### **COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION**

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Agency Officials		Page(s)
Management Assertion Letter		3
Compliance Report: Summary Independent Accountant's Report on State Compliance, on Internal		5
Control Over Compliance, and on Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes Schedule of Findings		7
Current Findings - State Compliance		10
Prior Findings Not Repeated		12
Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes: Summary	<b>Schedule</b>	<u>Page(s)</u>
Fiscal Schedules and Analysis		13
Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures, and Lapsed Balances		
Fiscal Year 2017	1	14
Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures, and Lapsed Balances		
Fiscal Year 2016	2	19
Comparative Schedule of Net Appropriations, Expenditures, and		
Lapsed Balances	3	23
Schedule of Changes in State Property	4	28
Comparative Schedule of Cash Receipts and Reconciliation of Cash		
Receipts to Deposits Remitted to the State Comptroller	5	29
Analysis of Operations (Not Examined)		
Agency Functions and Planning Program (Not Examined)		32
Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenditures (Not		34
Examined)		
Analysis of Significant Variations in Receipts (Not Examined)		37
Analysis of Significant Lapse Period Spending (Not Examined)		39
Budget Impasse Disclosures (Not Examined)		41
Alternative Financing in Lieu of Appropriations and Programs		40
to Address Untimely Payments to Vendors (Not Examined)		42
Interest Costs on Fiscal Year 2016 and 2017 Invoices (Not		43
Examined)		11
Average Number of Employees (Not Examined) Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Not Examined)		44 45
BULVICE ETICIES AND ACCOMBINISHINGHIS UNOU EXAMINICAT		43

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### **COURT MEMBERS**<sup>1</sup>

Chief Justice	Mr. Peter Birnbaum

Judge Ms. Mary Patricia Burns

Judge (07/01/17 – Present) Mr. Joseph Gargliardo

Judge (03/17/17 – 06/30/17) Vacant

Judge (07/01/15 – 03/16/17) Mr. Gerald Kubasiak

Judge Mr. Neil Hartigan

Judge (11/09/15 – Present) Mr. Peter Karahalios

Judge (11/06/15 – 11/08/15) Vacant

Judge (07/01/15 - 11/05/15) Mr. Robert Steffen

Judge Mr. Michael McGlynn

Judge Mr. Donald Storino

### AGENCY OFFICIALS

### Court of Claims

Court Administrator Mr. Brad Bucher

General Counsel Mr. J. Michael Mathis

Fiscal Officer Mr. Christopher Valasek

### Secretary of State (Clerk of Court of Claims)

Director and Deputy Clerk Ms. Erica Katava (4/8/16 – Current)

Vacant (1/1/16 - 4/7/16)

Ms. Nina Fain (5/1/15 - 12/31/15)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court of Claims Act (705 ILCS 505/1) states the Court shall consist of seven judges, one of whom shall be the Chief Justice, who are attorneys licensed to practice law in the State of Illinois, to be appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate.

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

The Court of Claims' offices are located at:

630 South College Street Springfield, Illinois 62756

100 West Randolph, Suite 10 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Administrative Office 630 South College Street Springfield, IL 62756



### COURT OF CLAIMS

### MANAGEMENT ASSERTION LETTER

11/20/18

PHONE: (217) 782-0111

(217) 785-1856

Honorable Frank J. Mautino Auditor General State of Illinois 740 East Ash Street Springfield, Illinois 62703

### Auditor General Mautino:

We are responsible for the identification of, and compliance with, all aspects of laws, regulations, contracts, or grant agreements that could have a material effect on the operations of the Court of Claims. We are responsible for and we have established and maintained an effective system of internal controls over compliance requirements. We have performed an evaluation of the Court of Claims' compliance with the following assertions during the two-year period ended June 30, 2017. Based on this evaluation, we assert that during the years ended June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016, the Court of Claims has materially complied with the assertions below.

- A. The Court of Claims has obligated, expended, received, and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- B. The Court of Claims has obligated, expended, received, and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions, or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt, or use.
- C. The Court of Claims has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- D. State revenues and receipts collected by the Court of Claims are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate, and in accordance with law.

Yours truly,

Court of Claims

### SIGNED ORIGINAL ON FILE

Bradley R. Bucher, Administrator

### SIGNED ORIGINAL ON FILE

Christopher Valasek, Fiscal Officer

### SIGNED ORIGINAL ON FILE

J. Michael Mathis, General Counsel

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### **COMPLIANCE REPORT**

### **SUMMARY**

The compliance testing performed during this examination was conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and the Illinois State Auditing Act.

### **ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT**

The Independent Accountant's Report on State Compliance, on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes does not contain scope limitations, disclaimers, or other significant non-standard language.

### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

	Current	Prior
Number of	Report	Report
Findings	1	4
Repeated findings	1	2
Prior recommendations implemented or not repeated	3	3

### **SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS**

Item No.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Description</u>	Finding Type
		FINDINGS (STATE COMPLIANCE)	
2017-001	10	Inadequate Controls Over Personal Services	Significant Deficiency and Noncompliance
		PRIOR FINDINGS NOT REPEATED	
A	12	Inadequate Controls over Receipts	
В	12	Failure to Comply with the Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act	
C	12	Violent Crimes Advisory Commission	

### STATE OF ILLINOIS COURT OF CLAIMS COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### **EXIT CONFERENCE**

The Court of Claims waived an exit conference in correspondence from Christopher Valasek, Fiscal Officer, on October 30, 2018. The responses to the recommendations were provided by Mr. Valasek in correspondence dated November 8, 2018.

### SPRINGFIELD OFFICE:

ILES PARK PLAZA 740 EAST ASH • 62703-3154 PHONE: 217/782-6046

FAX: 217/785-8222 • TTY: 888/261-2887 FRAUD HOTLINE: 1-855-217-1895



### CHICAGO OFFICE:

MICHAEL A. BILANDIC BLDG - SUITE S-900 160 NORTH LASALLE - 60601-3103 PHONE: 312/814-4000 FAX: 312/814-4006 FRAUD HOTLINE: 1-855-217-1895

### OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL FRANK J. MAUTINO

### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON STATE COMPLIANCE, ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE, AND ON SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR STATE COMPLIANCE PURPOSES

Honorable Frank J. Mautino Auditor General State of Illinois

### Compliance

We have examined the State of Illinois, Court of Claims' compliance with the requirements listed below, as more fully described in the Audit Guide for Financial Audits and Compliance Attestation Engagements of Illinois State Agencies (Audit Guide) as adopted by the Auditor General, during the two years ended June 30, 2017. The management of the State of Illinois, Court of Claims is responsible for compliance with these requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the State of Illinois, Court of Claims' compliance based on our examination.

- A. The State of Illinois, Court of Claims has obligated, expended, received, and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- B. The State of Illinois, Court of Claims has obligated, expended, received, and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions, or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt, or use.
- C. The State of Illinois, Court of Claims has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- D. State revenues and receipts collected by the State of Illinois, Court of Claims are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate, and in accordance with law.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; the Illinois State Auditing Act (Act); and the Audit Guide as adopted by the Auditor General pursuant to the Act (the Audit Guide). Those standards, the Act, and the Audit Guide require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the State of Illinois, Court of Claims complied, in all material respects, with the specified requirements listed above. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about whether the State of Illinois, Court of Claims complied with the specified requirements listed above. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error.

