NGFIELD OFFICE: 5 PARK PLAZA ASH · 62703-3154 VE: 217/782-6046 217/785-8222 17/424-4646



CHICAGO OFFICE:

STATE OF ILLINOIS CENTER - SUITE 4-100 100 WEST RANDOLPH STREET - 60601 PHONE: 312/814-4000 FAX: 312/814-4006

OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL WILLIAM G. HOLLAND

5-94-47900-10

REPORT DIGEST

DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION SERVICES
ILLINOIS CENTER FOR REHABILITATION AND EDUCATION
FINANCIAL AND COMPLIANCE AUDIT
FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 1994

SYNOPSIS

The Center lost approximately \$15,000 in federal reimbursements for breakfast and lunch programs because the claim forms were either not completed or the number of meals claimed were understated. This condition has existed since 1990.

The Center did not enforce control procedures regarding telephone calls. This condition has existed since 1990.

The Center allowed the performance of contracts to begin prior to obtaining a signed contract. This condition has existed since 1990.

ires and Activity Measures are summarized on the reverse page.}

TENET ADDRESS: AUDITOR GENERAL@ACCESSIL.COM

RECYCLED PAPER - SOYBEAN INKS

ILLINOIS CENTER FOR REHABILITATION AND EDUCATION FINANCIAL AND COMPLIANCE AUDIT For The Two Years Ended June 30, 1994

EXPENDITURE STATISTICS	FY 1994	FY 1993	
• Total Expenditures (All Funds)	\$5,441,071	\$5,357,078	
Operations Total	\$4,258,852 78 <i>%</i>	\$4,641,536 87%	
Personal Services	\$3,801,087 70% 111	\$3,884,941 72% 118	9750 N. P. C.
Other Payroll Costs (FICA, Retirement)	\$ 511,491 9%	\$ 531,492 10%	
Contractual Services	\$ 726,759 13%	\$ 684,884 13%	\$ (6)
All Other Operations Items	\$ 401,734 7%	\$ 255,761 5%	\$*169
Allotments: Woods Location			
Community Services-Visually Handicapped . % of Total Expenditures	\$ 329,765 6%	\$ 29,760 0%	- (c) - (c)
Federally Assisted Programs	\$ 852,454 16%	\$ 685,782 13%	0
• Cost of Property and Equipment	\$4,964,191	\$4,944,692	\$4,987,7

SELECTED ACTIVITY MEASURES	1	TY 1994	F	Y 1993	FY	1992
Average Expenditure per Resident Student .	\$	73,836	\$	77,985	\$	66,043
Average Resident Student Population		57		55		62
• Federal Quota Grant (Woods Location)	\$	2,692	\$	2,992	\$	6,105
Clients (Woods Location)		248		250		231

AGENCY SUPERINTENDENT(S)

During Audit Period: Alejandro Benavides & Sue Stealey, Acting Superintendent

Currently: Alejandro Benavides & Sue Stealey, Acting Superintendent

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

OSS OF FUNDS THROUGH FEDERAL REIMBURSEMENT

The Center lost approximately \$15,000 in federal and state reimbursement for breakfast id lunch programs because the applicable monthly claim forms were not completed and ibmitted or the number of meals served to students were understated. This finding has been epeated since 1990. We continue to recommend the Center ensure that all monthly claim are accurate, approved and submitted so that proper reimbursements are received. Inding 1, page 7) For previous agency responses see Digest Footnote 1.

Center officials accepted our recommendation and stated that internal control procedures been instituted to ensure all future claim forms will be accurately completed, properly mitted and requested reimbursement tracked to avoid recurrence of this finding.

EPHONE PROCEDURES NOT ENFORCED

The Department of Central Management Services (DCMS) control procedures regarding none calls were not enforced. Approximately 80% of the Center's long distance calls were supported by telephone logs. In our review of billings, we found several action calls (weather and time) and over 100 directory assistance calls. This finding has sepeated since 1990. We continue to recommend that the Center require employees to long distance calls and investigate the propriety of using information or directory calls. The Center should seek reimbursement for costs associated with these calls. 2, page 9) For previous agency responses see Digest Footnote 2.

enter officials accepted our recommendation and stated that they have included in their operations manual to require monitoring and review of telephone usage. We telephone system has been installed at the Center which has the capability of locking types of special cost calls (e.g., time, weather, lottery, etc.).

MANCE OF CONTRACTS PRIOR TO OBTAINING SIGNATURE

Internal of eighteen (89%) instances, we found the Center allowed the performance of begin prior to obtaining a signed contract. This finding has been repeated since continue to recommend the Center and the Department coordinate planning allow sufficient time to obtain appropriate signatures prior to performance of the ding 5, page 12) For previous agency responses see Digest Footnote 3.

officials accepted our recommendation and stated they have implemented a system that allows monitoring of contracts and will work toward reducing or problem.

NGS

ining findings were less significant and have been given appropriate attention

by the Center. We will review the adequacy of corrective actions during out never the content of the content of

AUDITORS' OPINION

Our auditors state that the June 30, 1994 and 1993 financial statements of Center for Rehabilitation and Education are fairly presented.

WILLIAM G. HOLLAND, Auditor

WGH:ROQ May 18, 1995

SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS

Number of	This Audit	Prior Audit
Audit Findings	5	8
Repeat Audit Findings	5	5
Prior Recommendations	3	10
Implemented or Not Repeated		

SPECIAL ASSISTANT AUDITORS

Our special assistant auditors were Philip Rosenstrock & Co.

DIGEST FOOTNOTES

#1: LOSS OF FUNDS THROUGH FEDERAL REIMBURSEMENT - Previous Agency Responses

- 1992: "The School concurs and accepts the recommendation."
- 1990: "We concur with the finding. Procedures will be augmented to ensure the timely submission of the federal grant application."

#2: TELEPHONE PROCEDURES NOT ENFORCED - Previous Agency Responses

- 1992: "We will enforce the use of telephone logs and institute stronger control over telecommunications."
- 1990: "We concur. A planned upgrade in the telephone system allows blocking of certain types of calls, such as those to 900 numbers. Unusual phone calls will be monitored more closely."

#3: PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTS PRIOR TO OBTAINING SIGNATURE - Previous Agency Responses

- 1992: "The Department concurs and will commence a comprehensive review of relevant planning procedures."
- 1990: Center Response "we concur with the statement that signing a contract prior to commencement of work is a sound business practice. However, we did follow affidavit procedures which were designed by the Comptroller to deal with situations where this is not possible."

Department Response - "we are installing procedures developed to speed up contract processing."