---------------------

Current Finding (*Government Auditing Standards*)

12-1	10	Noncompliance with Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act	Significant Deficiency
------	----	---	------------------------

In addition, the following finding which is reported as a current finding relating to *Government Auditing Standards* also met the reporting requirements for State Compliance.

12-1	10	Noncompliance with Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act	Significant Deficiency and Noncompliance
------	----	---	--

Prior Finding Not Repeated

A.	11	Journal Entry Review
----	----	----------------------

Exit Conference

System officials waived a formal exit conference in correspondence dated April 23, 2013. Responses to the recommendations were provided by Staci Crane, Chief Internal Auditor, in correspondence dated April 26, 2013.

**Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance,
on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on Supplementary
Information for State Compliance Purposes**

Honorable William G. Holland
Auditor General
State of Illinois
and
The Board of Trustees
Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois

Compliance

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have examined the Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois' (System) compliance with the requirements listed below, as more fully described in the *Audit Guide for Financial Audits and Compliance Attestation Engagements of Illinois State Agencies* (Audit Guide) as adopted by the Auditor General, during the year ended June 30, 2012. The management of the System is responsible for compliance with these requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the System's compliance based on our examination.

- A. The System has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- B. The System has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt or use.
- C. The System has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- D. State revenues and receipts collected by the System are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate and in accordance with law.
- E. Money or negotiable securities or similar assets handled by the System on behalf of the State or held in trust by the System have been properly and legally administered and the accounting and recordkeeping relating thereto is proper, accurate and in accordance with law.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; the Illinois State Auditing Act (Act); and the Audit Guide as adopted by the Auditor General pursuant to the Act; and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the System's compliance with those requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the System's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the System complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report during the year ended June 30, 2012. However, the results of our procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the requirements, which is required to be reported in accordance with criteria established by the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings as item 12-1.

Internal Control

Management of the System is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report. In planning and performing our examination, we considered the System's internal control over compliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report as a basis for designing our examination procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal controls over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct noncompliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report on a timely basis. *A material weakness in an entity's internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a requirement listed in the first paragraph of this report will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we considered to be significant deficiencies as described in the accompanying schedule of findings as item 12-1. *A significant deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

As required by the Audit Guide, immaterial findings excluded from this report have been reported in a separate letter to your office.

The System's response to the finding identified in our examination is described in the accompanying schedule of findings. We did not examine the System's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have audited the financial statements of the System as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012 (not presented herein), and have issued our report thereon dated January 9, 2013, which contained an unqualified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements of the System. We did not audit the 2012 financial statements of the Illinois State Board of Investment, an internal investment pool of the State of Illinois, which represent 96 percent, 97 percent, and (.19) percent, respectively in 2012, of total assets, net assets held in trust for pension benefits, and total additions of the System. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose report thereon has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the Illinois State Board of Investment is based solely on the report of other auditors. We have not performed any procedures with respect to the audited financial statements subsequent to January 9, 2013. The accompanying supplementary information for the year ended June 30, 2012 in Schedules 1 through 10 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the System. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information for the year ended June 30, 2012 in Schedules 1 through 10 has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the accompanying supplementary information for the year ended June 30, 2012 in Schedules 1 through 10 is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole for the year ended June 30, 2012.

We have previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the System's basic financial statements for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 (not presented herein) and have issued our reports thereon dated January 27, 2012, February 17, 2011, February 2, 2010 and December 17, 2008, respectively, which contained unqualified opinions on the respective financial statements. We did not audit the 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 financial statements of the Illinois State Board of Investment, an internal investment pool of the State of Illinois, which represents 96, 96, 91 and 96 percent of total assets; 97, 97, 91 and 96 percent of net assets held in trust for pension benefits; and 57, 31, 262 and (158) of total additions to the System, respectively. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose report thereon has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the Illinois State Board of Investment is based solely on the report of other auditors. The accompanying supplementary information for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 in Schedules 2 and 4 through 10 is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the June 30, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 in Schedules 2 and 4 through 10 has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audits of the June 30, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare those statements or to those financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the accompanying supplementary information for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 in Schedules 2 and 4 through 10 is

fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole from which it has been derived.

The System's financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2007 (not presented herein), were audited by other auditors whose report thereon dated February 8, 2008, expressed an unqualified opinion on the respective financial statements. The report of the other auditors dated February 8, 2008, stated that the accompanying supplemental information in Schedule 8 for the year ended June 30, 2007 was subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the 2007 basic financial statements and certain additional auditing procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare those basic financial statements or to those basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and, in their opinion, was fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole for the year ended June 30, 2007.

