# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO TECHNOLOGY PARK CORPORATION

FINANCIAL AUDIT AND

COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION (In Accordance with the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133) For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

Performed as Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, State of Illinois

### State of Illinois Chicago Technology Park Corporation Financial Audit and Compliance Examination For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

Table of Contents	Page(s)
Corporation Officials	1
Management Assertion Letter	2-3
Compliance Report	
Summary	4-5
Accountants' Reports	
Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance, on Internal	
Control Over Compliance, and on Supplementary Information for	
State Compliance Purposes	6-8
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over	
Financial Reporting and on Compliance And Other Matters	
Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in	
Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	9-10
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements	
Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over	
Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133	11-13
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Summary of Auditors' Results	14
Current Finding - Federal and Questioned Costs	15-16
Prior Finding Not Repeated	17
Financial Statement Report	
Summary	18
Independent Auditors' Report	19-20
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Assets	21
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets	22
Statement of Cash Flows	23
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	24-28
Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes	
Summary	29
Fiscal Schedules and Analysis	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	30
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	31
Comparative Schedule of Receipts, Disbursements and Cash	
Balances - Locally Held Funds	32
Schedule of Changes in State Property	33
Comparative Schedule of Expenses - Locally Held Funds	34
Comparative Schedule of Revenues - Locally Held Funds	34

### State of Illinois Chicago Technology Park Corporation Financial Audit and Compliance Examination For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

l'able of Contents, continued	Page(s)
Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenses	35
Analysis of Significant Variations in Revenues	35
Analysis of Significant Account Balances	36
Analysis of Accounts Receivable	37
Analysis of Operations	
Corporation Functions and Planning Program	38
Average Number of Employees	39
Agreements with the Illinois Medical District Commission	40
Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Unaudited)	41-43
Schedule of Federal Expenditures, Nonfederal Expenditures,	
and New Loans	44

### **Corporation Officials**

President Mr. Samuel Pruett

Vice-President Mr. Mich Hein

(07/01/06 to 10/08/07)

Acting Chief Fiscal Officer Mr. Robert Nauert

(11/01/06 to 09/19/08)

Director of Corporate and External Affairs Mr. Mark Jamil

(Chief Legal Counsel)

Technical Counsel Mr. Kenneth Scheiwe

Project Manager, Financial Affairs Mr. Thomas Custardo

(03/04/08 to Present)

### Corporation office is located at:

2201 W. Campbell Park Drive, Suite 1 Chicago, Illinois 60612

### Fiscal office is located at:

600 South Hoyne Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60612



March 10, 2009

E. C. Ortiz & Co., LLP 333 S Des Plaines St, Suite 2-N Chicago, Illinois 60661

### Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are responsible for the identification of, and compliance with, all aspects of laws, regulations, contracts, or grant agreements that could have a material effect on the operations of the Chicago Technology Park Corporation (Corporation). We are responsible for and we have established and maintained an effective system of internal controls over compliance requirements. We have performed an evaluation of the Corporation's compliance with the following assertions during the year ended June 30, 2008. Based on this evaluation, we assert that during the year ended June 30, 2008, the Corporation has materially complied with the assertions below.

- A. The Corporation has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- B. The Corporation has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt or use.
- C. The Corporation has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- D. State revenues and receipts collected by the Corporation are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate and in accordance with law.

E. Money or negotiable securities or similar assets handled by the Corporation on behalf of the State or held in trust by the Corporation have been properly and legally administered, and the accounting and recordkeeping relating thereto is proper, accurate and in accordance with law.

Sincerely,

Chicago Technology Park Corporation

Samuel W. Pruen

President

Thomas P. Custardo

Project Manager, Financial Affairs

Mark S. Jamil

Director of Corporate and External Affairs

(Chief Legal Counsel)

### **Compliance Report**

### Summary

The compliance testing performed during this examination was conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and in accordance with the Illinois State Auditing Act.

### Accountants' Reports

The Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance, on Internal Control Over Compliance and on Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes does not contain scope limitations, disclaimers, or other significant non-standard language.

### **Summary of Findings**

	Current	Prior
Number of	<u>Report</u>	Report
Findings	1	2
Repeated finding	1	1
Prior recommendations		
implemented or not repeated	1	1

Details of findings are presented in the separately tabbed report section of this report.

