

STATE OF ILLINOIS

OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Release Date: March 16, 2017

Frank J. Mautino, Auditor General

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

ILLINOIS HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Single Audit and State Compliance Examination For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

FINDINGS THIS AUDIT: 8				AGING SCHEDULE OF REPEATED FINDINGS			
	New	Repeat	<u>Total</u>	Repeated Since	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3
Category 1:	0	3	3	2015	16-1, 16-5		
Category 2:	3	2	5	2014	16-2		
Category 3:	0	_0	0	2013		16-6	
TOTAL	3	5	8	2011		16-7	
FINDINGS L	AST A	UDIT: 14	<u> </u>				

INTRODUCTION

This digest covers our Single Audit and Compliance Examination of the Illinois Housing Development Authority (Authority) for the year ended June 30, 2016. A separate Financial Audit as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, was previously released on December 22, 2016. In total, this report contains 8 findings, four of which were reported in the Financial Audit.

SYNOPSIS

- (16-5) The Authority did not properly report expenditures on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.
- (16-6) The Authority did not follow its established policies and procedures for monitoring subrecipients of the Home Investment Partnerships program.
- (16-7) The Authority did not follow its established policies and procedures for monitoring subrecipients (for-profit developments) of the Section 8 Project-Based program.

Category 1: Findings that are material weaknesses in internal control and/or a qualification on compliance with State laws and regulations (material noncompliance).

Category 2: Findings that are significant deficiencies in internal control and noncompliance with State laws and regulations.

Category 3: Findings that have no internal control issues but are in noncompliance with State laws and regulations.

{Financial data and Activity Measures are summarized on next page.}

ILLINOIS HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION AND SINGLE AUDIT For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

EXPENDITURE STATISTICS		2016		2015		
Debt outstanding (net of unamortized discount)						
Housing Bonds	\$	338,900,000	\$	369,300,000		
Multifamily Initiative Bonds		146,300,000		150,300,000		
Affordable Housing Program Trust Fund Bonds		14,800,000		18,100,000		
Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds		100,000		100,000		
Homeowner Mortgage Revenue Bonds		302,700,000		337,800,000		
Housing Revenue Bonds		152,500,000		174,200,000		
Revenue Bonds		72,800,000		-		
Administrative Funds		52,300,000		34,100,000		
Total	\$ 1	,080,400,000	\$	1,083,900,000		
Cash and Cash equivalents (proprietary funds)	\$	282,890,983	\$	537,279,257		
Investments (all funds)	\$	750,307,771	\$	410,509,839		
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION		2016		2015		
E-manditunes of Fodovol Amanda						
Expenditures of Federal Awards Section 8 Project-Based Cluster	\$	107,151,397	\$	113,777,801		
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	Ф	266,456,640	Ф	261,331,980		
Interest Reduction Payments - Rental and Cooperative		200,430,040		201,331,960		
Housing for Lower Income Families Program		1,197,963		2,367,781		
Neighborhood Stabilization Program		606,474		876,477		
Community Development Block Grant		1,513,226		1,409,143		
National Foreclosure Mitigation Counseling Program		1,455,120		1,634,767		
Total	\$	378,380,820	\$	381,397,949		
		270,200,020		201,057,515		
Average Number of Employees (unaudited)		238		240		
SELECTED ACTIVITY MEASURES		2016		2015		
Total Number of Housing Bond Issues Outstanding		68		66		
Housing Units Produced Since Inception (unaudited)		280,166	263,577			
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR						
During Examination Period: Mary R. Kenney (thru 9-18-15), Brian E. Zises (Acting) (9-19-15 thru 1-15-16)						
Vacant (1-16-16 to 2-21-16), Audra A. Hamernik (2-22-16 to present)						
Current: Audra Hamernik						

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

NEED TO IMPROVE REPORTING OF FEDERAL EXPENDITURES ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (SEFA)

Expenditures were not properly reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) provided by the Authority for our major program determination. Specifically, we noted the total expenditures and amounts passed through to subrecipients were reported incorrectly as follows:

Reported amounts did not agree with actual amounts on the Schedule

Program	CFDA Number	Item	Reported Amount	Actual Amount	Understatement
NFMC	21.000	Total Expenditures	\$1,311,150	\$1,455,120	\$143,970
Section 8 New Construction	14.182	Amounts passed through to subrecipients	74,856,625	96,284,714	21,428,089
Section 8 Mod Rehab	14.856	Amounts passed through to subrecipients	5,605,846	5,871,220	265,374

Failure to accurately report federal expenditures and amounts passed through to subrecipients prohibits the completion of an audit in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, which may result in the suspension of federal funding. (Finding 5, pages 28-30)

We recommend the Authority review its contract procedures to ensure the SEFA is prepared accurately and in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.

Authority agrees with the auditors

Authority officials concurred with the recommendation.

NEED TO FOLLOW ESTABLISHED SUBRECIPIENT MONITORING PROCEDURES FOR THE HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM

Monitoring procedures not followed

The Authority did not follow its established policies and procedures for monitoring subrecipients of the Home Investment Partnership (Home) Program.

The Authority has implemented procedures whereby program staff perform periodic on-site and desk reviews of subrecipient compliance with regulations applicable to the Home program administered by the Authority. These reviews are formally documented and include the issuance of a report of the review results to the subrecipient summarizing the procedures performed, results of the procedures, and any findings or observations for improvement noted. The Authority's policies require the subrecipient to respond to each finding by providing a written response.