The accompanying supplementary information in the Analysis of Operations Section is presented for the purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Auditor General, the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Commission, the Governor, the Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois Board of Trustees, and the System's management, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BKD, LLP

May 6, 2013, except for the Supplementary Information for State Compliance purposes, as to which the date is January 9, 2013

**Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over
Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters
Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements Performed
in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards**

The Honorable William G. Holland
Auditor General
State of Illinois
and
The Board of Trustees
Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have audited the financial statements of the Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois (System), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012 and have issued our report thereon dated January 9, 2013, which contained a reference to the report of other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Illinois State Board of Investment, as described in our Independent Auditor's Report on the System's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the System is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the System's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, detect and correct misstatement on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the System's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting of the System that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying schedule of findings as item 12-1, that we consider to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the System's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The System's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings. We did not audit the System's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Auditor General, the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Commission, the Governor, the Board of Trustees of the System and System management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BKD, LLP

January 9, 2013

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois**
Current Finding – Government Auditing Standards
June 30, 2012

12-1. Finding – Noncompliance with Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act

The Judges' Retirement System (System) was not in compliance with the Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act (the Act).

The Internal Auditor position became vacant during January 2012 and a new internal auditor was not hired until the first quarter of fiscal year 2013. The System is required by the Act (30 ILCS 10/2001) to have a full-time program of internal auditing.

The Act (30 ILCS 10/2003) requires the chief executive officer to ensure that internal audits of all major systems of internal control are conducted at least once every two years. As a result of the vacancy only one of the eight internal audits planned for fiscal year 2012 under the Systems current two-year plan for fiscal years 2011-2012 was completed, therefore the System was not in compliance with this provision of the Act.

The System is also required by the Act (30 ILCS 10/1003) to prepare and transmit to the Auditor General a certification that the systems of internal fiscal and administrative controls of the System comply or do not comply with the requirements of the Act. This certification is required by May 1 of each year, and the System did not transmit their certification until August 29, 2012, 120 days late.

According to System officials the System was not able to fill the internal audit position as quickly as they had hoped because of difficulty in finding an appropriate candidate to fill the position.

Failure to comply with the Act increases the risk that fraud, misuse of funds, or internal control weaknesses would not be detected on a timely basis. (Finding Code No. 12-1)

Recommendation

We recommend System management develop a plan to ensure the internal audit function continues in the event the position is left vacant for a period of time.

System Response

The System agrees with this finding. The System will continue to strive to ensure the FCIAA certification be completed and submitted within the timeframes identified. The System has always maintained an Ethics Officer, who receives reports on the potential of ethics violations or suspicion of fraudulent behavior.

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois

Prior Finding Not Repeated

June 30, 2012

A. Finding – Journal Entry Review

The Judges' Retirement System (System) did not have a policy or procedure for the review of financial journal entries or journal entry reconciliations by a person independent of the person that initiates them. (Finding Code No. 11-1)

During the current year audit, we noted the System developed a policy and procedure for a review of financial journal entries and journal entry reconciliations by a person independent of the person that initiates the journal entry. Our sample testing did not detect any exceptions to the new policy and procedure for a person independent of the preparer to review the journal entries or the journal entry reconciliations.

**Supplementary Information
for State Compliance Purposes**

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois**
Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes
Summary
For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in this section of the report includes the following:

- **Fiscal Schedules and Analysis:**
 - Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances
 - Comparative Schedules of Net Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances
 - Schedule of Changes in State Property
 - Comparative Schedules of Cash Receipts
 - Reconciliation Schedule of Cash Receipts to Deposits Remitted to the State Comptroller
 - Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenses
 - Analysis of Significant Variations in Cash Receipts
 - Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions
 - Analysis of Significant Statement of Plan Net Asset Accounts
 - Analysis of Contributions Receivable

- **Analysis of Operations (Unaudited):**
 - System's Functions and Planning Program (Unaudited)
 - Progress in Funding the System (Unaudited)
 - Rates of Return and Analysis of Investment Performance (Unaudited)
 - System Employees (Unaudited)
 - Comparison of Administrative Expenses to Total Expenses (Unaudited)
 - Schedule of Contributions/Deductions and Effect on Investments (Unaudited)
 - Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Unaudited)

The accountants' report that covers the Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in the Compliance Report Section states that it has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in the auditors' opinion, it is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole from which it has been derived. The accountants' report also states the Analysis of Operations Section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, they do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Fiscal Schedules and Analysis

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois

Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2012 Fourteen Months Ended August 31, 2012

	Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	Expenditures Through June 30, 2012	Lapse Period Expenditures July 1, 2012 through August 31, 2012	Total Expenditures	Balances Lapsed
Public Act 97-0068					
Appropriated Funds					
General Revenue Fund – 0001					
Continuing appropriation for pension contributions					
	\$ <u>63,628,000</u>	\$ <u>63,628,000</u>	\$ —	\$ <u>63,628,000</u>	\$ —
Total all appropriated funds					
	\$ <u>63,628,000</u>	\$ <u>63,628,000</u>	\$ —	\$ <u>63,628,000</u>	\$ —
Nonappropriated Funds					
Judges' Retirement System Fund – 0477					
Personal services	\$ —	467,476	20,767	488,243	\$ —
Employee retirement pickup	—	8,413	363	8,776	—
Retirement contributions	—	159,931	7,103	167,034	—
Social Security contributions	—	34,624	1,533	36,157	—
Group insurance	—	106,675	4,631	111,306	—
Contractual services	—	95,441	6,321	101,762	—
Travel	—	3,837	—	3,837	—
Commodities	—	926	24	950	—
Printing	—	—	3,025	3,025	—
Equipment	—	1,050	369	1,419	—
Electronic data processing	—	16,806	2,667	19,473	—
Telecommunications	—	3,016	590	3,606	—
Automotive	—	2,867	960	3,827	—
Nonrecurring refunds and distributions	—	586,129	—	586,129	—
Pensions, annuities and benefits	—	105,992,244	—	105,992,244	—
Refunds, prior calendar year contributions	—	852	—	852	—
Subtotal – Fund 0477	—	<u>107,480,287</u>	<u>48,353</u>	<u>107,528,640</u>	—

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois

Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2012 Fourteen Months Ended August 31, 2012 (Continued)

	Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	Expenditures Through June 30, 2012	Lapse Period Expenditures July 1, 2012 through August 31, 2012	Total Expenditures	Balances Lapsed
Nonappropriated Funds					
(Continued)					
Judges' Retirement Excess Benefit Fund – 0787 Pension, annuities and benefits	\$ —	\$ 666,960	\$ —	\$ 666,960	\$ —
Total nonappropriated funds	\$ —	108,147,247	48,353	108,195,600	\$ —
Grand total, all Funds		<u>\$ 171,775,247</u>	<u>\$ 48,353</u>	<u>\$ 171,823,600</u>	

Note: The above data was taken from records of the State Comptroller.

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois**
**Comparative Schedules of Net Appropriations,
Expenditures and Lapsed Balances**
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Fiscal Year		
	2012 P.A. 97-0068	2011	2010
Appropriated Funds			
General Revenue Fund – 0001			
Appropriations	\$ 63,628,000	\$ 15,041,832	\$ —
Expenditures			
Judges Retirement	—	—	—
Continuing appropriation for pension contributions	63,628,000	15,041,832	—
Total expenditures	63,628,000	15,041,832	—
Lapsed balances	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Nonappropriated Funds			
Judges' Retirement System Fund – 0477			
Expenditures			
Personal services	\$ 488,243	\$ 437,808	\$ 397,766
Employee retirement pickup	8,776	11,099	13,498
Retirement contributions	167,034	122,586	112,944
Social Security contributions	36,157	32,552	29,492
Group insurance	111,306	82,213	70,527
Contractual services	101,762	109,637	98,178
Travel	3,837	5,079	3,960
Purchase of investments	—	47,386,951	78,259,810
Commodities	950	1,074	640
Printing	3,025	3,555	4,280
Equipment	1,419	341	355
Electronic data processing	19,473	6,406	7,926
Telecommunications	3,606	3,851	3,895
Automotive	3,827	2,826	1,737
Nonrecurring refunds and distributions	586,129	607,867	402,989
Pensions, annuities and benefits	105,992,244	100,222,264	91,286,610
Refunds, prior calendar year contributions	852	68,829	56,019
Refunds, not elsewhere classified	—	4,287	17,056
Total expenditures	107,528,640	149,109,225	170,767,682
Judges' Retirement Excess Benefit Fund – 0787			
Pension, annuities and benefits	666,960	497,310	290,292
Total nonappropriated expenditures	108,195,600	149,606,535	171,057,974
Grand total, all Funds	\$ 171,823,600	\$ 164,648,367	\$ 171,057,974

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois**
Schedule of Changes in State Property
For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

	<u>Beginning Balance</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Ending Balance</u>
Equipment	\$ 30,838	\$ 7,856	\$ (9,399)	\$ 29,295
Accumulated depreciation	<u>(27,461)</u>	<u>(1,766)</u>	<u>9,258</u>	<u>(19,969)</u>
Equipment, net	\$ <u>3,377</u>	\$ <u>6,090</u>	\$ <u>(141)</u>	\$ <u>9,326</u>