### **Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**

<u>Item</u> <u>No.</u>	Page	<u>Description</u>	Finding Type
		FINDING AND QUESTIONED COSTS (FEDERAL COMPLIANCE)	
08-1	15	Inaccurate Submission of Quarterly Financial Status Reports	Noncompliance Significant Deficiency
		PRIOR FINDING NOT REPEATED	
Α	17	Proof of U.S. Citizenship or Permanent Residence Status Not Maintained	

### **Compliance Report**

### Summary, Continued

### **Exit Conference**

Corporation management waived having an exit conference per correspondence dated December 22, 2008. Responses to the recommendations were provided by Thomas Custardo, Project Manager, Financial Affairs in correspondence dated December 22, 2008.



Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance, on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes

Honorable William G. Holland Auditor General State of Illinois

and

The Board of Directors
Chicago Technology Park Corporation

### Compliance

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have examined Chicago Technology Park Corporation's (Corporation) compliance with the requirements listed below, as more fully described in the Audit Guide for Financial Audits and Compliance Attestation Engagements of Illinois State Agencies (Audit Guide) as adopted by the Auditor General, during the year ended June 30, 2008. The management of the Corporation is responsible for compliance with these requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Corporation's compliance based on our examination.

- A. The Corporation has obligated, expended, received, and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- B. The Corporation has obligated, expended, received, and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt or use.
- C. The Corporation has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- D. State revenues and receipts collected by the Corporation are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate and in accordance with law.
- E. Money or negotiable securities or similar assets handled by the Corporation on behalf of the State or held in trust by the Corporation have been properly and legally administered and the accounting and recordkeeping relating thereto is proper, accurate, and in accordance with law.

We conducted our examination in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; the Illinois State Auditing Act (Act); and the Audit Guide as adopted by the Auditor General pursuant to the Act; and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Corporation's compliance with those requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the Corporation's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the Corporation complied, in all material respects, with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report during the year ended June 30, 2008. However, the results of our procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is required to be reported in accordance with criteria established by the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as finding 08-1.

#### **Internal Control**

The management of the Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report. In planning and performing our examination, we considered the Corporation's internal control over compliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report in order to determine our examination procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to comply with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a requirement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 08-1 to be a significant deficiency.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a requirement listed in the first paragraph of this report will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We did not consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be a material weakness.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

There were no immaterial findings that have been excluded from this report.

The Corporation's response to the finding identified in our examination is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not examine the Corporation's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

### Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities of the Corporation as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, which collectively comprise the Corporation's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 10, 2009. The accompanying supplementary information, as listed in the table of contents as Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the Corporation. The 2008 Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes, except for that portion marked "unaudited" on which we express no opinion, has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2008, taken as a whole.

We have also previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, the Corporation's basic financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2007. In our report dated April 30, 2008, we expressed unqualified opinions on the respective financial statements of the business-type activities. In our opinion, the 2007 Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes, except for the portion marked "unaudited" is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2007 taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Auditor General, the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Commission, the Governor, the Board of Directors and management of the Corporation, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

E. C. Ortizo o Co., LLP March 10, 2009



Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* 

Honorable William G. Holland Auditor General State of Illinois

and

The Board of Directors
Chicago Technology Park Corporation

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have audited the basic financial statements of Chicago Technology Park Corporation (Corporation), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, and have issued our report thereon dated March 10, 2009. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Corporation's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Auditor General, the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Commission, the Governor, the Board of Directors, and management of the Corporation, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

E. C. Ritiz + Co., LLP

March 10, 2009



Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

Honorable William G. Holland Auditor General State of Illinois

and

The Board of Directors
Chicago Technology Park Corporation

### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Chicago Technology Park Corporation (Corporation) with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2008. The Corporation's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Corporation's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Corporation's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Corporation's compliance with those requirements.

tel: 312.876.1900 fax: 312.876.1911

In our opinion, the Corporation complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2008. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with those requirements, which is required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 08-1.

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Corporation's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 08-1 to be a significant deficiency.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We did not consider any of the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be material weaknesses.

The Corporation's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the Corporation's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Auditor General, the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Commission, the Governor, the Board of Directors and management of the Corporation, and federal awarding agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

E. C. Obj + Co., LLP March 10, 2009

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2008				
Summary of Auditors' Results				
Financial Statements				
Type of auditors' report issued: <u>Unqualified</u>				
Internal control over financial reporting:				
Material weakness(es) identified?		Yes	<b>√</b>	_ No
<ul> <li>Significant Deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?</li> </ul>		Yes	✓	None reported No
• Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	7	Yes	<b>√</b>	_ No
Federal Awards				
Internal control over major programs:				
Material weakness(es) identified?		Yes	✓	_ No
<ul> <li>Significant Deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?</li> </ul>	<b>✓</b>	Yes		None reported
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major pro-	grams:	<u>Unqual</u>	<u>ified</u>	
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133?		Yes		No
Identification of major programs:				
CFDA Number	<u>Name</u>	of Fede	eral Pro	gram or Cluster
17.261		Pilots, I rch Proj		strations, and
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$300	,000		
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?		Yes	S	✓ No