We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the State of Illinois, Court of Claims' compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the State of Illinois, Court of Claims complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report during the two years ended June 30, 2017. However, the results of our procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with the requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with criteria established by the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings as item 2017-001.

The State of Illinois, Court of Claims' response to the finding identified in our examination is described in the accompanying schedule of findings. The State of Illinois, Court of Claims' response was not subjected to the procedures applied in the compliance examination and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing and the results of that testing in accordance with the requirements of the Audit Guide issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

### **Internal Control**

Management of the State of Illinois, Court of Claims is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report. In planning and performing our examination, we considered the State of Illinois, Court of Claims' internal control over compliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report to determine the examination procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the State of Illinois, Court of Claims' internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the State of Illinois, Court of Claims' internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a requirement listed in the first paragraph of this report will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we did identify certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings as item 2017-001 that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

As required by the Audit Guide, immaterial findings excluded from this report have been reported in a separate letter.

The State of Illinois, Court of Claims' response to the internal control finding identified in our examination is described in the accompanying schedule of findings. The State of Illinois, Court of Claims' response was not subjected to the procedures applied in the compliance examination and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

### **Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes**

Our examination was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on compliance with the requirements listed in the first paragraph of this report. The accompanying supplementary information for the years ended June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016, in Schedules 1 through 5 and the Analysis of Operations Section is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We have applied certain limited procedures as prescribed by the Audit Guide as adopted by the Auditor General to the June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016, accompanying supplementary information in Schedules 1 through 5. However, we do not express an opinion on the accompanying supplementary information.

We have not applied procedures to the June 30, 2015, accompanying supplementary information in Schedules 3 through 5 and in the Analysis of Operations Section and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

### SIGNED ORIGINAL ON FILE

JANE CLARK, CPA Director of Financial and Compliance Audits

Springfield, Illinois November 20, 2018

### **SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS**

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### 2017-001. **FINDING** (Inadequate Control over Personal Services)

The Court of Claims (Court) did not maintain adequate control over personal services.

During testing, we noted the following:

• Four of six (67%) part-time employees tested did not submit their activity sheets in a timely manner. The activity sheets were submitted between 4 and 620 days late.

The Court's *Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual* states all part-time employees are to complete and send activity sheets to the Court Administrator on a monthly basis.

• One of six (17%) part-time employees tested did not have gross pay supporting documentation included in their personnel file.

Good business practices require supporting documentation of gross pay be kept in employees' personnel files.

• One of three (33%) new employees tested did not complete the required ethics training within 30 days of hire.

The State Officials and Employees Ethics Act (5 ILCS 430/5-10(c)) states a person employed in a position that requires training must complete their initial ethics training within 30 days after their start date of employment.

Court officials indicated the late ethics training was due to employee oversight while the missing gross pay support and the late activity sheets were due to employee error.

Failure to require employees to submit activity sheets timely and ensure each employee's gross pay amount is documented could result in erroneous or improper salary payments and increases the risk of errors or other irregularities not being detected by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Further, failure to provide ethics training within the required timeframe may result in employees being unaware of specific ethical requirements for State employees. (Finding Code No. 2017-001, 2015-002, 2013-004, 11-5)

### **RECOMMENDATION**

We recommend the Court require part-time employees to submit properly completed activity sheets on a timely basis. Further, the Court should ensure each employee's gross pay amount is documented in its personnel records and ensure all new employees undergo timely ethics training.

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### **COURT RESPONSE**

The Court agrees with the recommendation and will act accordingly.

### PRIOR FINDINGS NOT REPEATED

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### A. **FINDING** (Inadequate Controls over Receipts)

During the prior examination, the Court of Claims (Court) did not maintain adequate control over receipts and refunds.

During the current examination, our sample testing indicated the Court had significantly improved its controls over its receipts and refunds. (Finding Code No. 2015-001, 2013-005, 11-4, 09-4)

### B. **FINDING** (Failure to Comply with the Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act)

During the previous examination, the Court of Claims (Court) did not comply with the evaluation and certification of its internal controls as required by the Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act.

During the current examination, the Court improved its process for evaluating and certifying its internal controls and timely completed this process during Fiscal Year 2017. (Finding Code No. 2015-003)

### C. **FINDING** (Violent Crimes Advisory Commission)

During the prior examination period, the Chief Justice of the Court of Claims (Court) or their designee did not serve on the Violent Crimes Advisory Commission (Commission) as required by the Violent Crime Victims Assistance Act.

During the current examination period, the Court's Chief Justice appointed a designee to serve on the Commission. (Finding Code No. 2015-004)

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR STATE COMPLIANCE PURPOSES

### **SUMMARY**

Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in this section of the report includes the following:

• Fiscal Schedules and Analysis:

Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures, and Lapsed Balances - Fiscal Year 2017

Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures, and Lapsed Balances - Fiscal Year 2016

Comparative Schedule of Net Appropriations, Expenditures, and Lapsed Balances

Schedule of Changes in State Property

Comparative Schedule of Cash Receipts and Reconciliation Schedule of Cash Receipts to Deposits Remitted to the State Comptroller

• Analysis of Operations (Not Examined):

Agency Functions and Planning Program (Not Examined)

Analysis of Significant Variations in Expenditures (Not Examined)

Analysis of Significant Variations in Receipts (Not Examined)

Analysis of Significant Lapse Period Spending (Not Examined)

Budget Impasse Disclosures (Not Examined)

Alternative Financing in Lieu of Appropriations and Programs to Address Untimely Payments to Vendors (Not Examined)

Interest Costs on Fiscal Year 2016 and 2017 Invoices (Not Examined)

Average Number of Employees (Not Examined)

Service Efforts and Accomplishments (Not Examined)

The accountant's report that covers the Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in the Compliance Report Section states the accountants have applied certain limited procedures as prescribed by the Audit Guide as adopted by the Auditor General to the June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016, accompanying supplementary information in Schedules 1 through 5. However, the accountants do not express an opinion on the supplementary information. The accountant's report also states that they have not applied procedures to the Analysis of Operations Section and, accordingly, they do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

# SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

Expenditure Authority for Fiscal Year 2017

For the Fifteen Months Ended September 30, 2017

Public Act 99-0524, Public Act 100-0021, and Court-Ordered Expenditures	Expenditure Authority		Lapse Period Expenditures	Total Expenditures	Balances
	(Net of	Expenditures	July 1 to	15 Months Ended	Lapsed
FISCAL YEAR 2017	Transfers)	Through June 30	September 30	September 30	September 30
APPROPRIATED FUNDS					
General Revenue Rund _ 001					
Cenetal revenue 1 and - 001					
Personal Services		\$ 1,117,302	€	\$ 1,117,302	
Employee Retirement Contributions					
Paid by Employer		44,730	ı	44,730	
State Contributions to Social Security		79,987	ı	79,987	
Ordinary and Contigent Expenses,					
No Personal Services	\$ 30,000	29,615	ı	29,615	\$ 385
Result of Lapsing an Appropriation	1,000,000	1	992,402	992,402	7,598
Payment of Line of Duty Awards	7,000,000	ı	2,438,807	2,438,807	4,561,193
For Claims Under the Crime Victims					
Compensation Act	6,000,000	ı	ı	•	6,000,000
Operation Expenses, Awards, Grants,					
and Permanent Improvements	18,271,200	1	18,267,388	18,267,388	3,812
For Claims other than Crime Victims	9,807,400	1	9,266,363	9,266,363	541,037
Total, Fund 001	\$ 42,108,600	\$ 1,271,634	\$ 30,964,960	\$ 32,236,594	\$ 11,114,025

# SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

Expenditure Authority for Fiscal Year 2017

For the Fifteen Months Ended September 30, 2017

Public Act 99-0524. Public Act 100-0021.		Expenditure			Lanse	Lanse Period		Total		
and Court-Ordered Expenditures	•	Authority (Net of	Ex	Expenditures	Exper July	Expenditures July 1 to	Ex]	Expenditures 15 Months Ended	В	Balances Lapsed
FISCAL YEAR 2017		Transfers)	Thro	Through June 30	Septer	September 30	Sep	September 30	Sept	September 30
Road Fund - 011										
For Claims other than Crime Victims	8	1,000,000	S	228,217		8,578	<del>∽</del>	236,795		763,205
Total, Fund 011	\$	1,000,000	S	228,217	\$	8,578	S	236,795	S	763,205
Vocational Rehabilitation Fund - 081										
For Claims other than Crime Victims	<b>↔</b>	125,000	S	50,927		19,395	<b>∽</b>	70,322		54,678
Total, Fund 081	8	125,000	S	50,927	8	19,395	S	70,322	S	54,678
DCFS Children's Services Fund - 220										
For Claims other than Crime Victims	\$	1,500,000	\$	1,353,272		69,205	8	1,422,477		77,523
Total, Fund 220	<b>⇔</b>	1,500,000	S	1,353,272	\$	69,205	S	1,422,477	S	77,523
State Garage Revolving Fund - 303										
For Claims other than Crime Victims	€	50,000	\$	17,262		11,831	<b>↔</b>	29,093		20,907
Total, Fund 303	<b>⇔</b>	50,000	8	17,262	\$	11,831	8	29,093	8	20,907

### COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

# SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

Expenditure Authority for Fiscal Year 2017

## For the Fifteen Months Ended September 30, 2017

Public Act 99-0524, Public Act 100-0021, and Court-Ordered Expenditures	<u>ы</u> ,	Expenditure Authority	Ė	7	Laps Expo	Lapse Period Expenditures	Ex	Total Expenditures		Balances
FISCAL YEAR 2017		(ivet oi Transfers)	Thrc	Expenditures Through June 30	Sept	September 30	Se	September 30	Sep	September 30
Court of Claims Administrative										
and Grant Fund - 434										
Crime Victims Compensation Act	9	750 000	9	270 570		000	9	221 272		110 630
Administration Expenses Total, Fund 434	e e	450,000	•	328,570	\$	2,802	e e	331,372	S	118,628
Budget Stabilization Fund - 686										
Ordinary & Contigent Expenses,	6		e	70001			e	7000		-
No Personal Services Total, Fund 686	<b>↔</b>	20,000	<b>⋄</b>	19,996	↔		<b>↔</b>	19,996	8	4 4
Court of Claims Federal Grant Fund - 687										
Crime Victims Compensation Act	\$	\$ 10,000,000	8	6,273,712		167,918	8	6,441,630		3,558,370
Total, Fund 687	S	10,000,000	\$	6,273,712	S	167,918	8	6,441,630	S	3,558,370

# SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

Expenditure Authority for Fiscal Year 2017

## For the Fifteen Months Ended September 30, 2017

Public Act 99-0524, Public Act 100-0021,	ľ	Expenditure			Lapse Period		Total		
and Court-Ordered Expenditures		Authority			Expenditures		Expenditures		Balances
		(Net of	Ex	Expenditures	July 1 to		15 Months Ended		Lapsed
FISCAL YEAR 2017		Transfers)	Thro	Through June 30	September 30		September 30	Se	September 30
Traffic and Criminal Conviction									
Surcharge Fund - 879									
For Claims other than Crime Victims	\$	100,000	↔	44,102	24,480	8	68,582		31,418
Total, Fund 879	S	100,000	S	44,102	\$ 24,480	<u>\$</u>	68,582	8	31,418
Subtotal - Appropriated Funds	8	55,353,600	8	9,587,692	\$ 31,269,169	8	. 55,353,600 \$ 9,587,692 \$ 31,269,169 \$ 40,856,861 \$ 15,738,758	<b>∽</b>	15,738,758
GRAND TOTAL - ALL FUNDS			\$	9,587,692	\$ 9,587,692 \$ 31,269,169 \$ 40,856,861	\$	40,856,861		

Expenditure authority, appropriations, expenditures, and lapsed balances were obtained from the State Comptroller's records as of September 30, 2017, and have been reconciled to Court records. Note 1:

Expenditure amounts are vouchers approved for payment by the Court and submitted to the State Comptroller for payment to the vendor. Note 2:

The Circuit Court of St. Clair County in AFSCME Council 31 v. Munger (15 CH 475) ordered the State Comptroller, in the absence of enacted annual appropriations, to "draw and issue warrants accomplishing payment of wages [for all State employees] at their normal rates of pay." As Public Act 100-0021 states appropriation authority granted by the General Assembly does not supercede any court order directing the expenditure of funds and states such payments are added to the appropriations granted by the General Assembly, the Court was able to submit vouchers to pay its employees in full from Fund 001 without a maximum expenditure limit for personal service costs during Fiscal Year 2017. Further, the Court ncurred non-payroll obligations within Fund 001, which the Court was unable to pay until the passage of Public Act 100-0021. Note 3:

### COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

# SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

Expenditure Authority for Fiscal Year 2017

## For the Fifteen Months Ended September 30, 2017

Public Act 99-0524, Public Act 100-0021,	Expenditure		Lapse Period	Total	
and Court-Ordered Expenditures	Authority		Expenditures	Expenditures	Balances
	(Net of	Expenditures	July 1 to	15 Months Ended	Lapsed
FISCAL YEAR 2017	Transfers)	Through June 30	September 30	September 30	September 30

The Analysis of Operations section of this report at page 41 includes information from Court management about the number of invoices and the Public Act 99-524 authorized the Court to pay Fiscal Year 2016 costs using its Fiscal Year 2017 appropriations for non-payroll expenditures. total dollar amount of invoices held by the Court submitted against its Fiscal Year 2017 appropriation. Note 4:

During Fiscal Year 2017, the Court operated without enacted appropriations until Public Act 100-0021 was approved on July 6, 2017. During the mpasse, the Court incurred non-payroll obligations within Fund 001, which the Court was unable to pay until the passage of Act 100-0021. Note 5:

Notwithstanding anything within Public Act 100-0021 to the contrary, Public Act 100-0021 authorized the Court to pay for all costs incurred prior to July 1, 2018, using either its Fiscal Year 2017 or Fiscal Year 2018 appropriations for non-payroll expenditures. The Analysis of Operations section of this report at page 41 includes information from Court management about the number of invoices and the total dollar amount of invoices from Fiscal Year 2016 and Fiscal Year 2017 held by the Court to be submitted against either its Fiscal Year 2017 or Fiscal Year 2018 appropriation. Note 6:

### COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

# SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

Expenditure Authority for Fiscal Year 2016

## For the Fourteen Months Ended August 31, 2016

and Court-Ordered Expenditures	ш	Expenditure Authority			Lapse Expen	Lapse Period Expenditures	Ex	Total Expenditures	B	Balances
FISCAL YEAR 2016		(Net of Transfers)	Expe Throug	Expenditures Through June 30	July Augr	July 1 to August 31	14 M A	14 Months Ended August 31	L	Lapsed August 31
APPROPRIATED FUNDS					)			o)		
General Revenue Fund - 001										
Personal Services			8	1,157,177	8	1	\$	1,157,177		
Employee Retirement Contributions Paid by Employer				46,324		1		46,324		
State Contributions to Social Security				80,926		1		80,926		
Total, Fund 001			\$	1,284,427	\$	1	<b>∽</b>	1,284,427		
Road Fund - 011										
For Claims other than Crime Victims	S	1,000,000	8	ı	<b>∽</b>	196,144	↔	196,144	8	803,856
Total, Fund 011	€	1,000,000	\$	ı	\$	196,144	S	196,144	S	803,856
Vocational Rehabilitation Fund - 081										
For Claims other than Crime Victims	\$	125,000	<del>\$</del>	67,868	S	ı	<b>∽</b>	67,868	8	57,132
Total, Fund 081	∽	125,000	S	67,868	\$	•	\$	67,868	\$	57,132

### COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

# SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

Expenditure Authority for Fiscal Year 2016

## For the Fourteen Months Ended August 31, 2016

Public Act 99-0409, Public Act 99-0524	E	Expenditure			Lap	Lapse Period		Total		
and Court-Ordered Expenditures	1	Authority			Exp	Expenditures	Ĥ	Expenditures		Balances
		(Net of	Ex	Expenditures	ΙŢ	July 1 to	14 N	14 Months Ended		Lapsed
FISCAL YEAR 2016	T	Transfers)	Thro	Through June 30	Aı	August 31	7	August 31	A	August 31
DCFS Children's Services Fund - 220										
For Claims other than Crime Victims	\$	1,500,000	\$	1	8	558,325	8	558,325	8	941,675
Total, Fund 220	8	1,500,000	<b>∽</b>	1	S	558,325	8	558,325	S	941,675
State Garage Revolving Fund - 303										
For Claims other than Crime Victims	€	50,000	S	•	8	21,845	↔	21,845	↔	28,155
Total, Fund 303	S	50,000	<b>↔</b>		S	21,845	↔	21,845	S	28,155
Court of Claims Administrative										
and Grant Fund - 434										
Crime Victims Compensation Act										
Administration Expenses	S	450,000	S	257,086	S	20,387	~	277,473	<b>~</b>	172,527
Total, Fund 434	€	450,000	8	257,086	8	20,387	S	277,473	S	172,527
Court of Claims Federal Grant										
Fund - 687										
Crime Victims Compensation Act	\$	\$ 10,000,000	8	6,019,416	8	16,759	8	6,036,175	8	3,963,825
Total, Fund 687	\$	10,000,000	\$	6,019,416	8	16,759	S	6,036,175	S	3,963,825

### COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

# SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

Expenditure Authority for Fiscal Year 2016

## For the Fourteen Months Ended August 31, 2016

Public Act 99-0409, Public Act 99-0524 and Court-Ordered Expenditures FISCAL YEAR 2016		Expenditure Authority (Net of Transfers)	Ex	Expenditures Through June 30	Lag Exp	Lapse Period Expenditures July 1 to August 31	Ey Ey /	Total Expenditures 14 Months Ended August 31		Balances Lapsed August 31
Court of Claims Federal Recovery Victim Compensation Grant Fund - 843		(algorithm)				To construct		T. Carrier		To construct
Crime Victims Compensation Act Total, Fund 843	<b>↔</b>	8,000	8	1 1	<b>↔</b>	1 1	↔ ↔		8	8,000
Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund - 879										
For Claims other than Crime Victims Total, Fund 879	s s	100,000	<b>⇔</b>		8	30,815	<b>⇔</b>	30,815	s s	69,185
Subtotal - Appropriated Funds	∽	\$ 13,233,000	<b>↔</b>	7,628,797	<b>↔</b>	844,275	\$	8,473,072	8	6,044,355
GRAND TOTAL - ALL FUNDS			8	\$ 7,628,797	8	844,275	8	\$ 8,473,072		

Expenditure authority, appropriations, expenditures, and lapsed balances were obtained from the State Comptroller's records as of September 30, 2016, and have been reconciled to Court records. Note 1:

Expenditure amounts are vouchers approved for payment by the Court and submitted to the State Comptroller for payment to the vendor. Note 2:

### COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

## SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

Expenditure Authority for Fiscal Year 2016

### For the Fourteen Months Ended August 31, 2016

Public Act 99-0409, Public Act 99-0524	Expenditure		Lapse Period	Total	
and Court-Ordered Expenditures	Authority		Expenditures	Expenditures	Balances
	(Net of	Expenditures	July 1 to	14 Months Ended	Lapsed
FISCAL YEAR 2016	Transfers)	Through June 30	August 31	August 31	August 31

Court never received enacted personal services appropriations for Fund 001, the Court was able to submit vouchers to pay its employees in full The Circuit Court of St. Clair County in AFSCME Council 31 v. Munger (15 CH 475) ordered the State Comptroller, in the absence of enacted annual appropriations, to "draw and issue warrants accomplishing payment of wages [for all State employees] at their normal rates of pay." As the without a maximum expenditure limit for personal service costs during Fiscal Year 2016. Note 3:

Munger (15 CH 475) ordered the State Comptroller, in the absence of enacted annual appropriations, to "draw and issue warrants accomplishing payment of wages [for all State employees] at their normal rates of pay." As such, the Court's court-ordered payroll payments were merged into During Fiscal Year 2016, the Court operated without enacted appropriations until Public Act 99-0409 and Public Act 99-0524 were signed into aw on August 20, 2015, and June 30, 2016, respectively. During the impasse, the Circuit Court of St. Clair County in AFSCME Council 31 v. the enacted appropriation for Fund 001. Further, the Court incurred non-payroll obligations within Fund 011, Fund 081, Fund 220, Fund 303, Fund 434, Fund 687, and Fund 879, which the Court was unable to pay until the passage of Public Act 99-0409 and Public Act 99-0524. Note 4:

Public Act 99-0524 authorizes the Court to pay Fiscal Year 2016 costs using its Fiscal Year 2017 appropriations for non-payroll expenditures. The Analysis of Operations section of this report at page 41 includes information from Court management about the number of invoices and the otal dollar amount of invoices held by the Court to be submitted against its Fiscal Year 2017 appropriation. Note 5:

### COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NET APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

			F	iscal Year		
		2017		2016		2015
	Р	A. 99-0524, A. 100-0021, and Court- Ordered expenditures	P. A	A. 99-0409, A. 99-0524, and Court- Ordered spenditures	P	.A. 98-0679
APPROPRIATED FUNDS						
GENERAL REVENUE - 001						
Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	\$	42,108,600	\$		\$	25,204,050
Expenditures						
Personal Services	\$	1,117,302	\$	1,157,177	\$	1,121,654
Employee Retirement Contributions Paid by Employer		44,730		46,324		44,923
State Contributions to Social Security		79,987		80,926		77,224
Ordinary and Contigent Expenses, No Personal Services		29,615		-		-
Result of Lapsing of an Appropriation		992,402		-		986,428
Payment of Line of Duty Awards		2,438,807		-		2,090,850
For Claims Under the Crime Victims Compensation Act		-		-		5,922,469
Operation Expenses, Awards, Grants, and						
Permanent Improvements		18,267,388		-		-
For Claims other than Crime Victims		9,266,363		-		9,802,085
Contractual Services		-		-		8,252
Travel		-		-		11,740
Commodities		-		-		1,629
Printing		-		-		3,224
Equipment		-		-		11,389
Telecommunications Services		-		-		3,183
Refunds		-		-		45
Reimbursement for Incidental Expenses Incurred by Judges						29,131
Total Expenditures	\$	32,236,594	\$	1,284,427	\$	20,114,226
Lapsed Balances	\$	11,114,025			\$	5,089,824
Road Fund - 011						
Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,000,000
Expenditures						
For Claims other than Crime Victims	\$	236,795	\$	196,144	\$	387,631
Total Expenditures	\$	236,795	\$	196,144	\$	387,631
Lapsed Balances	\$	763,205	\$	803,856	\$	612,369

### COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NET APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

		2017	F	iscal Year 2016		2015
	P.A	A. 99-0524, A. 100-0021, and Court- Ordered expenditures	P.A	A. 99-0409, A. 99-0524, nd Court- Ordered ependitures	Р	A. 98-0679
Vocational Rehabilitation Fund - 081						
Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	\$	125,000	\$	125,000	\$	125,000
Expenditures						
For Claims other than Crime Victims	\$	70,322	\$	67,868	\$	118,978
Total Expenditures	\$	70,322	\$	67,868	\$	118,978
Lapsed Balances	\$	54,678	\$	57,132	\$	6,022
DCFS Children's Services Fund - 220						
Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	\$	1,500,000	\$	1,500,000	\$	1,500,000
Expenditures						
For Claims other than Crime Victims	\$	1,422,477	\$	558,325	\$	1,497,689
Total Expenditures	\$	1,422,477	\$	558,325	\$	1,497,689
Lapsed Balances	\$	77,523	\$	941,675	\$	2,311
State Garage Revolving Fund - 303						
Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000
Expenditures						
For Claims other than Crime Victims		29,093	\$	21,845	\$	45,712
Total Expenditures	\$	29,093	\$	21,845	\$	45,712
Lapsed Balances	\$	20,907	\$	28,155	\$	4,288
Court of Claims Administrative and Grant Fund - 434						
Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	\$	450,000	\$	450,000	\$	450,000
Expenditures						
Crime Victims Compensation Act Administrative Expenses	\$	331,372	\$	277,473	\$	264,891
Total Expenditures	\$	331,372	\$	277,473	\$	264,891
Lapsed Balances	\$	118,628	\$	172,527	\$	185,109

### COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NET APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

	2017	Fiscal Year 2016	2015
	P.A. 99-0524, P.A. 100-0021, and Court- Ordered Expenditures	P.A. 99-0409, P.A. 99-0524, and Court- Ordered Expenditures	P.A. 98-0679
Budget Stabilization Fund - 686 Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -
Appropriations (Net/Attel Transfers)	ψ 20,000	Ψ	Ψ
Expenditures Crime Victims Compensation Act	\$ 19,996	\$ -	\$ -
Total Expenditures	\$ 19,996	\$ -	\$ -
Lapsed Balances	\$ 4	\$ -	\$ -
Court of Claims Federal Grant Fund - 687			
Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000
Expenditures Crime Victims Compensation Act	\$ 6,441,630	\$ 6,036,175	\$ 3,013,754
Total Expenditures	\$ 6,441,630	\$ 6,036,175	\$ 3,013,754
Lapsed Balances	\$ 3,558,370	\$ 3,963,825	\$ 6,986,246
Court of Claims Federal Recovery Victim Compensation Grant Fund - 843			
Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	\$ -	\$ 8,000	\$ 90,000
Expenditures			
Crime Victims Compensation Act	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total Expenditures	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Lapsed Balances	\$ -	\$ 8,000	\$ 90,000
Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund - 879			
Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
Expenditures			
For Claims other than Crime Victims	\$ 68,582	\$ 30,815	\$ 90,772
Total Expenditures	\$ 68,582	\$ 30,815	\$ 90,772
Lapsed Balances	\$ 31,418	\$ 69,185	\$ 9,228

### COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NET APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

	P.A. 99-0524, P.A. 100-0021, and Court- Ordered Expenditures	P.A. 99-0409, P.A. 99-0524, and Court- Ordered Expenditures	2015 P.A. 98-0679
TOTAL - ALL APPROPRIATED FUNDS			
Appropriations (Net After Transfers) Total Expenditures Lapsed Balances	\$ 55,353,600 40,856,861 \$ 15,738,758	\$ 13,233,000 8,473,072 \$ 6,044,355	\$ 38,519,050 25,533,653 \$ 12,985,397
NON-APPROPRIATED FUNDS			
Court of Claims Federal Recovery Victim Compensation Grant Fund - 843 Expenditures			
Refund of Federal Grants	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 97,711
Total Expenditures	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 97,711
TOTAL - ALL NON-APPROPRIATED FUNDS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 97,711
GRAND TOTAL - ALL FUNDS	\$ 40,856,861	\$ 8,473,072	\$ 25,631,364
State Officers Salaries			
Appropriations			\$ 424,600
State Officer Expenditures			
Chief Judge	\$ 64,911	\$ 64,911	\$ 58,443
Six Judges	\$ 342,325	\$ 359,439	\$ 353,625
Total Expenditures	\$ 407,236	\$ 424,350	\$ 412,068

Note 1: Expenditure authority, appropriations, expenditures, and lapsed balances were obtained from the State Comptroller's records as of September 30, 2017, and August 31, 2016, and have been reconciled to Court records.

Note 2: Expenditure amounts are vouchers approved for payment by the Court and submitted to the State Comptroller for payment to the vendor.

Note 3: During Fiscal Year 2016, the Circuit Court of St. Clair County in *AFSCME Council 31 v. Munger* (15 CH 475) ordered the State Comptroller, in the absence of enacted annual appropriations, to "draw and issue warrants accomplishing payment of wages [for all State employees] at their normal rates of pay." As the Court never received enacted personal services appropriations for Fund 001, the Court was able to submit vouchers to pay its employees in full without a maximum expenditure limit for personal service costs during Fiscal Year 2016.

### COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NET APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

	Fiscal Year	
2017	2016	2015
P.A. 99-0524, P.A. 100-0021, and Court- Ordered Expenditures	P.A. 99-0409, P.A. 99-0524, and Court- Ordered Expenditures	P.A. 98-0679

- Note 4: During Fiscal Year 2016, the Court operated without enacted appropriations until Public Act 99-0409 and Public Act 99-0524 were signed into law on August 20, 2015, and June 30, 2016, respectively. During the impasse, the Circuit Court of St. Clair County in AFSCME Council 31 v. Munger (15 CH 475) ordered the State Comptroller, in the absence of enacted annual appropriations, to "draw and issue warrants accomplishing payment of wages [for all State employees] at their normal rates of pay." As such, the Court's court-ordered payroll payments were merged into the enacted appropriation for Fund 001. Further, the Court incurred non-payroll obligations within Fund 011, Fund 081, Fund 220, Fund 303, Fund 434, Fund 687, and Fund 879, which the Court was unable to pay until the passage of Public Act 99-0409 and Public Act 99-0524.
- Note 5: Public Act 99-0524 authorizes the Court to pay Fiscal Year 2016 costs using its Fiscal Year 2017 appropriations for non-payroll expenditures. The Analysis of Operations section of this report at page 41 includes information from Court management about the number of invoices and the total dollar amount of invoices held by the Court to be submitted against its Fiscal Year 2017 appropriation.
- Note 6: During Fiscal Year 2017, the Circuit Court of St. Clair County in *AFSCME Council 31 v. Munger* (15 CH 475) ordered the State Comptroller, in the absence of enacted annual appropriations, to "draw and issue warrants accomplishing payment of wages [for all State employees] at their normal rates of pay." As Public Act 100-0021 states appropriation authority granted by the General Assembly does not supercede any court order directing the expenditure of funds and states such payments are added to the appropriations granted by the General Assembly, the Court was able to submit vouchers to pay its employees in full from Fund 001 without a maximum expenditure limit for personal service costs during Fiscal Year 2017. Further, the Court incurred non-payroll obligations within Fund 001, which the Court was unable to pay until the passage of Public Act 100-0021.
- Note 7: During Fiscal Year 2017, the Court operated without enacted appropriations until Public Act 100-0021 was approved on July 6, 2017. During the impasse, the Court incurred non-payroll obligations within Fund 001, which the Court was unable to pay until the passage of Act 100-0021.
- Note 8: Notwithstanding anything within Public Act 100-0021 to the contrary, Public Act 100-0021 authorized the Court to pay for all costs incurred prior to July 1, 2018, using either its Fiscal Year 2017 or Fiscal Year 2018 appropriations for non-payroll expenditures. The Analysis of Operations section of this report at page 41 includes information from Court management about the number of invoices and the total dollar amount of invoices from Fiscal Year 2016 and Fiscal Year 2017 held by the Court to be submitted against either its Fiscal Year 2017 or Fiscal Year 2018 appropriation.

### Schedule 4

### STATE OF ILLINOIS COURT OF CLAIMS

### SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN STATE PROPERTY

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

	Equipment*	
Balance at July 1, 2015	\$	143,131
Additions		2,858
Deletions		(1,208)
Net Transfers		
Balance at June 30, 2016	\$	144,781
Balance at July 1, 2016	\$	144,781
Additions		260
Deletions		(2,044)
Net Transfers		
Balance at June 30, 2017	\$	142,997

Note: The above schedule has been derived from Agency records which have been reconciled to property reports submitted to the Office of the Comptroller.

<sup>\*</sup> Property of the U.S. Government has been included on the Court's *Agency Report of State Property* (Form C-15) and the Schedule of State Property for many years. Numbers on this schedule for the current engagement report only State property.

### COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF CASH RECEIPTS AND RECONCILIATION OF CASH RECEIPTS TO DEPOSITS REMITTED TO THE COMPTROLLER

		FISCAL YEAR	
	2017	2016	2015
General Revenue Fund - 0001			
Filing Fees Collected Miscellaneous Receipts Reimbursement from Various State Funds Prior Year Refunds	\$ 4,544 8,264 -	\$ 5,120 26,141 - 1,589	\$ 4,595 14,083 879,306
Total Receipts per Court Records	12,808	32,850	897,984
Plus - In Transit at Beginning of Year Less - In Transit at End of Year	1,340 (435)	1,310 (1,340)	5,435 (1,310)
Deposits Recorded by the Comptroller	\$ 13,713	\$ 32,820	\$ 902,109
Public Health Services Fund - 0063			
Prior Year Refunds	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,684
Total Receipts per Court Records		<u> </u>	3,684
Plus - In Transit at Beginning of Year Less - In Transit at End of Year		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Deposits Recorded by the Comptroller	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,684
Vocational Rehabilitation Fund - 0081			
Receipts Reversal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (547)
Total Receipts per Court Records		<u> </u>	(547)
Plus - In Transit at Beginning of Year Less - In Transit at End of Year	- -	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
Deposits Recorded by the Comptroller	<u> </u>	\$ -	\$ (547)

### COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF CASH RECEIPTS AND RECONCILIATION OF CASH RECEIPTS TO DEPOSITS REMITTED TO THE COMPTROLLER

		FISCAL YEAR	
	2017	2016	2015
Court of Claims Administrative and Grant Fund - 0434			
Federal Drawdowns	\$ 346,376	\$ 260,922	\$ 265,804
Total Receipts per Court Records	346,376	260,922	265,804
Plus - In Transit at Beginning of Year Less - In Transit at End of Year	<u>-</u>	1,184	(1,184)
Deposits Recorded by the Comptroller	\$ 346,376	\$ 262,106	\$ 264,620
Court of Claims Federal Grant Fund - 0687			
Federal Drawdowns Restitution Prior Year Refunds	\$6,076,449 48,429	\$6,738,304 51,797 57	\$2,279,761 23,371 4,848
Total Receipts per Court Records	6,124,878	6,790,158	2,307,980
Plus - In Transit at Beginning of Year Less - In Transit at End of Year	3,848 (8,147)	5,019 (3,848)	772,176 (5,019)
Deposits Recorded by the Comptroller	\$6,120,579	\$6,791,329	\$3,075,137
Local Initiative Fund - 0762			
Reimbursement for Error	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 46,438
Total Receipts per Court Records			46,438
Plus - In Transit at Beginning of Year Less - In Transit at End of Year	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
Deposits Recorded by the Comptroller	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 46,438

### COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF CASH RECEIPTS AND RECONCILIATION OF CASH RECEIPTS TO DEPOSITS REMITTED TO THE COMPTROLLER

		FISCAL YEAR	
	2017	2016	2015
Court of Claims Federal Recovery Victim Compensation Grant Fund - 0844			
Restitution	\$ 60	\$ 100	\$ 559
Total Receipts per Court Records	60	100	559
Plus - In Transit at Beginning of Year Less - In Transit at End of Year	- -	- -	<u> </u>
Deposits Recorded by the Comptroller	\$ 60	\$ 100	\$ 559
GRAND TOTAL - ALL FUNDS			
Total Cash Receipts per Agency	\$ 6,484,122	\$7,084,030	\$3,521,902
Plus - In Transit at Beginning of Year	5,188	7,513	777,611
Less - In Transit at End of Year	(8,582)	(5,188)	(7,513)
Total Cash Receipts per State Comptroller's Records	\$6,480,728	\$7,086,355	\$4,292,000

### AGENCY FUNCTIONS AND PLANNING PROGRAM

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017 (Not Examined)

### **Functions**

The Court consists of seven judges, who are required to be attorneys licensed to practice law in the State of Illinois (705 ILCS 505/1). Judges are appointed to six-year staggered terms by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. Judges remain in their positions until new appointments have been made by the Governor upon expiration of terms (705 ILCS 505/2).

A schedule of judges as of June 30, 2017, is as follows:

<u>Judge</u>	<b>Term Expires</b>
Peter Birnbaum, Chief Justice	January 17, 2022
Vacant	January 16, 2023*
Peter Karahalios	January 15, 2018
Neil Hartigan	January 21, 2019
Donald Storino	January 21, 2019
Mary Patricia Burns	January 18, 2021
Michael McGlynn	January 18, 2021

<sup>\*</sup>The Court had a vacancy as of June 30, 2017. Judge Joseph Gagliardo was appointed in the vacant position as of July 1, 2017.