This summary schedule was prepared using State property records required by the Illinois Administrative Code. The capitalization policy in the Code is different than the capitalization policy established by the Office of the Comptroller for financial reporting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois**
Comparative Schedule of Cash Receipts
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Receipts:		
Participant contributions	\$ 16,312,068	\$ 16,582,220
Employer contributions:		
Pension Contribution Fund	—	47,386,951
General Revenue Fund	55,674,490	15,041,832
Paid by participants	16,099	265,677
Interest income on cash balances	90,309	114,412
Reimbursements from General Assembly Retirement System	214,000	236,884
Transfers from reciprocal systems	75,892	—
Cancellation of annuities, net of overpayments	33,538	82,924
Cancellation of administrative expenses	—	5,888
Tax-deferred installment payments	19,010	16,261
Repayment of refunds	—	139,470
Transfers from Illinois State Board of Investment	28,500,000	71,200,000
Miscellaneous	<u>100</u>	<u>5,345</u>
Total cash receipts, per book	<u>\$ 100,935,506</u>	<u>\$ 151,077,864</u>

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois

Reconciliation Schedule of Cash Receipts to Deposits Remitted to the State Comptroller For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

	2012	2011
Total cash receipts, per book	\$ 100,935,506	\$ 151,077,864
Add (deduct)		
Deposits in transit:		
Beginning of year	96,140	—
End of year	—	(96,140)
Interest on cash balances	(90,309)	(114,412)
Cancellation of annuities	(33,538)	(82,924)
Cancellation of administrative expenses	—	(5,888)
Bond proceeds processed as a fund transfer from the Pension Contribution Fund (#472)	—	(47,386,951)
Deposits remitted to the State Comptroller for order into the State Treasury	\$ 100,907,799	\$ 103,391,549

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois
Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenses
For the Year Ended June 30, 2012**

The System's expenses have been analyzed for fluctuations greater than \$250,000 and 20% from the previous year.

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>Increase (Decrease)</u>
Expenditures, State contribution	\$ 63,628,000	\$ 15,041,832	\$ 48,586,168 (1)
Purchase of investments	—	47,386,951	(47,386,951) (1)

(1) During fiscal year 2012, JRS received 100 percent of the statutorily required employer contribution from the General Revenue Fund. During fiscal year 2011, in accordance with its continuing appropriation authority, JRS submitted monthly vouchers to the General Revenue Fund for the July, 2010 through February, 2011 monthly employer contribution allocations of which the July and August vouchers totaling \$15,041,832 were paid. Then, in March, 2011, the State sold bonds to fund the fiscal year 2011 statutorily required employer contribution and JRS received net bond proceeds totaling \$47,386,951. As a result, the September, 2010 through February, 2011 General Revenue Fund vouchers were cancelled with no further employer contributions being paid from the General Revenue Fund.

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois**
Analysis of Significant Variations in Cash Receipts
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012

The System's cash receipts have been analyzed for fluctuations greater than \$250,000 and 20% from the previous year.

	2012	2011	Increase (Decrease)
Employer contribution – pension contributions fund	\$ —	\$ 47,386,951	\$ (47,386,951) (1)
Employer contribution – general revenue fund	55,674,490	15,041,832	40,632,658 (1)
Employer contributions – paid by participants	16,099	265,677	(249,578) (2)
Transfers from Illinois State Board of Investment	28,500,000	71,200,000	(42,700,000) (3)

(1) During fiscal year 2012, JRS received 100 percent of the statutorily required employer contribution from the General Revenue Fund. During fiscal year 2011, in accordance with its continuing appropriation authority, JRS submitted monthly vouchers to the General Revenue Fund for the July, 2010 through February, 2011 monthly employer contribution allocations of which the July and August vouchers totaling \$15,041,832 were paid. Then, in March, 2011, the State sold bonds to fund the fiscal year 2011 statutorily required employer contribution and JRS received net bond proceeds totaling \$47,386,951. As a result, the September, 2010 through February, 2011 General Revenue Fund vouchers were cancelled with no further employer contributions being paid from the General Revenue Fund.

(2) Certain types of optional service purchases require the participant pay employer contributions in addition to employee contributions and interest. During fiscal year 2011, there were three participants who elected to establish optional service requiring the payment of employer contributions. During fiscal year 2012, fewer participants elected to establish optional service requiring the payment of employer contributions.

(3) It is the System's goal to maintain a cash balance that is sufficient to pay two months of operating expenditures (i.e. benefits, refunds, and administrative). As a result, it is necessary for the System to transfer funds from or to the ISBI as the cash balance falls below or exceeds the projected target balance.