#### **Current Finding - Federal and Questioned Costs**

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

08-1 <u>Inaccurate Submission of Quarterly Financial Status Reports</u>

Federal Department: U.S. Department of Labor

**CFDA Number:** 17.261

Program Name: WIA Pilots, Demonstrations, and Research Projects

Award Number: AH-13683-04-60

Questioned Costs: None

The Chicago Technology Park Corporation (Corporation) filed inaccurate Financial Status Reports (SF 269) in 3 of 4 quarters with the U.S. Department of Labor for WIA Pilots, Demonstrations and Research Projects.

During our review, we noted that the SF 269 reports filed by the Corporation did not reconcile with the Corporation's accounting records. For the quarter ended September 30, 2007, no amounts were reported under Federal Cash Transactions but the report for the quarter ended December 31, 2007 showed a previous period balance of \$68,245. For the quarter ended March 31, 2008, Federal cash receipts totaling \$200,393 was reported on the SF 269 but Corporation records showed \$254,394. We also noted that the SF 269 report filed for June 30, 2008 did not reconcile to the financial reports. Amounts reported in the SF 269 were misstated as follows: cash receipts were understated by \$122,246; federal expenditures were overstated by \$52,055; and recipient share of expenditures were understated by \$2,028,199.

The grant agreement between the Corporation (grantee) and the U.S. Department of Labor states that the grantee shall submit quarterly Financial Status Reports within 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter. It also states that the reports shall include program outlays (expenditures) and program income on an accrual basis.

Corporation officials stated that these inaccuracies were the result of processes and actions attributed to the former person responsible for the preparation of the reports no longer with the Corporation. All quarterly financial reporting is now prepared and presented with supporting documentation that is independently verified by another personnel independent of the process.

### Current Finding - Federal and Questioned Costs, continued

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

Failure to file accurate Financial Status Reports in a timely manner resulted in a lack of proper accountability and could jeopardize future Federal funding. (Finding Code Nos. 08-1, 07-1, 06-1)

#### Recommendation

We recommend that the Corporation improve procedures to ensure that Financial Status Reports are accurate.

### Corporation Response

The Corporation agrees with the recommendation.

### **Prior Finding Not Repeated**

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

A. <u>Proof of U.S. Citizenship or Permanent Residence Status Not Maintained</u>

Federal Department: U.S. Department of Labor

CFDA Number: 17.261

Program Name: WIA Pilots, Demonstrations, and Research Projects

Award Number: AH-13683-04-60

Questioned Cost: None

The Chicago Technology Park Corporation (Corporation) did not maintain proof of U.S. Citizenship or Permanent Residence status for WIA Pilots, Demonstrations and Research Projects - BiTmaP Program students. (Finding Code No. 07-2)

Status: Not Repeated

During the current engagement, the results of our testing did not disclose similar exceptions.

### Financial Statement Report

### Summary

The audit of the accompanying basic financial statements of the Chicago Technology Park Corporation (Corporation) was performed by E.C. Ortiz and Co., LLP.

Based on their audit, the auditor expressed an unqualified opinion on the Corporation's basic financial statements.



### **Independent Auditors' Report**

Honorable William G. Holland Auditor General State of Illinois

and

The Board of Directors
Chicago Technology Park Corporation

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the Chicago Technology Park Corporation (Corporation), a component unit of the Illinois Medical District Commission, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, as listed in the Table of Contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. The prior year partial comparative financial information has been derived from the Corporation's June 30, 2007 financial statements and, in our report dated April 30, 2008, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities of the Corporation as of June 30, 2008, and the respective changes in net assets and cash flows thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated March 10, 2009 on our consideration of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The Corporation has not presented a management's discussion and analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of the basic financial statements.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Corporation's basic financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information, as listed in the Table of Contents, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The supplementary information, as listed in the Table of Contents, has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

E. C. Ortizo + Co., LLP

March 10, 2009

### State of Illinois Chicago Technology Park Corporation Statement of Net Assets

	June 30,				
ASSETS	2008	(Comparative Totals Only) 2007			
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 3)	\$ 7,343	\$ 14,097			
Accounts receivable (Note 5)	32,534	68,245			
Due from other state agencies	179,251				
Total current assets	219,128	82,342			
Noncurrent assets:					
Capital Assets - net (Note 6)		2,748			
Other assets	-	284,518			
Total noncurrent assets	_	287,266			
TOTAL ASSETS	219,128	369,608			
LIABILITIES					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	97,156	53,941			
TOTAL LIABILITIES	97,156	53,941			
NET ASSETS					
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt Restricted:	-	2,748			
Expendable for grant activities	121,972	312,919			
TOTAL NET ASSETS	\$ 121,972	\$ 315,667			