In addition, the Court has the statutory authority to appoint commissioners to assist the Court as it directs and can discharge them at will. Each commissioner is required to be a licensed lawyer and is considered a part-time employee by the Court (705 ILCS 505/9).

A schedule of commissioners as of June 30, 2017, is as follows:

Joseph Cavanaugh	Patricia Murphy
Thomas Eckols	Andrew Ramage
Mazie Harris	David Reid
Laura Jacksack	David Rodriguez
Roger Kiley	Herbert Rosenberg
Robert Lovero	Ronald Serpico
Daniel Madigan	Thomas Ysursa
Laurie Mikva	

The Honorable Jesse White, Secretary of State, serves as Ex-Officio Clerk of the Court. Nina Fain serves as the Deputy Clerk. The Secretary of State provided ten employees, two in Chicago and eight in Springfield, to the Court. These employees perform administrative, accounting, and clerical duties.

Under the Court of Claims Act (705 ILCS 505/8), the Court has the exclusive jurisdiction to hear and determine the following matters: (a) all claims against the State of Illinois founded upon any

### STATE OF ILLINOIS COURT OF CLAIMS CENCY FUNCTIONS AND BLANNING PROCES

### AGENCY FUNCTIONS AND PLANNING PROGRAM

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017 (Not Examined)

law of the State, or upon any regulation thereunder by an executive or administrative officer or agency, other than claims arising under the Workers' Compensation Act or the Workers' Occupational Diseases Act, or claims for certain expenses in civil litigation, or to review administrative decisions for which a statute provides that review shall be in the circuit or appellate court; (b) all claims against the State founded upon any contract entered into with the State of Illinois; (c) all claims against the State for time unjustly served in prisons of this State where the person imprisoned received a pardon from the Governor stating that such pardon is issued on the ground of innocence of the crime from which they are imprisoned; (d) all claims against the State for damages in cases sounding in tort; (e) all claims for recoupment made by the State against any claimant; (f) all claims pursuant to the Line of Duty Compensation Act; (g) all claims filed pursuant to the Crime Victims Compensation Act; (h) all claims pursuant to the Illinois National Guardsman's Compensation Act; and, (i) all claims authorized by §10-55(a) of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act for the expenses incurred by a party in a contested case on the administrative level.

The Attorney General appears for the defense and protection in the interest of the State of Illinois in all cases filed in the Court. The Attorney General is also responsible for recoupment of claim awards made by the State during the examination period (705 ILCS 505/19).

### **Planning Program**

The Court's mission is to adjudicate claims against the State. In addition, the Court's long-term goals are make final decisions with minimum delays and promptly pay all claims awarded.

### ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN EXPENDITURES

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN EXPENDITURES BETWEEN FISCAL YEARS 2017 AND 2016

### **General Revenue Fund - 001**

### Ordinary and Contingent Expenses, No Personal Services

The increase was due to the Court receiving a one-time Fiscal Year 2017 appropriation.

### Result of the Lapsing of an Appropriation

The increase was due to the Court receiving an appropriation in Fiscal Year 2017 and not receiving one in Fiscal Year 2016, due to the budget impasse.

### Payment of Line of Duty Awards

The increase was due to the Court receiving an appropriation in Fiscal Year 2017 and not receiving one in Fiscal Year 2016, due to the budget impasse.

### Operation Expenses, Awards, Grants, and Permanent Improvements

The increase was due to the Court receiving a one-time Fiscal Year 2017 appropriation.

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The increase was due to the Court receiving an appropriation in Fiscal Year 2017 and not receiving one in Fiscal Year 2016, due to the budget impasse.

### Road Fund - 011

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The increase was due to the fluctuation in volume of awards claimed. The number of claims is expected to vary significantly from year to year.

### DCFS Children's Services Fund - 220

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The increase was due to the fluctuation in volume of awards claimed. The number of claims is expected to vary significantly from year to year.

### Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund - 879

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The increase was due to the fluctuation in volume of awards claimed. The number of claims is expected to vary significantly from year to year.

### ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN EXPENDITURES

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN EXPENDITURES BETWEEN FISCAL YEARS 2016 AND 2015

### **General Revenue Fund - 001**

### Result of the Lapsing of an Appropriation

The decrease was due to the Court receiving an appropriation in Fiscal Year 2015 and not receiving one in Fiscal Year 2016, due to the budget impasse.

### Payment of Line of Duty Awards

The decrease was due to the Court receiving an appropriation in Fiscal Year 2015 and not receiving one in Fiscal Year 2016, due to the budget impasse.

### For Claims Under the Crime Victims Compensation Act

The decrease was due to the Court receiving an appropriation in Fiscal Year 2015 and not receiving one in Fiscal Year 2016, due to the budget impasse.

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The decrease was due to the Court receiving an appropriation in Fiscal Year 2015 and not receiving one in Fiscal Year 2016, due to the budget impasse.

### Reimbursement for Incidental Expenses Incurred by Judges

The decrease was due to the Court receiving an appropriation in Fiscal Year 2015 and not receiving one in Fiscal Year 2016, due to the budget impasse.

### Road Fund - 011

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The decrease was due to the fluctuation in volume of awards claimed. The number of claims is expected to vary significantly from year to year.

### Vocational Rehabilitation Fund - 081

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The decrease was due to the fluctuation in volume of awards claimed. The number of claims is expected to vary significantly from year to year.

### DCFS Children's Services Fund - 220

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The decrease was due to the fluctuation in volume of awards claimed. The number of claims is expected to vary significantly from year to year.

### ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN EXPENDITURES

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### **State Garage Revolving Fund - 303**

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The decrease was due to the fluctuation in volume of awards claimed. The number of claims is expected to vary significantly from year to year.

### **Court of Claims Federal Grant Fund - 687**

### Crime Victims Compensation Act

The increase was due to more claims being paid with federal money as there was no appropriation for State crime victim claims.

### **Court of Claims Federal Recovery Victim Compensation Grant Fund - 843**

### Refunds of Federal Grants

The decrease was due to the Court paying out a one-time refund during Fiscal Year 2015.

### Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund - 879

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The decrease was due to the fluctuation in volume of awards claimed. The number of claims is expected to vary significantly from year to year.

### ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN RECEIPTS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017 (Not Examined)

### ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN RECEIPTS BETWEEN FISCAL YEARS 2017 AND 2016

### **General Revenue Fund - 001**

### Miscellaneous Receipts

The decrease was due to the fluctuation of restitution receipts. The number of miscellaneous receipts is expected to vary from year to year.

### Court of Claims Administrative and Grant Fund - 434

### Federal Drawdowns

The increase was due to the budget impasse preventing the Court from using the funds for anything but personal services until late in Fiscal Year 2016.

### ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN RECEIPTS BETWEEN FISCAL YEARS 2016 AND 2015

### **General Revenue Fund - 001**

### Miscellaneous Receipts

The increase was due to the fluctuation of restitution receipts. The number of miscellaneous receipts is expected to vary from year to year.

### Reimbursement From Various State Funds

The decrease was due to the Court not receiving a supplemental appropriation to reimburse portions of its General Revenue Fund expenditures during Fiscal Year 2016.

### **Federal Grant Fund - 687**

### Federal Drawdowns

The increase was due to the budget impasse requiring the Court to pay all Crime Victim Compensation claims with federal grant funds.