During fiscal year 2012, the System transferred \$28,500,000 from the Illinois State Board of Investment, a decrease of \$42,700,000 from the amount transferred during fiscal year 2011. The primary reason for the decrease was due to JRS receiving General Revenue Fund employer contributions on a more timely basis during fiscal year 2012.

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois

Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Schedule of Funding Progress

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Projected Unit Credit (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
6/30/07	\$ 670,090,950	\$ 1,385,339,573	\$ 715,248,623	48.4%	\$ 142,900,000	500.5%
6/30/08	612,680,574	1,457,336,054	844,655,480	42.0	143,700,000	587.8
6/30/09	616,849,071	1,548,509,535	931,660,464	39.8	155,645,000	598.6
6/30/10	619,925,786	1,819,447,826	1,199,522,040	34.1	161,164,000	744.3
6/30/11	614,596,203	1,952,539,400	1,337,943,197	31.5	169,155,000	791.0
6/30/12	601,219,999	2,021,715,796	1,420,495,797	29.7	171,498,000	828.3

* For fiscal years prior to 2009, the actuarial value of assets was equal to the fair value of assets. Beginning in fiscal year 2009, the actuarial value of assets was equal to the fair value of assets adjusted for any actuarial gains or losses from investment return incurred in the fiscal year recognized in equal amounts over the five year period following that fiscal year.

Schedule of Employer Contributions

Year Ended June 30	Annual Required Contribution per GASB Statement No. 25	Percentage Contributed	Annual Required Payroll Contribution per State Statute	Percentage Contributed
2007	\$ 73,371,653	48.0%	\$ 35,236,800	100.0%
2008	75,134,070	62.4	46,872,500	100.0
2009	78,386,597	76.5	59,983,000	100.0
2010	86,916,418	90.3	78,832,000	99.6
2011	95,490,182	65.4	62,377,000	100.1
2012	110,923,357	57.4	63,628,000	100.0

Judges' Retirement System of the State of Illinois

Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Notes to Required Supplementary Information

Valuation date	June 30, 2012
Actuarial cost method	Projected Unit Credit
Amortization method:	
(a) For GASB Statement No. 25 reporting purposes	Level percent of payroll
(b) Per State Statute	15-year phase-in to a level percent of payroll until a 90% funding level is achieved
Remaining amortization period:	
(a) For GASB Statement No. 25 reporting purposes	30 years, open
(b) Per State Statute	33 years, closed
Asset valuation method	Fair value, adjusted for any actuarial gains or losses from investment return incurred in the fiscal year recognized in equal amounts over the five year period following that fiscal year.
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.0 percent per year, compounded annually
Projected salary increases	4.0 percent per year, compounded annually
Assumed inflation rate	3.0 percent
Group size growth rate	0.0 percent
Postretirement increase	Tier 1 – 3.0 percent per year, compounded annually Tier 2 – 3.0 percent per year or the annual change in the CPI for all urban customers, whichever is less, compounded annually
Mortality Rates:	
Active and retired members	The UP-1994 Mortality Table for Males, rated down 3 years
Survivors	The UP-1994 Mortality Table for Females, rated down 2 years

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois**
Analysis of Significant Statement of Plan Net Asset Accounts
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Cash Balances

	2012	2011
Cash in State Treasury	\$ <u>10,690,635</u>	\$ <u>18,015,766</u>

The decrease in cash balances from the prior year is mainly due to timing differences in the receipts, expenditures, and transfer of funds from the Illinois State Board of Investment (ISBI).

Investments

General Information:

Pursuant to Article 22A of the Illinois Pension Code, investments of the Judges' Retirement System of Illinois are managed by the Illinois State Board of Investment (ISBI) and are held in the ISBI Commingled Fund. Units of the ISBI Commingled Fund are issued to the member systems on the last day of the month based on the unit net asset value calculated as of that date. Net investment income of the ISBI Commingled Fund is allocated to each of the member systems on the last day of the month on the basis of percentage of accumulated units owned by the respective systems.

Investment portfolio management and performance are the direct responsibility of the ISBI which establishes investment policy and strategy.