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

State of Illinois Chicago Technology Park Corporation Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets

	For the Year Ended June 30,				
	2008	(Comparative Totals Only) 2007			
OPERATING REVENUES	-				
Federal grants and contracts	\$ 582,920	\$ 640,845			
State grants and contracts	100,000	-			
Services	2,764,273	782,105			
Total operating revenues	3,447,193	1,422,950			
OPERATING EXPENSES					
Grant programs	3,273,622	1,262,197			
Depreciation expense	2,748	5,503			
Amortization expense	364,518	469,035			
Total operating expenses	3,640,888	1,736,735			
Operating loss	(193,695)	(313,785)			
NONOPERATING REVENUES					
Interest income	-	76			
Total nonoperating revenues	_	76			
Decrease in Net Assets	(193,695)	(313,709)			
NET ASSETS					
Net assets, beginning of year	315,667	629,376			
Net assets, end of year	\$ 121,972	\$ 315,667			

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

### State of Illinois Chicago Technology Park Corporation Statement of Cash Flows

	For the Year Ended June 30,				
		2008	(Comparative Totals Only) 2007		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Grants and contracts	\$	718,631	\$	1,103,875	
Payments to suppliers		(466,434)		(901,407)	
Payments to employees		(179,251)		(72,405)	
Other receipts		300		700	
Purchase of others assets		(80,000)		(199,022)	
Net cash used in operating activities	<b>I</b>	(6,754)		(68,259)	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Interest on investments		***		76	
Net cash provided by investing activities		_		76	
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(6,754)		(68,183)	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		14,097		82,280	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	7,343	\$	14,097	
Reconciliation of operating loss to net cash used in operating activities:					
Operating loss	\$	(193,695)	\$	(313,785)	
Adjustments to reconcile operating loss to	4	(120,020)	4	(010,700)	
net cash used in operating activities:					
Depreciation expense		2,748		5,503	
Amortization expense		364,518		469,035	
Changes in assets and liabilities		•		•	
Accounts receivable		35,711		462,936	
Due from other state agencies		(179,251)		94	
Other assets		(80,000)		(199,022)	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		43,215		(493,020)	
Net cash used in operating activities	\$	(6,754)	\$	(68,259)	

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

#### 1. Organization

The Chicago Technology Park Corporation (Corporation) is an IRC 501 c (4) organization, incorporated in the State of Illinois, and registered as a not-for-profit scientific and research corporation. The mission of the Corporation is to encourage and facilitate a community of scientific research and development, promote and attract new industry, employment, economic development, and establish a regional technology network.

The Corporation actively promotes the transfer of technology and research for the public interest by managing the Chicago Technology Park, by supporting technology transfer and commercialization, by attracting industry and funding including acquiring and managing grants, contracts and other means of fiscal support for technology transfer and development.

Two grant programs currently under management by the Corporation are:

**BiTmaP**: a program funded by the U.S. Department of Labor to train U.S. Citizens in bioinformatics. The program's goal is to bridge the gap between the surplus of unemployed and/or underemployed information technology (IT) professionals and the need for more qualified IT professionals in the fast-growing Midwest life science community.

**Bio-TIP**: a program funded by the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity (DCEO) of the State of Illinois to augment BitmaP's successful curriculum development and student recruitment. The funding is mainly directed toward student internships, as well as assistance in convening industry meetings, conducting market analysis, and improving BiTmaP program awareness in Illinois.

#### 2. Significant Accounting Principles and Policies

The accompanying basic financial statements of the Corporation have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as prescribed in pronouncements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

#### Financial Reporting Entity

As defined by GAAP, the financial reporting entity consists of a primary government, as well as its component units, which are legally separate organizations for which the officials of the primary government are financially accountable. Financial accountability is defined as:

- Appointment of a voting majority of the component unit's board and either (a) the primary government's ability to impose its will, or (b) the possibility that the component unit will provide a financial benefit to or impose a financial burden on the primary government; or
- Fiscal dependency on the primary government.

Based upon the required criteria, the Corporation is reported as a blended component unit of the Illinois Medical District Commission (Commission), which is a component unit of the State of Illinois financial reporting entity. The financial balances and activities included in these basic financial statements are, therefore, also included in the Commission's basic financial statements, as well as the State's comprehensive annual financial report. The State of Illinois' Comprehensive Annual Financial Report may be obtained by writing to the State Comptroller's Office, Financial Reporting Department, 325 West Adams Street, Springfield, Illinois 62704-1871.