During our testwork over on-site review procedures performed for 25 subrecipients (with expenditures of \$2,107,497) of the Home program, we noted the Authority did not follow its established on-site monitoring procedures as follows:

Management decision letters were not issued timely

• The Authority did not issue a management decision letter within 45 days of communicating the findings from the on-site review for one grant subrecipient (with expenditures of \$201,990). The delay in communicating the findings from the on-site review was 40 days.

Close out reviews were not completed timely

• The Authority did not close out the on-site review files for two grant subrecipients (with expenditures totaling \$263,948) within 90 days. Delays in closing out the on-site reviews were 34 and 67 days.

Additionally, the Authority's policies and procedures over HOME program monitoring do not include guidelines for timely receipt of responses to monitoring reviews and file close out. (Finding 6, pages 31-33). This finding has been repeated since 2013.

We recommended the Authority ensure on-site monitoring files are closed and management decision letters are issued within reasonable timeframes. In addition, we recommended the Authority review its policies and procedures for the HOME program and establish timeframes for closing files and issuing management decisions on monitoring findings.

Authority will update their policies and procedures if they receive Home funding

Authority officials stated that at the present time they do not anticipate using HOME funding for Single Family activities. However, if the plans were to change in the future, they would review and update their policies and procedures for the Home program. (For the previous Authority response, see Digest Footnote #1.)

NEED TO FOLLOW ESTABLISHED SUBRECIPIENT MONITORING PROCEDURES FOR THE SECTION 8 PROJECT-BASED CLUSTER PROGRAM

Monitoring procedures not followed

The Authority did not follow its established policies and procedures for monitoring subrecipients (for-profit developments) of the Section 8 Project-Based (Section 8) program.

The Authority has implemented procedures whereby program staff perform periodic on-site and desk reviews of subrecipients' compliance with regulations applicable to the Section 8 Cluster program administered by the Authority. These reviews are formally documented and include the issuance of a report of the review results to the subrecipient summarizing the procedures performed, results of the procedures, and any findings or observations for improvement noted. The Authority's policies require the subrecipient file to be closed within 90 days of the subrecipient being notified of any findings.

Close out reviews not completed timely

During our testwork over on-site review procedures performed for 25 subrecipients (with expenditures of \$39,724,460) of the Section 8 Cluster program, we noted the Authority did not close out the on-site review files

for four subrecipients (with expenditures totaling \$6,417,341) within 90 days. Delays in closing out the on-site reviews ranged from 4 to 99 days. (Finding 7, pages 34-35) **This finding has been repeated since 2011.**

We recommended the Authority ensure on-site monitoring files are completed and closed in accordance with established policies and procedures.

Authority will review their existing procedures

Authority officials stated Asset Management Services will review its existing procedures to ensure on-site monitoring files are completed and closed in accordance with established policies and procedures. (For the previous Authority response, see Digest Footnote #2.)

OTHER FINDING

The remaining finding pertained to Inadequate Controls Over User Access to Information Systems. We will review the Authority's progress towards the implementation of our recommendations in our next Single Audit and State Compliance examination.

AUDITOR'S OPINIONS

The financial audit report was previously released. The auditors stated the financial statements of the Authority as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, are fairly stated in all material respects.

The auditors also conducted a Single Audit of the Authority as required by the Uniform Guidance. The auditors stated the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Authority's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016.

ACCOUNTANT'S OPINION

The accountants conducted a compliance examination of the Authority for the year ended June 30, 2016, as required by the Illinois State Auditing Act. The accountants stated the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the requirements described in the report.

This Single Audit and State Compliance Examination were conducted by KPMG LLP.

SIGNED ORIGINAL ON FILE

BRUCE L. BULLARD Division Director

This report is transmitted in accordance with Section 3-14 of the Illinois State Auditing Act.

SIGNED ORIGINAL ON FILE

FRANK J. MAUTINO Auditor General

FJM:TLK

DIGEST FOOTNOTES

#1 - NEED TO FOLLOW ESTABLISHED SUBRECIPIENT MONITORING PROCEDURES FOR THE HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM

2015: The Authority concurs with the recommendation. In fiscal year 2016, the Home staff has established new processes for ensuring monitoring reviews are completed in a timely manner. A spreadsheet tracks all dates of visits by Home staff and inspection staff. Home staff is working more closely with inspection staff via email and shared Excel tracking sheets to increase communication regarding joint responses in a timely manner. Further, as of June 2015, a supervisor reviews and signs all reviews before management responses are released to the subrecipient.

To meet required deadlines, the Authority will institute an automated reminder tool. This tool will only assist in reminding Home staff to request audits it will also assist in reminding management responses to be sent in the required period. Lastly, Home staff will update the policies and procedures to incorporate guidelines for timely responses to monitoring reviews as well as file close out.

#2 - NEED TO FOLLOW ESTABLISHED SUBRECIPIENT MONITORING PROCEDURES FOR THE SECTION 8 PROJECT-BASED CLUSTER PROGRAM

2015: The Authority concurs with the recommendation and will complete the recommended actions in the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016. While the Authority acknowledges delays in completing some steps of the oversight process within the internally-established timeline goals, all of the steps have been completed for all properties. A majority of the delays noted in the finding were related to one employee that was injured and out of the office for an extended period of time, subsequently resigned, and proceeding to hire a new employee for that position.