Comparison of the changes in the System's investments held in the ISBI Commingled Fund for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is summarized as follows:

	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of year, at fair value	\$ 587,794,578	\$ 506,463,522
Net cash transferred to (from) investments	<u>(28,500,000)</u>	<u>(23,813,049)</u>
	<u>559,294,578</u>	<u>482,650,473</u>
Investment income		
Commingled Fund Income	15,223,558	13,926,694
Expenses	<u>(1,815,417)</u>	<u>(1,901,411)</u>
Net investment income	<u>13,408,141</u>	<u>12,025,283</u>
Net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of investments		
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments	(30,483,564)	76,362,254
Net realized gain on sales of investments	<u>16,920,124</u>	<u>16,756,568</u>
Net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of investments	<u>(13,563,440)</u>	<u>93,118,822</u>
Total net investment income (loss)	<u>(155,299)</u>	<u>105,144,105</u>
Balance at end of year, at fair value	\$ <u>559,139,279</u>	\$ <u>587,794,578</u>

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois**
Analysis of Contributions Receivable
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Employer contributions	\$ 7,953,510	\$ —
Participants' contributions	186,463	148,637
Refundable annuities	1,485	8,895
Interest on cash balances	4,155	8,261
Due from General Assembly Retirement System, State of Illinois	<u>65,961</u>	<u>55,523</u>
Total receivables	<u>\$ 8,211,574</u>	<u>\$ 221,316</u>

The variance in total receivables from 2011 to 2012 is due to one and a half months of employer contributions receivable from the General Revenue Fund at June 30, 2012. No receivables were deemed uncollectible at June 30, 2012. Accounts are first analyzed by System personnel for collectability before being sent to the Attorney General's office for final determination.

Analysis of Operations

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois
Analysis of Operations (Unaudited)
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011**

System's Functions and Planning Program (Unaudited)

The Judges' Retirement System of Illinois (System) was created effective July 1, 1941, to establish a method of permitting retirement, without hardship or prejudice, of judges who are aged or otherwise incapacitated, by enabling them to accumulate reserves for themselves and their dependents for old age, disability, death and termination of employment.

The System is governed by Chapter 40, Act 5, Article 18, of the "Illinois Compiled Statutes" and it is administered by a Board of Trustees consisting of five persons, as follows: the State Treasurer, the Chief of the Supreme Court, ex officio and three participating judges appointed by the Supreme Court.

Justice Thomas E. Hoffman is Chairman of the Board of Trustees (Board) and Mr. Timothy B. Blair is the Executive Secretary of the System. The Executive Secretary is appointed by the Board and is charged with the administration of the detailed affairs of the System.

The System is also responsible for the general administration of the State Employees Group Insurance Program as it applies to eligible annuitants. This includes enrollment, processing life insurance claims and other administrative details related to that program.

Currently, the System utilizes a formal planning program which includes, among other things, operational project planning as well as administrative expense budgeting.

During fiscal year 2012, the System continued to implement the provisions of Senate Bill 1946. This legislation, which Governor Quinn signed into law on April 14, 2010 as Public Act 96-0889, created a "second tier" of benefits for participants who first became participants after December 31, 2010. The most significant changes included limiting the maximum salary that can be used in the calculation of retirement benefits, changing the definition of final average salary to be the highest salary during the 96 consecutive months of service within the 120 months of service, increasing the minimum retirement age, reducing the benefit accrual formula, and limiting the maximum retirement annuity payable to 60% of the participant's final average salary.

In addition, the System, in conjunction with the State Employees' Retirement System's Information Technology Division (IT), began working on the modernization of the State Retirement System's business processes and the computer systems that support them.

During fiscal year 2013, the System will continue to work on the modernization of the State Retirement System's business processes and the computer systems that support them. This will be a multi-year effort and will encompass many projects to achieve the modernization. Some of the numerous projects scheduled for fiscal year 2013 and beyond include: active member system re-engineering, network upgrade, imaging system installation, and various software replacements and upgrades.

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois
Analysis of Operations (Unaudited)
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011**

Progress in Funding the System (Unaudited)

In August 1994, Senate Bill 533 was signed into law as Public Act 88-0593. This funding legislation, which became effective July 1, 1995, provides for a systematic 50-year funding plan with an ultimate goal to fund the cost of maintaining and administering the System at an actuarial funded ratio of 90 percent. In addition, the funding plan provides for a 15-year phase-in period to allow the State to adapt to the increased financial commitment. Once the 15-year phase-in is complete, the State's contribution will then remain at a level percentage of payroll for the next 35 years until the 90 percent funded level is achieved.

The funding legislation also provides for the establishment of a continuing appropriation of the required employer contributions to the System. This, in effect, removed the appropriation of those funds from the annual budgetary process.

Public Act 93-0002 became law on April 7, 2003, and authorized the State to issue \$10 billion in general obligation bonds for the purpose of making contributions to the retirement systems. On June 12, 2003, the State issued \$10 billion in General Obligation Bonds, Pension Funding Series of June 2003.