#### **Basis of Accounting**

Since the Corporation is a component unit of the Commission, the Corporation has adopted its reporting style to report as a business type activity to facilitate consolidation into the Commission.

The financial statements of the Corporation are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of when the related cash flow takes place. Revenue from grants, entitlements, and similar items are recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include money market accounts and cash in banks.

#### Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable include amounts due from the U.S. Department of Labor.

### Capital Assets

Capital assets which included property and equipment, reported at cost, have been fully depreciated in FY 08. Contributed capital assets are reported at estimated fair value when received. Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method.

Capitalization thresholds and the estimated useful lives are as follows:

	Capi	talization	Estimated
Capital Asset Category	ry Threshold		Useful Life
Equipment	\$	5,000	3-25 years

#### Other Assets

Other assets, which included program course development costs, were fully amortized during the year.

#### **Due from Other State Agencies**

The Corporation has the following type of transaction with other State agencies:

Services provided and used - sales and purchases of goods and services between agencies for a price approximating their external exchange value. Unpaid amounts are reported as due from other State agencies.

#### Net Assets

In the financial statements, equity is classified in three components as follows:

**Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt** - This consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, less the outstanding balances of mortgages and capital lease obligations that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. The Corporation had no balance in this category as of June 30, 2008.

**Restricted** - This consists of net assets that are legally restricted by outside parties. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, generally, it is the Corporation's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources when they are needed.

**Unrestricted** - This consists of net assets that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "invested in capital assets, net of related debt". The Corporation had no Unrestricted Net Assets as of June 30, 2008.

### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Classification of Revenues

The Corporation has classified its revenues as either operating or nonoperating revenues according to the following criteria:

**Operating revenues** - Operating revenues include activities that relate to the operating purposes of the Corporation. Grants and contracts have been reported as operating revenues.

**Nonoperating revenues** - Nonoperating revenues include investment earnings on temporary cash investments.

#### 3. Deposits

GASB Statement No. 40 Deposit and Investment Risk Disclosures requires general disclosures by investment type with disclosures of the specific risks those investments are exposed to.

The Corporation has utilized several different bank accounts for the various activities of its programs. The book balance of such accounts at June 30, 2008 was \$7,343, while the bank balance was \$8,072. The difference between the above amounts primarily represents checks and deposits which had not cleared the bank. At June 30, 2008, the Corporation's deposits were fully insured or collateralized.

	Carrying	Bank
	Amount	Balance
Cash in Bank	\$ 7,343	\$ 8,072

#### 4. Risk Management

The Corporation is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; workers compensation, and natural disasters. The Corporation maintains commercial coverage for its workers compensation and property liability.

#### 5. Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable include amounts due to the Corporation under grant agreements. An allowance for doubtful accounts was not necessary at June 30, 2008.

#### 6. Capital Assets

Capital assets consisted entirely of equipment (collections of books) as of June 30, 2008:

	July 1, 2007 Additions Deletions					ine 30, 2008		
Cost:			45		45			
Equipment	_\$_	11,005	\$			-	\$	11,005
Total Cost		11,005	***************************************					11,005
Less Accumulated Depreciation:								
Equipment		8,257	2,	748		_		11,005
Total		8,257	2,	748		_		11,005
Capital Assets, Net		2,748	\$ (2,7	748)	\$			

### 7. Litigation

On September 1, 2005, the Corporation was named the defendant in a lawsuit seeking judgment of \$243,000. The Corporation intends to vigorously contest this matter and believes the outcome will be in favor of the Corporation. Therefore, no liability amount has been recorded on the Corporation's books as of June 30, 2008.

#### 8. Commitments and Contingencies

The Corporation received a grant from the U.S. Department of Labor (BiTmaP) in 2004 to train unemployed and/or underemployed information technology professionals in the field of bioinformatics. Under the terms of this grant, the Corporation has contracted with the University of Illinois at Chicago to provide training over a three-year period starting May 2, 2005 and ending June 30, 2008. The total cost of this contract is \$1,843,345, of which \$1,689,097 was incurred as of June 30, 2008.