### Restitution

The increase was due to the fluctuation of restitution receipts. The number of restitution receipts is expected to vary from year to year.

### ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN RECEIPTS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017 (Not Examined)

### **Local Initiative Fund - 762**

### Reimbursement for Error

The decrease was due to the Court making a one-time correction for an erroneous payment to the General Revenue Fund in Fiscal Year 2015.

### ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT LAPSE PERIOD SPENDING

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### **FISCAL YEAR 2017**

### **General Revenue Fund - 001**

### Result of the Lapsing of an Appropriation

The significant spending during the Lapse Period was due to the Court not receiving and appropriation for these costs until July 6, 2017.

### Payment of Line of Duty Awards

The significant spending during the Lapse Period was due to the Court not receiving and appropriation for these costs until July 6, 2017.

### Operation Expenses, Awards, Grants, and Permanent Improvements

The significant spending during the Lapse Period was due to the Court not receiving and appropriation for these costs until July 6, 2017.

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The significant spending during the Lapse Period was due to the Court not receiving and appropriation for these costs until July 6, 2017.

### Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund - 879

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The significant spending during the Lapse Period was primarily due to the fluctuation in volume of awards claimed. The number of claims is expected to vary significantly from year to year.

### **FISCAL YEAR 2016**

### Road Fund - 011

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The significant spending during the Lapse Period was due to the Court not receiving and appropriation for these costs until June 30, 2016.

### ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT LAPSE PERIOD SPENDING

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

### DCFS Children's Services Fund - 220

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The significant spending during the Lapse Period was due to the Court not receiving and appropriation for these costs until June 30, 2016.

### **State Garage Revolving Fund - 303**

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The significant spending during the Lapse Period was due to the Court not receiving and appropriation for these costs until June 30, 2016.

### Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund - 879

### For Claims other than Crime Victims

The significant spending during the Lapse Period was due to the Court not receiving and appropriation for these costs until June 30, 2016.

### **BUDGET IMPASSE DISCLOSURES**

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017 (Not Examined)

### Payment of Prior Year Costs in Future Fiscal Years

Article 74 of Public Act 99-0524 authorized the Court of Claims (Court) to pay Fiscal Year 2016 costs using the Court's Fiscal Year 2017 appropriations for non-payroll expenditures. In addition, Article 998 of Public Act 100-0021 authorized the Court to pay its unpaid Fiscal Year 2016 and Fiscal Year 2017 costs using either the Court's Fiscal Year 2017 or Fiscal Year 2018 appropriations for non-payroll expenditures. The following chart shows the Court's payments of its prior period costs using future appropriations:

### **FISCAL YEAR 2016 INVOICES**

Fund # Fund Name 001 General Revenue Fund 686 Budget Stabilization Fund

Paid From Fiscal Year		Paid From Fiscal Year		
2017 Appropriations		2018 Appropriations		
Number	Dollar Value	Number	Dollar Value	
21	\$ 54,480	0	\$ 0	
52	\$ 16,518	0	\$ 0	
73	\$ 70,998	0	\$ 0	

The Court did not have any outstanding unpaid invoices from either Fiscal Year 2016 or Fiscal Year 2017 after the closure of the Fiscal Year 2017 Lapse Period on September 30, 2017.

### **COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION**

### ALTERNATIVE FINANCING IN LIEU OF APPROPRIATIONS AND PROGRAMS TO ADDRESS UNTIMELY PAYMENTS TO VENDORS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017 (Not Examined)

### Transactions Involving the Illinois Finance Authority

The Court of Claims (Court) and its vendors did not participate in alternative financing in lieu of enacted appropriations involving the Illinois Finance Authority during Fiscal Year 2016 and Fiscal Year 2017.

### Transactions Involving the Vendor Payment Program and Vendor Support Initiative Program

None of the Court's vendors participated in the Vendor Payment Program (VPP) or the Vendor Support Initiative Program (VSI) during Fiscal Year 2016 and Fiscal Year 2017.

### **COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION**

INTEREST COSTS ON FISCAL YEAR 2017 AND FISCAL YEAR 2016 INVOICES
For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017

(Not Examined)

### **Prompt Payment Interest Costs**

The Court calculated prompt payment interest due to vendors under the State Prompt Payment Act (Act) (30 ILCS 540) using the vendor's proper bill date through the date the State Comptroller issues a warrant to the vendor, regardless of when and if an enacted appropriation existed during Fiscal Year 2016 and Fiscal Year 2017. The Act (30 ILCS 540/3-2) and the Illinois Administrative Code (74 Ill. Admin. Code 900.100) require interest to be paid under a daily simple interest rate of .033% (1% over a 30-day period) for every day elapsed following the 90<sup>th</sup> day after a vendor submits an eligible proper bill to the Court. The following chart shows the Court's prompt payment interest incurred related to Fiscal Year 2016 and Fiscal Year 2017 invoices, calculated on the accrual basis of accounting, through June 30, 2016, and June 30, 2017, by fund:

### PROMPT PAYMENT INTEREST INCURRED

Year Ended June 30, 2016

Fund #	Fund Name	<u>Invoices</u>	<u>Vendors</u>	<b>Dollar Value</b>
001	General Revenue Fund	17	14	\$ 10,476
686	<b>Budget Stabilization Fund</b>	<u>30</u>	<u>13</u>	\$ 908
	Total	47	24	\$ 11,384

### PROMPT PAYMENT INTEREST INCURRED

Year Ended June 30, 2017

Fund #	<u>Fund Name</u>	<u>Invoices</u>	<u>Vendors</u>	<u>Dollar Value</u>
001	General Revenue Fund	<u>41</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>\$ 4,441</u>
	Total	<u>41</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>\$ 4,441</u>

### **AVERAGE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES**

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017 (Not Examined)

The following table, prepared from Court records, presents the average number of employees, by function, for the Fiscal Years ended June 30,

	2017	2016	2015
Full-Time Employees:			
Court Administrator	1	1	1
Legal Counsel	1	1	1
Fiscal Officer	1	1	1
Crime Victims Manager	1	1	1
Administrative Assistant	2	2	2
Total Average Full-Time Employees	6	6	6
Part-Time Employees:			
Commissioners	16	16	16
Judges' Law Clerks/Secretaries	12	12	12
Total Average Part-Time Employees	28	28	28
Total Average Employees	34	34	34

Note: Judges' salaries are paid from the State Officers' payroll appropriation received by the State Comptroller. The average number of judges each year is seven, as is mandated by statute.

### SERVICE EFFORTS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2017 (Not Examined)

The following is a summary of the number of claims against the State that were pending, as well as information on final decisions, for the year ended June 30,

F	FISCAL YEAR		
2017	2016	2015	
	3,595	4,014	
	3,498	3,508	
6,680	7,093	7,522	
996	136	3,299	
1,449	1,461	2,197	
2,445	1,597	5,496	
193	212	219	
		2,157	
1,902	2,389	2,376	
1.074	700	814	
•			
		226	
1,126	1,0//	1,040	
5,473	5,063	8,912	
	3,016 3,664 6,680 996 1,449 2,445 193 1,709 1,902 1,074 52 1,126	2017     2016       3,016     3,595       3,664     3,498       6,680     7,093       996     136       1,449     1,461       2,445     1,597       193     212       1,709     2,177       1,902     2,389       1,074     788       52     289       1,126     1,077	

<sup>\*</sup> Note: There may be more than one decision for an award.