Commencing with fiscal year 2005, the maximum State contribution under Public Act 93-0002 equals the State contribution that would have been required if the general obligation bond contribution had not been made, reduced – but not below zero – by the State's debt service on each system's respective portion of the full \$10 billion of General Obligation Bond, Pension Funding Series of June 2003.

In June 2005, Public Act 94-0004 became law. This legislation further modified the funding plan by reducing the amount of required employer contributions for fiscal years 2006 and 2007 that would have otherwise been required under Public Act 88-0593, as modified by Public Act 93-0002. This act specified the appropriation amounts for fiscal years 2006 and 2007. The required State contributions for fiscal years 2008 through 2010 will then be increased incrementally as a percentage of the participant payroll so that by fiscal year 2011 the State is contributing at the required level contribution rate to achieve the financing objective of a 90% funded status by the end of fiscal year 2045.

Public Act 96-0043 became law on July 15, 2009. As required under PA 96-0043, the method for determining the actuarial value of assets used to determine the employer contribution rate was changed beginning with the June 30, 2009 valuation. The method was changed from the market/fair value to a smoothed value. The smoothed value recognizes actuarial investment gains or losses for each fiscal year, beginning with FY09, in equal amounts over the ensuing five-year period.

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois
Analysis of Operations (Unaudited)
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011**

Public Act 96-0889 added a lower tier of benefits for members who first contribute to JRS on or after January 1, 2011. When Public Act 96-1511 was enacted in January 2011, it required the System to assume that the provisions of Public Act 96-0889 were in effect on June 30, 2009 and to recalculate and recertify the fiscal year 2011 state funding requirement. Under this recertification, the fiscal year 2011 state contribution requirement was reduced by \$27.9 million, from \$90.3 million to \$62.4 million.

The actuarial accrued liability of the System at June 30, 2012, amounted to approximately \$2,021.7 million. The actuarial value of assets (at smoothed value) at June 30, 2012 amounted to approximately \$601.2 million. The difference between the actuarial accrued liability and the actuarial value of assets of \$1,420.5 million reflects the unfunded actuarial accrued liability of the System at June 30, 2012. The System had a funded ratio (at smoothed value) of 29.7% at June 30, 2012.

On June 30, 2012, the market/fair value of assets was \$578.0 million. The difference between the System's 2012 accrued liability and the market value of assets was \$1,443.7 million and the funded ratio using market/fair value of assets was 28.6%. On June 30, 2011, the market/fair value of assets was \$606.0 million. The assets used by the actuaries (smoothed value) was \$614.6 million. The difference between the System's 2011 accrued liability and the market/fair value of assets was \$1,346.6 million and the funded ratio using market/fair value of assets was 31.0%.

The market/fair value of the assets of the fund, that were available for benefits, decreased from \$606.0 million as of June 30, 2011 to \$578.0 million as of June 30, 2012. The decrease is due to the unfavorable return on fund assets. The actuarial value of the assets of \$601.2 million at June 30, 2012, is \$23.2 million higher than the market/fair value of the assets due to recognition of 80% of the actuarial loss in fiscal year 2009, 60% of the actuarial gain in fiscal year 2010, 40% of the actuarial gain in fiscal year 2011 and 20% of the actuarial loss in fiscal year 2012.

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has promulgated Statements No. 25 and 27 that mandate, among other things, the use of market or market related (actuarial) asset value. Prior to the valuation as of June 30, 2009, it was agreed that market/fair value, without adjustment, would be used for all actuarial purposes. Under Public Act 96-0043, effective in the June 30, 2009 valuation, the contribution projections would be set based on the actuarial value of assets. Funding status determinations and the Annual Required Contributions (ARC) were calculated based on the actuarial value of assets.

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois
Analysis of Operations (Unaudited)
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011**

State required contributions to the System for the next five fiscal years are noted in the table below.

Year Ended June 30	Required State Contribution (in millions)
2013	\$ 88.2
2014	126.8
2015	130.6
2016	131.3
2017	132.0

The Schedule of Funding Progress (in millions) for fiscal years ending June 30, 2012 and 2011 are noted in the table below.

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL- Projected Unit Credit)	Funded Ratio	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	Covered Payroll	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as a Percentage of Covered payroll
6/30/12	\$ 601.2	\$ 2,021.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 1,420.5	\$ 171.5	828.3%
6/30/11	614.6	1,952.5	31.5	1,337.9	169.2	791.0

The Schedule of Employer Contributions (in millions) for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2012 and 2011 are noted in the table below.