#### **Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes**

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

### **Summary**

Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in this section of the report includes the following:

Fiscal Schedules and Analysis

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Comparative Schedule of Receipts, Disbursements and Cash

Balances - Locally Held Funds

Schedule of Changes in State Property

Comparative Schedule of Expenses - Locally Held Funds

Comparative Schedule of Revenues - Locally Held Funds

Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenses

Analysis of Significant Variations in Revenues

Analysis of Significant Account Balances

Analysis of Accounts Receivable

Analysis of Operations

Corporation Functions and Planning Program

Average Number of Employees

Agreements with the Illinois Medical District Commission

Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Unaudited)

Schedule of Federal, Nonfederal Expenditures, and New Loans

The accountants' report that covers the Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in the Compliance Report Section states that it has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in the accountants' opinion, except for that portion marked "unaudited", on which they express no opinion, it is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor Program/Grant Title	CFDA Number	Pass-Through Number	Year 2008 nditures
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR			
WIA Pilots, Demonstrations, and Research Projects	17.261		\$ 582,889
TOTAL FEDERAL AWARDS EXPENDED			\$ 582,889

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

#### Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policy

#### Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by federal agency represents the financial assistance transactions of the Chicago Technology Park Corporation (Corporation), which has been reported as a blended component unit of the Illinois Medical District Commission (Commission) in the accompanying basic financial statements. This was recorded on the full accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit

#### 2. Description of Program

#### BiTmaP

This is a training program that certifies information technology (IT) professionals in the field of bioinformatics. BiTmaP is sponsored by a \$3 million grant awarded to the Corporation by the U.S. Department of Labor. The grant supports a partnership with the Corporation and the University of Illinois at Chicago to create and manage an online training curriculum at the interface of information technology and the life sciences. BiTmaP is a job-training program with the ultimate goal of expanding Illinois life sciences workforce.

#### 3. Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards may differ from the Total Operating Expenses presented in the financial statements. Following is the reconciliation:

Total Operating Expenses	\$ 3,640,888
Add (Deduct):	
Matching expenditures	(2,763,973)
Amortization	(364,518)
Depreciation	(2,748)
State-funded program expenses	(6,760)
Capitalized course development costs	80,000
Total Federal Awards Expended	\$ 582,889

#### 4. Subrecipient Payments

There were no subrecipient payments made during the year.

# Comparative Schedule of Receipts, Disbursements and Cash Balances – Locally Held Funds

For the Years Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007

Below is a cash basis schedule for all locally held funds showing beginning balances, receipts, disbursements, and ending balances for Fiscal Year 2008 and 2007.

	2008	2007		
Beginning balance, July 1	\$ 14,097	\$ 82,280		
Cash receipts	635,014	1,104,651		
Cash disbursements	(641,768)	(1,172,834)		
Ending balance, June 30	\$ 7,343	\$ 14,097		

### Schedule of Changes in State Property

For the Years Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007

	2008	2007		
Beginning balance, July 1	\$ 22,542	\$	19,654	
Additions	1,601		2,888	
Deletions	···		***	
Ending balance, June 30	\$ 24,143	\$	22,542	

Note: This summary schedule was prepared using Capital Assets records required by the Illinois Administrative Code. The capitalization policy in the Code is different than the capitalization policy established by the Office of the Comptroller for financial reporting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United

States.

# **Comparative Schedule of Expenses - Locally Held Funds** *For the Years Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007*

	 2008		2007
CTP Restricted Program Fund (1376)			
Program expense	\$ 3,273,622	\$	1,262,197
Amortization expense	364,518		469,035
Depreciation	 2,748		5,503
Total - Fund 1376	\$ 3,640,888	\$	1,736,735

### **Comparative Schedule of Revenues - Locally Held Funds**

For the Years Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007

	2008		 2007
CTP Restricted Program Fund (1376)			
Grants	\$	3,443,893	\$ 1,422,250
Other income		300	700
Interest income			 76
Total - Fund 1376	\$	3,447,193	\$ 1,423,026

#### Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenses

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

### **Operating Expenses**

	2008	2007
Grant programs	\$ 3,273,622	\$ 1,262,197
Depreciation expense	2,748	5,503
Amortization expense	364,518	469,035
	\$ 3,640,888	\$ 1,736,735

Increase in operating expense was mainly due to the increase in administrative cost allocation in fiscal year 2008. The increase was also due to accrual of current period grant expenditures and various expenditures related to the BiTmaP grant program

#### Analysis of Significant Variations in Revenues

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

### **Operating Revenues**

	2008	2007
Federal grants and contracts State grants and contracts	\$ 582,920 100,000	\$ 640,845 -
Services	2,764,273	782,105
	\$ 3,447,193	\$ 1,422,950

Decrease in federal grants and contracts account was due to the decrease in BiTmaP grant revenues which is near the end of the extended grant period on June 30, 2008. This decrease was offset by the increase in services income which is the Commission's grant match in kind for \$2,763,973. In fiscal year 2008, the Corporation received \$100,000 from the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity to augment BitmaP's successful curriculum development and student recruitment.