Year Ended June 30	Employer Contributions	Annual Required Contribution per GASB Statement #25	Percentage Contributed	Annual Required Contribution per State Statute	Percentage Contributed
2012	\$ 63.6	\$ 110.9	\$ 57.4	\$ 63.6	100.0%
2011	62.7	95.5	65.4	62.4	100.1

The Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) requires disclosure of the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) under a standard funding methodology. Amounts shown as the ARCs for each year are different from the contributions required by State statute. The cumulative difference between the ARC and the annual required contribution per State statute represents the net pension obligation (NPO). The NPO is \$523.7 million at June 30, 2012, which is an increase of \$53.6 million from the June 30, 2011 NPO of \$470.1 million.

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois
Analysis of Operations (Unaudited)
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011**

Rates of Return (Unaudited)

Pursuant to Article 22A of the Illinois Pension Code, investments of the Judges' Retirement System of Illinois are managed by the Illinois State Board of Investment (ISBI) and are held in the ISBI Commingled Fund. ISBI operates under a long-range investment plan with the objective to maximize the total rate of return. The objectives set forth are as follows:

- At least equal to the assumed actuarial interest rate, currently 7.0% per year.
- At least equal to the return of a composite benchmark of market indices in the same proportions as the Board's asset allocation policy targets.

The overall rate of return for the Illinois State Board of Investment (ISBI) Commingled Fund was 0.1% for fiscal year 2012 compared to 21.7% for fiscal year 2011. The ISBI's total fund performance lagged the composite benchmark by .9% for the year ended June 30, 2012.

Analysis of Investment Performance (Unaudited)

An analysis of investment performance for the years ended June 30, 2008 through June 30, 2012 is summarized as follows:

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Total return*	0.1%	21.7%	9.1%	(20.1)%	(6.2)%

* Total return is the combined effect of income earned and market appreciation (depreciation).

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois
Analysis of Operations (Unaudited)
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011**

System Employees (Unaudited)

The average number of employees during the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are functionally classified as follows:

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Executive and administrative	3	3
Accounting, bookkeeping and clerical	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
Total employees	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>

Comparison of Administrative Expenses to Total Expenses (Unaudited)

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Total expenses		
Benefits	\$ 106,653,095	\$ 100,719,742
Refunds	586,455	652,193
Administrative	<u>764,090</u>	<u>622,045</u>
Total expenses	<u>\$ 108,003,640</u>	<u>\$ 101,993,980</u>
Administrative expenses as a percentage of total expenses	<u>0.7%</u>	<u>0.6%</u>

Administrative expenses are not subject to appropriation control but are controlled by budgets adopted by the Board of Trustees. Administrative expenses common to the Judges' Retirement System and the General Assembly Retirement System, State of Illinois are paid 75 percent by the Judges' Retirement System and 25 percent by the General Assembly Retirement System, State of Illinois. Invoices/vouchers covering common expenses incurred are paid by the Judges' Retirement System of Illinois and the applicable percent is allocated to and reimbursed by the General Assembly Retirement System of Illinois.

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois**
Analysis of Operations (Unaudited)
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Schedule of Contributions/Deductions and Effect on Investments (Unaudited)

Below is a schedule of contributions received by the System and expenditures of the System for benefits and operations and the effect of these transactions on the System's investments.

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Contributions		
Participant Contributions	\$ 16,444,796	\$ 16,725,191
Employer Contributions	<u>63,644,099</u>	<u>62,694,460</u>
Total Contributions	<u>80,088,895</u>	<u>79,419,651</u>
Deductions		
Temporary Disability Benefits	—	72,613
Retirement Benefits	87,161,263	82,076,983
Survivor Benefits	19,491,832	18,570,146
Refunds	586,455	652,193
Administrative Expenses	<u>764,090</u>	<u>622,045</u>
Total Deductions	<u>108,003,640</u>	<u>101,993,980</u>
Deductions in Excess of Contributions (Investments Used to Pay Benefits and Expenses)	<u>\$ (27,914,745)</u>	<u>\$ (22,574,329)</u>

**Judges' Retirement System
of the State of Illinois**
Analysis of Operations (Unaudited)
For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Unaudited)

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Membership data		
Active members	968	968
Inactive members	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>
Total members	<u>983</u>	<u>984</u>
Benefit payments processed		
Recurring		
Retirement annuities (1)	725	720
Survivors' annuities (2)	<u>331</u>	<u>327</u>
Total	<u>1,056</u>	<u>1,047</u>
Termination refunds processed	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>
Retirement counseling*		
One-on-one counseling programs held	23	33
Preretirement seminars held	4	4

* Held in conjunction with the General Assembly Retirement System, State of Illinois.

(1) 100 percent of the fiscal year 2012 retirement annuities were processed in less than 30 days.

(2) 100 percent of the fiscal year 2012 survivors' annuities were processed in less than 30 days.