### **Analysis of Significant Account Balances**

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are maintained as of June 30, 2008, as follows:

Fund #	Financial Institution	Account Type	<u>Balance</u>
1376	Cole Taylor Bank	Checking	\$7,343
			-
	Total Cash and Cash I	Equivalents	\$7,343

#### **Accounts Receivable**

Accounts receivable consist entirely of BiTmaP grant receivables from the U.S. Department of Labor. One hundred percent of the prior year receivables were collected in fiscal year 2008.

### Other Assets, Net

	2008	2007
Other Assets, Net	<u> </u>	\$ 284,518

Course development costs have been fully amortized in fiscal year 2008.

### Analysis of Accounts Receivable

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

The accounts receivable presented below represent amounts due from the U.S. Department of Labor for a grant to the Corporation.

	2008	2007			
Days Outstanding	Amount Percent		A	mount	Percent
One to thirty	\$ 32,534	100%	\$	68,245	100%
Estimated uncollectible amount					
Accounts Receivable (Net)	\$ 32,534		\$	68,245	

#### **Analysis of Operations**

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

#### **Corporation Functions and Planning Program**

#### **Functions**

The primary purpose of the Chicago Technology Park Corporation (Corporation) is to encourage and facilitate: (a) scientific research and technology transfer for the public interest and for the improvement, maintenance, and growth of the Illinois Medical District; (b) attraction of new industry to the community; (c) the increased commercial application of technology; (d) the improvement and development of local and State's economies and increase the State's share of Federal research funds; and (e) establishment of regional technology networks. The governing body of the Corporation, the Board of Directors, is comprised of members from Illinois Medical District Commission (IMDC) (Dr. Kenneth Schmidt, Dr. Leon Dingle, John Partelow, Abraham C. Morgan), Rush University Medical Center (Dr. Avery Miller and Dr. Larry J.Goodman), and the University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC) (Dr. Eric Gislason).

The Corporation was also established to assist the IMDC with its statutory responsibility to administer and exercise authority with respect to the development and operation of the Chicago Technology Park (Park). The Park is an area or areas within the Illinois medical Center District designated for research and development of medically related technologies and products. The Park included an incubator facility, which was established to assist new businesses in the research and development of new or unique products and to help them grow until they are fully self-sufficient. The Park also includes the Enterprise Center I and the Enterprise Center II which provide "acceleration" wet lab space for incubator facility graduates.

### Analysis of Operations, continued

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

### **Average Number of Employees**

The following is a summary of the average number of employees as of June 30, for the fiscal year ended.

	2008	2007
Programmatic	-	1
Clerical	***	
Total		1

There were no employees employed by the Commission and reimbursed by the Corporation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

#### Analysis of Operations, continued

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

### Agreements with the Illinois Medical District Commission

The Corporation has been awarded a \$3,000,000 grant from the U.S. Department of Labor to provide training in bioinformatics. The Commission is providing certain in-kind matching claimed for the grant and will also be compensated for certain administrative costs.

In June 2007, the Corporation entered into an agreement with the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity (DCEO) to develop and support the Bitmap program. The DCEO agreed to provide the grant in an amount not to exceed \$100,000 for costs incurred from June 1, 2007 to May 31, 2009.

### Analysis of Operations, continued

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

### Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Unaudited)

Presented below is information on the Bitmap program enrollments:

Academic Calendar	SEM 1	SEM 2	SEM 3	SEM 4	SEM 5	SEM 6	SEM 7	SEM 8	SEM 9
Group 1									
Enrolled	30	27	9	6	2	1	0	0	0
Graduated	0	0	10	13	16	17	17	17	17
Withdrawn	0	3	11	11	12	12	13	13	13
Group 2									
Enrolled		28	25	20	9	4	0	0	0
Graduated		0	0	2	4	8	10	11	11
Withdrawn		0	3	6	15	16	18	17	17
Group 3								_	_
Enrolled			30	25	13	5	0	0	0
Graduated			0	0	6	13	17	17	17
Withdrawn			0	5	11	12	13	13	13
Group 4									
Enrolled				29	22	15	1	1	1
Graduated				0	0	4	17	17	17
Withdrawn				0	7	10	11	11	11
Group 5									
Enrolled					18	17	4	4	1
Graduated					0	0	11	11	14
Withdrawn					0	1	3	3	3
Group 6									
Enrolled						11	10	1	1
Graduated						0	0	9	9
Withdrawn						0	1	1	1
Group 7									
Enrolled							14	13	4
Graduated							0	0	9
Withdrawn							1	2	2
Total Enrolled to Date	30	58	88	117	135	146	161	161	161
Total Enrolled	30	55	64	80	64	53	29	19	7
Total Graduate	0	0	10	15	26	42	72	82	94
Total Withdraw	0	3	14	22	45	51	60	60	60

#### Analysis of Operations, continued

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

### Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Unaudited)

#### Graduated

#### <u>Jobs</u>

- 2 Jobs at Abbott Labs, \$73,000 and \$67,500/yr, respectively
- Job at AEP Networks \$85,000/ yr
- Job at Bering Point \$47,500/ yr
- Job at Chromatin \$27,040/ yr
- Job at College of Dupage \$38.64/ hr
- Job at DePaul University \$40,000/ yr
- Job at GeoEye, Inc., \$95,000/ yr
- Job at Global Consultants \$52,000/ yr
- Job at Gnostech, Inc., \$75,000/ yr
- Job at Good One Express \$30,000/ yr
- Job at Integrated Genomics \$63,000/ yr
- Job at Kelly Services \$67,000/ yr
- Job at Kirkwood Community College \$54,322/ yr
- Job at Lawrence Berkerley National Labs \$70,000/ yr
- Job at L'Oreal USA \$62,000/ yr
- Job at Maszczyk Consulting, Inc. \$50,000/yr
- 2 Jobs at Medical College of Wisconsin \$75,000 and \$70,000/ yr, respectively
- Job at Merck & Co. \$120,000/ yr
- Job at Microsoft \$115,000/ yr
- Job at Monsanto Corp. \$80,000/ yr
- Job at Motorola \$60,000/ yr
- Job at Northwestern University \$40,000/ yr
- Job at Prefab Software \$115,000/ yr
- Job at Satellite Labs \$95,000/ yr
- Job at Seattle Biomedical Research Institute \$20,800/ yr
- Job at Servotech Inc. \$55,000/ yr
- Job at Shriner's Hospital
- Job at SSAI \$52,999/ yr
- Job at Stowers Institute for Medical Research \$74,040/ yr
- Job at Triton College \$68,000/yr
- 4 Jobs at University of Illinois\$18,720, \$26,500, \$65,000 and \$46,500/ yr, respectively
- Job at VCI \$71,000/ yr
- Job at Wake Forest University \$32,000/ yr

#### Analysis of Operations, continued

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

### Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Unaudited), continued

#### <u>Internships</u>

- Internship at DePaul University
- 3 Internships at University of Illinois at Chicago
- Internship at Abbott Laboratories
- Internship at Chicago Technology Park \$27.50/ hr
- Internship at Chromatin \$11/hr
- 2 Internships at DePaul University \$30 and \$8.50/ hr, respectively
- Internship at the Field Museum of Natural History
- Internship at GenUS Biosystems
- Internship at Google, Northwestern University
- Internship at Precision Biomarker
- Internship at University of Chicago \$15/hr
- Internship at Aagami at \$27.50/hr
- 3 internships at the Field Museum
- Internships at Loyola University Medical Center
- Internships at Ohmx
- Internships at Shamrock Structures

### Dropped and Withdrawn

- 42 Students dropped out of the program for a variety of personal and academic reasons.
- 20 Students were withdrawn from the program for failing to meet minimum program performance standards.
- 1 student was accepted to the program but never enrolled in a course or otherwise participated in the program.
- 1 Student was readmitted to the Program based on reaffirmed commitment.

### Analysis of Operations, continued

For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

### Schedules of Federal Expenditures, Nonfederal Expenditures, and New Loans

Schedule A - Federal Financial Component		
Total Federal Expenditures Reported on SEFA Sc	hedule	\$ 582,889
Total New Loans Made not included on SEFA Schedule		-
Amount of Federal Loan Balances at Beginning of the Year (not included on		
the SEFA schedule and continued compliance is required)		-
Other noncash Federal Award Expenditures (not included on SEFA schedule)		••
Total Schedule A	•	\$ 582,889
Schedule B - Total Financial Component		
Total Operating Expenses (From Financial Statements)		\$3,640,888
Total Nonoperating Expenses (From Financial Statements)		-
Total New Loans Made		_
Amount of Federal Loan Balances at Beginning of the Year		_
Other noncash Federal Award Expenditures		-
Total Schedule B		\$3,640,888
Schedule C	Percent	
Total Schedule A	\$ 582,889 16.0%	
Total Nonfederal Expenses	3,057,999 84.0%	
Total Schedule B	\$ 3,640,888 100.0%	

These schedules are used to determine the Corporation's single audit costs in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.